This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

+ *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
+ *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
+ *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
+ *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at `http://books.google.com/`



Digitized by Google

TAJ
PA
1896-98

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY-GENERAL

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

1896-1898.

SACRAMENTO:
A. J. JOHNSTON, : : : : : : SUPERINTENDENT STATE PRINTING.
1899.

Digitized by Google

L24470

AUG 21 1944

Digitized by Google

REPORT.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
SACRAMENTO, CAL., September 15, 1898.

To the HON. JAMES H. BUDD, *Governor of the State of California:*

SIR: I have the honor to submit my report for the two years ending September 15, 1898.

It is unnecessary for me to repeat here what was said in my last report concerning the large increase in the work of this office due to the amendment, in 1895, of Section 472 of the Political Code. I may add, however, that the work was still further increased by legislation in 1897.

OPINIONS AND GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE.

The Opinion and General Correspondence Department of the office has occupied a great deal of my time and attention. Numerous questions of importance, relating to and affecting the administration of every department of the State Government, the duties of every State officer and board, and the proper conduct of the affairs of every State institution, as well as the administration of county affairs through the medium of the District Attorneys, are constantly referred to me for my determination. Some slight idea of the scope of this work, though not of the time and labor devoted to it, may be gathered from the fact that during my incumbency the official letters and opinions have aggregated 5,096.

CRIMINAL CASES.

The facilities afforded by our laws for criminal appeals render them very numerous. At the date of my last report the criminal cases upon the docket of this office, appealed from the Superior Courts to the Supreme Court of this State, aggregated 177. Since then there have been added 217, making an aggregate to date of 394. As you will see by reference to the criminal docket annexed, the percentage of affirmances in these cases has been excellent.

In addition to the ordinary work of the criminal department, several habeas corpus cases arose last year in the Federal courts. In each instance these cases were instituted for the sole purpose of delaying the

Digitized by Google

execution of condemned murderers. It seemed for awhile as if the machinery prescribed by the Federal statutes could and would be successfully used by all persons under sentence of death to indefinitely stay the execution of their sentences. However, by acting promptly and vigorously, I succeeded in getting each of the cases—five in number—advanced upon the calendar of the Supreme Court of the United States, and in having them summarily disposed of as soon as they were reached. I also succeeded in obtaining from the Federal courts a construction of the Federal statutes relative to the law of habeas corpus, which materially curtailed the dilatory uses to which those statutes have heretofore been put by the criminal classes. All of this had a very salutary effect. Each of the murderers on whose behalf the proceedings in question were taken, with the exception of one who has been reprieved by you, was executed shortly after their cases were disposed of; and no further attempts have been made on behalf of that class of criminals to invoke the aid of the Federal courts.

CIVIL CASES.

(a) *Suits against the State under the Enabling Act of 1893.*

In my last report I went fully into the history of all the cases which, up to that time, had been instituted against the State under the provisions of "An Act to authorize suits against the State, and regulating the procedure therein," approved February 28, 1893 (Stats. 1893, p. 57).

Of those suits many had, at that date, been disposed of—most of them in favor of the State. In fact, as was shown by that report, out of twelve suits (principally for damages), ten of them, involving $647,277 50, had been determined in favor of the State, and only two, involving, principal and interest, but $2,087 20, had been lost.

The following is the status of each of those which were still pending when my last report was submitted:

(1) *Denning* vs. *The State*, for $20,500 damages alleged to have been sustained by plaintiff, through the negligence of the State Board of Harbor Commissioners, while in the employ of the State. This case was tried in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, and the trial resulted in a verdict of $10,000 against the State. The State has appealed to the Supreme Court, and the appeal is as yet undetermined. I have every hope of reversing the judgment.

(2) and (3) *Melvin* vs. *The State* and *De Long* vs. *The State*. These were suits for damages, growing out of the falling of a stand of seats in Agricultural Park, at Sacramento, during the progress of the State Fair in 1891. The Supreme Court has finally determined them in the State's favor. (XV Cal. Dec., pp. 177–178.)

(4) *Mullan* vs. *The State*. This case, which was brought by Captain

Digitized by Google

John Mullan, of Washington, D. C., to recover the sum of $45,616 50 for services rendered the State in the collection of certain claims from the Federal Government, was, at the date of my last report, pending in the Supreme Court on an appeal from a judgment in favor of the State. The judgment has since been affirmed. (114 Cal., 578.)

(5) *Indian War Bond Cases.* These cases are of two classes:

First—Those brought to recover the principal and interest upon certain bonds and coupons issued by the State under its Indian War Bond Act of February 18, 1851 (Stats. 1851, p. 250); and,

Second—Those brought to recover the principal and interest upon certain bonds and coupons issued by the State under its Indian War Bond Act of May 3, 1852 (Stats. 1852, p. 59).

In the first class of cases, the liability of the State for the face value of the bonds and coupons issued under the Act referred to had been determined before I took office. The question of interest, however, which was of much greater importance, as the accrued interest claimed greatly exceeded the principal, had not been finally set at rest. Upon that question alone the case of *Molineaux* vs. *The State* was carried to the Supreme Court by the plaintiff, and it was there held that no interest could be recovered. (109 Cal., 378.)

In still another case, however, that of *Davis* vs. *The State*, an appeal was taken to test the question of interest. That appeal was still pending when my last report was submitted. It has recently been decided in favor of the State. (XV Cal. Dec., p. 216.)

This effectually disposes of the question of interest upon any of the bonds or coupons of either class; and results in a saving to the State of about $415,000.

In the second class of cases—four in number—brought to recover upon the Indian War bonds and coupons of 1852, three have been decided against the State, so far as the face value of the bonds and coupons sued upon is concerned. No judgment for interest has been or can be recovered. The fourth has not, as yet, been tried. The State has appealed in the three which have been decided, and those appeals are now pending.

In each instance where the state has been compelled to pay any of these Indian War bonds or coupons, reimbursement from the Federal Government should be promptly urged. A full history of them will be found in a "Report of the Joint Committee of the Senate and Assembly on the Indian War Indebtedness," dated February 21, 1872, and published in Volume II of the "Appendix to Journals of Senate and Assembly" for the nineteenth session of the Legislature of this State.

Since my last report suit has been instituted against the State, under the provisions of this enabling Act of 1893, in the following cases:

Digitized by Google

(1) *Hanify* vs. *The State*, in the Justice's Court of the City and County of San Francisco, to recover $197 70 damages alleged to have been sustained by reason of the negligence of the State Board of Harbor Commissioners. This case has not yet been tried.

(2) *O'Malley* vs. *The State et al.*, in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, to recover a reward of $1,000 offered by the Governor for the arrest and conviction of the murderer of F. H. L. Weber and wife of Sacramento. This case has been tried, and a decision in favor of the State rendered.

(3) *Daly* vs. *The State*, in the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, to recover the sum of $10,000 for professional services rendered in the case of *The Southern Pacific Company* vs. *The Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of California et al.*, now pending in the United States Circuit Court. This case arose out of the employment of Judge J. C. Daly by the Board of Railroad Commissioners as one of the assistant counsel in the case mentioned. Owing to the fact that, as a member of the Board of Examiners, I participated in hearing evidence upon and fixing the amount of Judge Daly's fee in this matter, I considered it best that this case should be defended on behalf of the State by special counsel; and, upon your request, I authorized the employment of Messrs. Knight & Heggerty, of San Francisco, for that purpose. They have had entire charge of the case for the State, and I am informed by them that a demurrer to the State's answer has been sustained; that no amendment to the answer is possible; and that judgment in favor of the plaintiff will doubtless be entered shortly.

(b) *Southern Pacific Company* vs. *The Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of California.*

At the date of my last report the motion for an injunction *pendente lite* in this case was pending.

At page 11 of that report (as printed) I made the following statements concerning this case, and the character of injunction sought by the Southern Pacific Company:

On September 12, 1895, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of this State adopted a resolution to subject the then existing grain rates of the Southern Pacific Company and its leased lines to a reduction of eight per cent.

Subsequently, a schedule of rates in accordance with this resolution was prepared by the Board, and on September 26, 1895, served upon the Southern Pacific Company.

On September 13, 1895, the Board passed another resolution contemplating an investigation of the general freight rates, other than grain, of the Southern Pacific Company and its leased lines, and a twenty-five per cent reduction of those rates if such reduction was found to be feasible. This twenty-five per cent reduction, if made, was to include all reductions made in such rates by the companies themselves since December 1, 1894 No action whatever was taken by the Board under this second resolution.

On October 14, 1895, a bill in equity was filed in the United States Circuit Court for this District by the Southern Pacific Company (as the representative, under certain alleged leases, of nine railroad corporations comprising its so-called "Pacific System")

Digitized by Google

against the Board of Railroad Commissioners of this State, to restrain that Board from taking any kind or character of action whatever under either of the resolutions above referred to, or from making any reduction whatever in freight or passenger rates upon the leased lines of the Southern Pacific Company, or from enforcing or attempting to enforce in any manner any schedule or schedules of reduced rates whatever upon such leased lines.

The grounds upon which this application for an injunction was made were numerous the principal ones being, that any reduction in rates would be unreasonable and would render it impossible for the Southern Pacific Company and its lessors to meet their expenses of operation and fixed charges; and that the provisions of the Constitution of this State, creating the Board of Railroad Commissioners and prescribing its powers and duties, are in conflict with the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

It will thus be seen that the ultimate result of this action is of vital importance to every citizen of the State, for it strikes at the very existence of our State Board of Railroad Commissioners.

The complaint was accompanied by certain affidavits in support of the application, for the injunction. Upon the filing of this complaint and these affidavits, the Court issued a temporary restraining order against the Railroad Commissioners, and notice of an application to the Court for an injunction *pendente lite* was at once served upon the Board.

On November 30, 1896, Mr. Justice McKenna, in an elaborate opinion (78 Fed. Rep., 236–275), sustained the constitutionality of the provisions of the Constitution and laws of this State creating the State Board of Railroad Commissioners; but found that upon the showing made by the Southern Pacific Company it was entitled to an injunction *pendente lite* as to that part of the Board's resolution respecting grain rates, and therefore ordered "that that part of the order staying the execution of the resolution of the Board of Railroad Commissioners, reducing rates on grain eight per cent, be continued until the further order of the Court; that the balance of the restraining order be dissolved." (78 Fed. Rep., p. 275.)

As soon after this decision as possible the answer of the Board of Railroad Commissioners was filed, to which a long list of exceptions was interposed by the attorneys for the Southern Pacific Company. The entire matter was then referred to a special Master in Chancery to report upon these exceptions. A number of months was consumed before this report was filed, and many more were consumed in arguments to the Court, and by the Court in considering the matter, before its decision upon the Master's report was finally rendered. Finally, however, this branch of the case was disposed of; and on the 2d of May, 1898, the complainant filed its replication to the defendants' answer, thus putting the case at issue. Since that date the taking of testimony has been steadily proceeding; but the testimony on behalf of the complainant has not yet been completed.

(c) *Other Cases.*

It is not necessary to detail at length the proceedings taken in the numerous other cases upon the Civil Docket of the office. That docket

Digitized by Google

is annexed to this report. The total number of cases upon it for the period covered by this report is 296. Many of these have been finally disposed of, principally in the State's favor.

In addition to the ordinary civil cases there are 112 cases upon the "Leave to Sue" Docket, which is also annexed.

The total number of Civil and "Leave to Sue" cases is 408.

CLAIMS OF STATE INSTITUTIONS.

The recovery from the counties, or from individuals, of the claims due to the various State institutions for the maintenance of persons committed to such institutions has proven one of the most annoying and difficult matters connected with this office. In many instances the managers of the institutions have permitted the claims to become stale; in others, they have failed to take the steps necessary to lay the legal foundation for a recovery upon such claims; in still others, the original commitments are faulty. In fact, vexatious obstacles of every kind present themselves in nearly every instance, which prevent a collection of the claim without stubbornly contested litigation, and even then, in many instances, render such collection extremely doubtful. I have, it is true, where they are against individuals, succeeded in collecting a large number; but the counties seem inclined to contest every point. This is particularly true of the claims of the Whittier State School. That institution has numerous claims, some of them of many years standing, aggregating thousands of dollars, which the counties seem determined not to pay. I have experienced extreme difficulty and met with innumerable delays in my attempt to obtain from that institution all of the data necessary to the proper commencement of proceedings to enforce these claims. The data that I have obtained shows that former boards of trustees have been very lax in taking the preliminary steps necessary to so perfect these claims as to place the liability of the counties beyond question, and thus obviate the necessity for litigation. I have instituted several suits which will, I hope, determine the entire matter.

CONCLUSION.

I have annexed a statement showing the status of the various funds appropriated for this office (other than those for salaries and rent) at the close of the forty-eighth and forty-ninth fiscal years, respectively.

I have also annexed full copies of all the dockets of the office, from which you can readily ascertain the exact status of every case.

Respectfully,

W. F. FITZGERALD,
Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES.

Forty-eighth Fiscal Year.

	Appropriation.	Amount Expended.	Balance.
Postage, expressage, and contingent fund	$850 00	$839 49	$10 51
Traveling expense fund	$500 00		
Balance from forty-seventh	327 40	$392 40	$435 00
Costs and expenses of suits wherein the State is a party in interest	$3,000 00		
Balance from forty-seventh	1,976 73	$1,791 85	$3,184 88
Law books	$2,264 21	$590 60	$1,673 61
Deficiency postage, expressage, etc., forty-seventh fiscal year	$50 00	$39 79	$10 21

Forty-ninth Fiscal Year.

Postage, expressage, and contingent fund	$750 00	$574 88	$175 12
Traveling expense fund	$500 00	$428 85	$71 15
Costs and expenses of suits wherein the State is a party in interest	$2,000 00		
Amount returned	6 00	$1,921 97	$84 03
Law books	$1,673 61	$262 00	$1,411 61

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S

DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES

IN THE

SUPREME COURT.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 76—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Jerome A. Buckley, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. J. Guilloyle, Jr., John O'Byrne for Appellants.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Felony, altering election returns.
Crim. 95—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. A. Sanders, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank H. Short for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Forgery.
Crim. 119—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. A. J. Whiteman, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. S. Hinckle for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Forgery.
Crim. 121—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. H. Thompson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Goodrich, Trask & Harris for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Felony, train-wrecking.
Crim. 128—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank Kooney Kloss, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Thornton Woodbury and H. L. Wilson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Dec. 27, 1894. Verdict March 22, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment March 29, 1895, five years. Notice of appeal filed April 12, 1895.	Transcript filed Aug. 14, 1895. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 12, 1895 Respondent's brief filed Oct. 4, 1895. Appellant's reply brief filed Oct. 22, 1895. Cause argued and submitted Feb. 20, 1896. On Aug. 11, 1896, order of submission to Dept. 1 set aside and cause ordered on Calendar for Aug. 27th, to be heard in bank. Reargued and submitted Aug. 27, 1896. Respondent's supplemental points and authorities filed Aug. 31, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded March 1, 1897.	Office No. 102. Insufficiency of the evidence. 116 Cal. 146. Closed.
Indictment filed May 19, 1894. Verdict April 23, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment April 27, 1895, ten years. Notice of appeal filed April 27, 1895.	Transcript filed Oct. 11, 1895. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 11, 1895. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 6, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 3, 1896. Argued and submitted Feb. 5, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 15, 1896.	Office No. 115. Error in excluding evidence of the defense and in refusing certain instructions requested by defendant. 114 Cal. 216. Closed.
Indictment filed April 30, 1895. Verdict June 20, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Aug. 30, 1895, nine years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 27, 1895.	Transcript filed Dec. 18, 1895. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 15, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 3 1896. Argued and submitted Feb. 5, 1896 Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 19, 1896.	Office No. 130. Error in admitting evidence of other forgeries before proving that the check described in the indictment was itself a forgery. 114 Cal. 338. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 22, 1895. Verdict May 8, 1895, guilty as charged Judgment May 22, 1895, death. Notice of appeal filed May 22, 1895.	Transcript filed Dec. 24, 1895. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 17, 1896 Respondent's brief filed March 21, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed April 13, 1896. Argued and submitted April 22, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded for new trial Nov. 30, 1896.	Office No. 132. Error in giving erroneous and inapplicable instructions. 115 Cal. 160. Closed.
Information filed June 24, 1895. Verdict Nov. 14, 1895, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment Dec. 7, 1895, death. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 12, 1895.	Transcript filed Jan. 25, 1896. Appellant's brief filed May 27, 1896. Respondent's brief filed June 10, 1896 Submitted on briefs on file July 28, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Jan. 14, 1897.	Office No. 136. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 131—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. L. Eppinger, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. M. Cannon for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 132—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Claude Armstrong, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Lamberson & Middlecoff for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 135—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. F. L. Barney, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. A. Whitby, A. B. Shoemaker and T. S. O'Donnel for Appellant.	Superior Court of Stanislaus County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 136—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Eagan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. L. Love, S. H. Regensburger, Dunne & McPike for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Franciscco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Altering election returns.
Crim. 138—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Walter Tallmadge, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Power & Alford, F. L. Alford for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Grand larceny.
Crim. 139—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Walter Horace Ross, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Carroll Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 143—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Walter Tallmadge, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Power & Alford, F. L. Alford for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By indictment....

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Dec. 27, 1894. Verdict April 4, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 8, 1895, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 11, 1895.	Transcript filed Jan. 31, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Feb 27, 1896. Respondent's brief filed March 6, 1896. Submitted on briefs on file April 24, 1896. Judgment appealed from reversed, with directions to court below to render judgment against defendant for offense of making and passing fictitious check with intent to defraud, Sept. 19, 1896.	Office No. 138. Improper judgment. 114 Cal. 350. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 23, 1895. Verdict Nov. 30, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 6, 1895, four years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 16, 1895.	Transcript filed Feb. 3, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 10, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 28, 1896. Submitted on briefs April 24, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 22, 1896.	Office No. 139. Closed.
Information filed July 1, 1895. Verdict Sept. 5, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 7, 1895, seven years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 19, 1895.	Transcript filed Feb. 6, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 15, 1896. Respondent's brief filed March 9, 1896. Submitted on briefs April 24, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 20, 1896.	Office No. 141 Closed.
Indictment filed March 11, 1895. Verdict Oct. 23, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 7, 1895, one year. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 7, 1895.	Transcript filed Feb. 13, 1896. April 24, 1896, continued to May term. May 13, 1896, Dunne & McPike substituted as attorneys. Appellant's brief filed June 23, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 1, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 13, 1896. Judgment and order reversed, and cause remanded March 13, 1897.	Office No. 142. Insufficiency of evidence. 116 Cal. 287. Closed.
Indictment filed Feb. 9, 1895. Verdict May 1, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment July 6, 1895, nine years. Notice of appeal filed July 6, 1895.	Transcript filed Feb. 17, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 31, 1896. Respondent's brief filed April 24, 1896. Argued and submitted May 15, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 3, 1896.	Office No. 143. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 1, 1895. Verdict Oct. 25, 1895, guilty as charged Judgment Nov. 8, 1895, twenty-five years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 3, 1895.	Transcript filed Feb. 20, 1896. Appellant's brief filed April 3, 1896. Respondent's brief filed April 22, 1896. Argued and submitted May 15, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 8, 1896.	Office No. 144. Closed.
Indictment filed Feb. 9, 1895. Verdict May 1, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment July 6, 1895. nine years. Notice of appeal filed July 6, 1895.	Transcript filed March 2, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 31, 1896. Argued and submitted May 15, 1896 Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 3, 1896.	Office No. 146. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 145—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Louis Cohen, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; T. J. Crowley, H. I. Kowalsky, and J. A. Long for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Perjury.
Crim. 150—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. George Harris, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. A. Ling for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 153—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. James H. Cummings, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; E. M. Morgan and A. J. Morganstern for Respondent.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Otaining money and property under false pretenses.
Crim. 158—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank H. Larrabee, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. Hayford for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 159—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. H. Thompson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Goodrich, Trask & Harris for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	.....
Crim. 168—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Dennis McCarthy, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Farquar & Hall for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sonoma County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Dec. 19, 1894. Verdict Dec. 26, 1894, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 18, 1895, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 23, 1895.	Transcript filed March 6, 1896. Argued and submitted May 13, 1896. Dec. 6, 1896, submission set aside, cause ordered on bank calendar. Appellant's brief filed April 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 7, 1897. Argued and submitted May 12, 1897. Judgment and order reversed, with instructions to sustain demurrer, Sept. 8, 1897.	Office No. 148. Failure of indictment to charge an offense. 118 Cal. 74. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 18, 1896. Verdict Jan. 23, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 27, 1896, one year. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 28, 1896.	Transcript filed March 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 14, 1896. Respondent's brief filed April 6, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed April 13, 1896. Argued and submitted April 24, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 22, 1896.	Office No. 152. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 22, 1896. Demurrer filed Feb. 1, 1896. Sustained Feb. 8, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed March 20, 1896. Appellant's brief filed May 5, 1896. Motion to dismiss and cause submitted May 13, 1896. Respondent's brief filed May 13, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed May 27, 1896. Respondent's reply brief filed June 8, 1896. Submitted July 28, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 6, 1898.	Office No. 154. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 13, 1894. Verdict Jan. 26, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 1, 1895, life. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 18, 1895.	Transcript filed March 30, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 4, 1896. Respondent's brief filed May 27, 1896. Submitted on briefs on file Aug. 4, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 4, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 30, 1896.	Office No. 158. Closed.
Order made fixing 22d of May, 1896, for the execution of the judgment in said cause. Notice of appeal from said order filed March 25, 1896.	Transcript filed March 26, 1896. Appellant's brief filed April 7, 1896. Argued and submitted with criminal No. 121. Petition for writ of probable cause and stay of execution filed April 25, 1896. Writ and order staying execution issued May 9, 1896. Appeal dismissed Nov. 30, 1896.	Office No. 159. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 12, 1895. Verdict Oct. 10, 1895, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Nov. 11, 1895, death. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 7, 1896.	Transcript filed April 29, 1896. Appellant's brief filed May 16, 1896. Respondent's brief filed June 17, 1896. Argued and submitted Aug. 4, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 9, 1896.	Office No. 166. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 162—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Thomas Barker, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Paris & Allison, F. B. Daley for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Robbery
Crim. 175—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. E Paulsell, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; T. C. Coogan, Reddy, Campbell & Metson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 177—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Cesari Crespi, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Van Ness & Redman for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Libel.
Crim. 178—The People, etc., Respondent, vs E N. Tucker and Frank Tallmadge, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. R. Jacobs, J. G. Swinnerton for Appellants.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Extortion.
Crim. 179—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. George Tarbox, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Blackstock & Ewing for Appellant.	Superior Court of Ventura County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 180—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Sierp, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Alex. Campbell for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 181—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Louisa Worthington, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; B. G. Haskell, J. J. Guilfoyle, Jr., for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Indictment filed May 9, 1894. Verdict Dec. 31, 1895, guilty of robbery. Judgment Jan. 17, 1896, six years. Notice of appeal filed March 24, 1896.	Transcript filed March 31, 1896. Appellant's brief filed April 22, 1896. Respondent's brief filed May 4, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 26, 1896.	Office No. 161. Closed.
Information filed March 29, 1894. Verdict Nov. 13, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 7, 1895, fifteen years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 24, 1896.	Transcript filed May 18, 1896. Appellant's brief filed June 17, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 14, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 1, 1896. Argued and submitted Aug 18, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Nov. 7, 1896.	Office No. 170. Erroneous instructions. 115 Cal. 6. Closed.
Information filed July 22, 1895. Verdict March 13, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Mar. 27, 1896, three months in County Jail. Notice of appeal filed March 31, 1896.	Transcript filed May 26, 1896. Appellant's brief filed June 3, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 23, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed July 29, 1896. Argued and submitted Aug. 18, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 21, 1896.	Office No. 171. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 28, 1896. Verdict April 9, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment April 20, 1896, two and one half years. Notice of appeal filed May 11, 1896.	Transcript filed May 29, 1896. Appellants' brief filed June 8, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 1, 1896. Submitted on briefs Aug. 18, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Dec. 17, 1896.	Office No. 172. Insufficient verdict, failure of jury to find on plea of "once in jeopardy." 115 Cal. 337. Closed.
Information filed March 9, 1896. Verdict April 14, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment May 6, 1896, seven years. Notice of appeal filed May 14, 1896.	Transcript filed June 4, 1896. Appellant's brief filed June 23, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 21, 1896. Submitted on briefs Aug. 18, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and remanded for a new trial Nov. 24, 1896.	Office No. 173. Insufficient evidence. 115 Cal. 57. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 9, 1895. Verdict Feb. 1, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 8, 1896, twenty years Notice of appeal filed Feb. 18, 1896.	Transcript filed June 8, 1896. Appellant's brief filed July 30, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 5, 1896. Argued and submitted Aug. 4, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed March 10, 1897.	Office No. 174. Closed.
Information filed June 4, 1893. Verdict Aug. 14, 1895, guilty of murder second degree. Judgment Aug. 23, 1895, twenty five years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 23, 1895.	Transcript filed June 12, 1896. Appellant's brief filed July 10, 1896. Respondent's brief filed July 31, 1896. Argued and submitted Aug. 4, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 8, 1896.	Office No. 175. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 184—The People, etc., Appellant. vs. Louis Muhlner, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; C. G. Nagle for Respondent.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 186—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Philip Ratz, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; George Hayford for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 188—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Lourintz, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. S. Salomon, L. Pistolesi, J. A. Stephens for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 189—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James D. Page, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney General, for Respondent; J. N. E. Wilson, Alexander Campbell for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Embezzlement.
Crim. 190—Ex parte B. Suebe, for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. G. Dyas and F. B. Howard for Petitioner		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 191—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Eugene Bosquet, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. C. Hart and C. T. Jones for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sacramento Co.	Criminal..	By information—Permitting his wife to remain in a house of prostitution.
Crim. 192—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Robert Main, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; George E. Colwell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 193—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Harrison, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Ladd & Hughes for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Sept. 25, 1895. Verdict Dec. 28, 1895, guilty of manslaughter. Motion for new trial made Jan. 4, 1896; granted same day. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed June 22, 1896. Appellant's brief filed July 16, 1896. Submitted on briefs Aug. 26, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and Court below directed to pronounce judgment against defendant upon verdict, Dec. 17, 1896.	Office No. 176. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 6, 1896. Verdict Jan. 23, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 31, 1896, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 10, 1896.	Transcript filed June 23, 1896. Aug. 18, 1896, cause argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 30, 1896.	Office No. 177. Closed.
Information filed Feb. 1, 1896. Verdict March 2, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment March 6, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed April 30, 1896.	Transcript filed July 14, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 14, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 26, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 29, 1896.	Office No. 178. Closed.
Indictment filed May 29, 1895. Verdict April 15, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment April 24, 1896, eight years. Notice of appeal filed May 14, 1896.	Transcript filed July 14, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 13, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 24, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded April 1, 1897.	Office No. 179. Insufficient evidence. 116 Cal. 386. Closed.
	Petition for writ and points and authorities filed July 16, 1896. Affidavit of F B. Howard filed July 28, 1896. Writ issued July 28, 1896. Returnable July 31. Argued and submitted July 31, 1896. Petitioner remanded January 22, 1897.	Office No. 180. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 18, 1895. Verdict Jan. 20, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 7, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 7, 1896.	Transcript filed July 15, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 3, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 6, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 15, 1897.	Office No. 181. Closed.
Information filed April 13, 1896. Verdict May 7, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment May 8, 1896, seven years. Notice of appeal filed May 16, 1896.	Transcript filed July 17, 1896. Appellant's brief filed July 25, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 26, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Oct. 29, 1896.	Office No. 182. No evidence to corroborate testimony of accomplice. 114 Cal. 632. Closed.
Information filed Feb. 14, 1896. Verdict March 18, 1896, guilty of burglary second degree. Judgment March 21, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed March 31, 1896.	Transcript filed July 23, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 22, 1896.	Office No. 183. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 194—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. G. Pearne, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. C. Long, Geo. E. Gardner, A. F. Jones for Appellant.	Superior Court of Butte Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Manslaughter.
Crim. 196—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Henry Theodore Durrant, Appellant. W F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Eugene N. Deuprey, John H. Dickinson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 197—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Frank Gregory, J. R. Brown, Geo. Anderson, I. J. Simmons, B. L. Taylor, Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; C. T. Jones, A. J. Bruner, W. A. Anderson for Respondents.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Criminal..	By indictment—For fraudulently and illegally allowing certain persons to vote at a precinct at which said defendants acted as election officers, violating their duty as election officers.
Crim. 199—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Thomas S. Fugitt, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Emmons & Graham for Respondent.	Superior Court of Kern Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Grand larceny.
Crim. 201—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ernest Geiger, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. E. Colwell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By Information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Dec. 5, 1895. Verdict March 5, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment March 16, 1896, one year. Notice of appeal filed March 16, 1896.	Transcript filed July 23, 1896. Appellant's brief filed July 31, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 26, 1896. Submitted upon briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Appellant's additional brief filed Aug. 11, 1897. Argued and submitted Aug. 16, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 13, 1897.	Office No. 184. Contradictory instructions. 118 Cal. 154. Closed.
Information filed May 22, 1895. Verdict Nov. 1, 1895, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Dec. 6, 1895, death. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 9, 1895.	Transcript filed July 29, 1896. Oct. 21, 1896, cause submitted. Nov. 7, 1896, notice of motion to set aside order submitting cause and allowing Appellant to file brief served. Nov. 9th, motion continued to San Francisco. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 21, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 21, 1896. Dec. 21st, Appellant granted ten days to file brief. Original order of submission of Oct. 21, 1896, to stand. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 3, 1897.	Office No. 185. Ordered by Court that Appellant have 20 days from Aug. 8th to file points and authorities, Aug. 7, 1896. Order staying all process to and including Sept. 6, 1896. Sept. 5, 1896, writ of p'bable cause granted by Chief Justice Beatty. Closed.
Indictment filed Feb. 20, 1896. Motion to quash indictment and demurrer to indictment filed March 14, 1896. Motion to quash as to defendants Brown, Anderson, Simmons, Gregory, and Taylor, granted, and denied as to defendants Wagner, Brady, and French, May 9, 1896. Notice of appeal filed July 6, 1896.	Transcript filed July 28, 1896. Nov. 11, 1896, appeal dismissed.	Office No. 186. Closed.
Indictment filed Oct. 17, 1895. Verdict Feb. 12, 1896, guilty as charged. Motion for new trial made Feb. 17, 1896; granted April 14, 1896. Notice of appeal filed May 13, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 3, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 3, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 3, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 13, 1896.	Office No. 187. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 18, 1895. Verdict Jan. 8, 1896, guilty of burglary first degree. Judgment Jan. 13, 1896, twelve years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 15, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 15, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed April 6, 1897.	Office No. 188. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 202—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. A. Sehorn, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. M. Albery, Chas. L. Donohoe, K. E. Kelley, for Appellant.	Superior Court of Glenn Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 203—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Marie Archille Fournier, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. G. Rhodes, J. R. Kittrell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Madera County.	Criminal..	By information—Burning property.
Crim. 204—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. J. W. Todd, J. M. Morrison, Wm. Curtis and Thomas Jenkins, Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Devlin & Devlin, C. T. Jones for Respondents.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Criminal..	By accusation—Willful misconduct in office.
Crim. 205—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ernest Geiger, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. E. Colwell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 206—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Wong Chuey, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Henry T. Gage, Marble & Phibbs, W. I. Foley for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 208—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Gray G. Southern, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. S. McKelvey, Brosseau & Montgomery for Appellant.	Superior Court of Orange Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 209—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Japhet Ebanks, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. S. Callen for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Oct. 19, 1895. Verdict May 6, 1896, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment May 29, 1896, eight years. Notice of appeal filed June 3, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 6, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 17, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 17, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Oct. 2, 1896. Argued and submitted Nov. 10, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed April 10, 1897. Petition for rehearing filed April 30, 1897. Rehearing denied May 11, 1897.	Office No. 189. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 9, 1895. Verdict Feb. 5, 1896, guilty as charged, with recommendation of mercy. Judgment March 5, 1896, six and one-half years. Notice of appeal filed March, 5, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 13, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 4, 1896 Respondent's brief filed Oct. 6, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Oct. 19, 1896. Argued and submitted Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order reversed Feb. 26, 1897.	Office No. 190. Error in the exclusion of evidence. Unreported. Closed.
Accusation filed April 9, 1896. Motion to set aside accusation filed May 2, 1896. Motion granted May 23, 1896. Notice of appeal filed July 21, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 14, 1896. Appeal dismissed Nov. 11, 1896.	Office No. 191. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 18, 1895. Verdict Jan. 3, 1896, guilty of grand larceny. Judgment Jan. 13, 1896, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 18, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 25, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug 31, 1896. Submitted on briefs Oct. 2, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed April 6, 1897.	Office No. 192. Closed.
Information filed March 22, 1895. Verdict June 14, 1895, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment July 8, 1895, life. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 7, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 20, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 6, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 7, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 18, 1897. Submitted on briefs April 14, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 3, 1897.	Office No. 193. Closed.
Information filed Feb. 24, 1896. Verdict April 8, 1896, guilty of murder second degree. Judgment April 21, 1896, twelve years and six months. Notice of appeal filed June 10, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 31, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 8, 1897. Submitted on briefs Jan. 11, 1897. March 2, 1897, motion for diminution of record, and to correct transcript. Submission set aside. March 10, 1898, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded April 30, 1898.	Office No. 194. Error in the exclusion of evidence. XV Cal. Dec. 165. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 31, 1895. Verdict Jan. 22, 1896, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment April 6, 1896, death. Notice of appeal filed April 6, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 7, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 19, 1896. Submitted on briefs Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Aug 3, 1897. Oct. 6, 1897, motion for writ of probable cause to stay execution argued before Chief Justice—denied.	Office No. 195. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 210—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Harry Church, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. G. Nagle for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 213—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Maxwell. Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. L. Webber, Dinkelspiel & Gesford for Appellant.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By information—Perjury.
Crim. 214—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Benjamin L. Hill, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; T. M. Bradley for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 217—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Creegan, et al., Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Dunne & McPike for Appellants.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Forgery.
Crim. 216—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank Wilson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. S. Callen, M. L. Wilson for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By indictment—For assault with deadly weapon, with intent to murder.
Crim. 211—The People, etc, Respondent, vs. Frank A. Lewis, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Clay W. Taylor, J. Chadbourne for Appellant.	Superior Court of Shasta County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed March 9, 1896. Verdict June 18, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment June 26, 1896, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed July 31, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 14, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 6, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 29, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Nov. 9, 1896. Submitted on briefs Nov. 11, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded March 17, 1897.	Office No. 196. Erroneous instructions. 116 Cal. 300. Closed.
Information filed July 22, 1896. Verdict Aug. 14, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Aug. 17, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 20, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 17, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 9, 1896. Submitted on briefs Nov. 12, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 4, 1897.	Office No. 197. Insufficient evidence. 118 Cal. 50. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 27, 1896. Verdict March 21, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment April 20, 1896, death. Notice of appeal filed April 20, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 19, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 25, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 11, 1897. Argued and submitted Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed April 23, 1897.	Office No. 198. Closed.
Indictment filed May 8, 1896. Verdict July 11, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Aug. 28, 1896, life. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 28, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 22, 1896. Appellants' brief filed Oct. 24, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 24, 1896. Appellants' reply brief filed Jan. 5, 1897. Feb. 3, 1897, argued and submitted. Oct. 25, 1897, submission set aside for hearing in bank. Argued and submitted in bank Nov. 9, 1897. July 28, 1898, judgment and order reversed and new trial ordered July 28, 1898.	Office No. 199. As to Creegan: For technical errors in admitting evidence. As to Becker: Insufficient evidence, the reversal as to Creegan destroying the substantive evidence against Becker. XVI Cal. Dec. 45. Closed.
Indictment filed Dec. 20, 1895. Verdict March 25, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment April 6, 1896, three years. Notice of appeal filed April 6, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 16, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 5, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 6, 1896. Nov. 12, 1896, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 4, 1897.	Office No. 200. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 10, 1895, Verdict Oct. 25, 1895, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Nov. 2, 1895, seven years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 2, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 11, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov, 23, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 22, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 9, 1897. Argued and submitted Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded May 27, 1897.	Office No. 201. Erroneous instructions. 117 Cal. 186. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 212—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. G. Cady, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Clay W. Taylor, J. Chadbourne for Appellant.	Superior Court of Shasta Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 215—The People, etc., Respondent, vs Jose Ylario, alias Juan Jose Hilario. Appellant; W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank L. Rawson, Geo. H. P. Shaw for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 218—Ex parte Anear, on habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; O. R. Coglan for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 220—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ed. Wickham, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. F. Aram for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Embezzlement.
Crim. 221—The People, etc, Respondent, vs. Harry L. Coyne, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Byron L. Oliver, Ben. Goodrich for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Felony; injury by explosion of gunpowder.
Crim. 222—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Manuel Gomez, alias John Doe, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Henry E. Highton for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 223—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Holmes, D. Dunn, E. G. Waltz, Wm. Starr, Wm. Dowling, and W. McCoy, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. N. E. Wilson, Walter Gallagher, and Charles S. Perry for Appellants.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Aug. 24, 1895. Verdict Feb. 1, 1896, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Feb. 15, 1896, seven years. Notice of appeal filed April 15, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 14, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 29, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 14, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 11, 1897. Argued and submitted Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed May 10, 1897.	Office No. 202. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 26, 1895. Verdict Feb. 5, 1896, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment March 28, 1896, life.	Transcript filed Sept. 16, 1896. Submitted Oct. 13, 1897. Appeal ordered dismissed Nov. 18, 1897.	Office No. 203. Closed.
	Petition for writ filed Sept. 24, 1896. Writ issued Sept. 24, 1896, returnable same day. Prisoner discharged Sept. 24, 1896.	Office No. 204. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 24, 1896. Verdict Aug. 26, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 1, 1896, two years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 2, 1896.	Transcript filed Oct. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 24, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 9, 1896. Submitted on briefs Nov. 11, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed March 31, 1897.	Office No. 205. Closed.
Information filed March 20, 1896. Verdict June 4, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment June 8, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed June 9, 1896.	Transcript filed Oct. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 8, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 24, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed March 16, 1897.	Office No. 206. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 2, 1896. Verdict May 13, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment June 12, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 10, 1896.	Transcript filed Oct. 19, 1896. Appellant's brief filed May 26, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 19, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 11, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 16, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 22, 1897.	Office No. 207. Closed.
Indictment filed May 1, 1896. Verdict May 30, 1896, guilty of involuntary manslaughter. Judgment June 6, 1896, one year. Notice of appeal filed June 25, 1896.	Transcript filed Oct. 19, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 17, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 8, 1897. Submitted on briefs Feb. 3, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 4, 1897.	Office No. 208. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 224—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Clifton E. Mayne, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. S. Copeland, W. J. Murphy, W. H. Shinn, D. K. Trask for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 225—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William White and Frank Dolan, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. H. Cook for Appellants.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 226—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Thomas Griffin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. S. Tinning, J. P. Abbott for Appellant.	Superior Court of Contra Costa County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 228—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles G. Kuhlman, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. B. Clarke for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By commitment for contempt.
Crim. 230—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles Marshall, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. E Bledsoe, C. C. Haskell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 231—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Wong Sam, alias Wong Ng Tai, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Dibble & Henley for Respondent.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Sept. 12, 1895. Verdict Nov. 11, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 23, 1895, twenty-five years Notice of appeal filed Nov. 23, 1895.	Transcript filed Oct. 17, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 5, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 1, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 2, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Oct. 9, 1897.	Office No. 209. Alleged erroneous admission in evidence of family Bible to prove age of prosecutrix. NOTE: It is interesting to compare this case with the cases of People vs. Ratz (116 Cal. 132), and People vs. Slater (119 Cal. 620; 118 Cal 516). Closed.
Information filed April 28, 1896. Verdict June 24, 1896, guilty of burglary. Judgment June 27, 1896, ten years Notice of appeal filed June 29, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 5, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 12, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 16, 1896. Cause submitted Feb. 2, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 3, 1897. Petition for rehearing filed Feb. 23, 1897. March 2, 1897, rehearing denied.	Office No. 210. Closed.
Information filed April 2, 1896. Verdict Aug. 27, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 3, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 9, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec 9, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 13, 1897 Appellant's reply brief filed Feb 1, 1897. Feb. 3, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed July 20, 1897.	Office No. 211. Closed.
Commitment filed Aug. 4, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 7, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 19, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 28, 1896 Feb. 23, 1897, motion to dismiss appeal served. March 1, motion to dismiss argued. Sept. 10, 1897, appeal dismissed.	Office No. 212. Closed.
Information filed April 20, 1896. Verdict July 16, 1896, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment July 20, 1896, sixty years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 19, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 5, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 29, 1896. Submitted on briefs Jan. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 11, 1897.	Office No. 213. Closed.
Information filed June 6, 1896. Demurrer filed June 19, 1896. Demurrer sustained June 26, 1896. New information filed July 6, 1896. Demurrer filed Aug. 14, 1896. Sustained. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 20, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 25, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 9, 1896. Respondent's brief filed March 27, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed April 28, 1897. May 12, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed May 17, 1897.	Office No. 214. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 232—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. B. W. Horn and John Crow, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; D. G. Reid, H. R. Given for Appellants.	Superior Court of Trinity County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 234—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Bill Arnold, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. B. McClure, D. E. Perkins for Appellants.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim 235—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Edward Boyle, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. H. Boyer for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare County.	Criminal..	By information—Assault to commit infamous crime against nature.
Crim. 236—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. Baldwin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; McKelvey & Bowes for Appellant.	Superior Court of Orange County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 237—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Lapigue, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Henry E. Highton for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Embezzlement.
Crim. 239—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Harry McElroy, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. B. McClure, D. E. Perkins for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 240—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Hanlon, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; John T. Carroll, E. M. Sweeney for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Feb. 19, 1896. Verdict May 20, 1896, guilty of murder in the second degree. Judgment June 17, 1896, twenty-five years. Notice of appeal filed June 27, 1896.	Transcript filed Nov. 28, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 16, 1896. Respondent's brief filed March 2, 1897. Aug. 9, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 16, 1897. Rehearing denied Jan. 17, 1898.	Office No. 215. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 12, 1896. Verdict Oct. 23, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 26, 1896, four years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 13, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 5, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 10, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 30, 1897. Submitted upon briefs April 15, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed May 8, 1898.	Office No. 216. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 25, 1896. Verdict Oct. 9, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 19, 1896, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 28, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 14, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 15, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded for a new trial May 6, 1897.	Office No. 217. Insufficient evidence. 116 Cal. 658. Closed.
Information filed April 17, 1896. Verdict June 29, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment July 3, 1896, seven years. Notice of appeal filed July 3, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 3, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 26, 1897. April 15, 1897, submitted upon briefs. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded June 16, 1897.	Office No. 218. Error in excluding evidence and in charging upon matters of fact. 117 Cal. 244. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 3, 1895. Verdict April 8, 1896, guilty of embezzlement. Judgment April 18, 1896, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 2, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 16, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 15, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 19, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 12, 1897. Sept. 8, 1897, judgment vacated and ordered for hearing in bank. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Feb. 3, 1898.	Office No. 219. Insufficient evidence. XV Cal. Dec. 55. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 12, 1896. Verdict Oct. 23, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 26, 1896, four years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 13, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 14, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 19, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 28, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 2, 1897. Submitted upon briefs April 15, 1897. Judgment and order reversed April 28, 1897.	Office No. 220. Insufficient evidence. 116 Cal. 583. Closed.
Information filed April 16, 1896. Verdict Aug. 17, 1896, guilty of burglary second degree. Judgment Aug. 22, 1896, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 19, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 18, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 16, 1897.	Office No. 221. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 242—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Harvey Allender, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. V. Morehouse, J. B. Kerwin for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 244—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Hubert, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Reddy, Campbell & Metson, F. J. Solinsky for Appellant.	Superior Court of Calaveras County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 246—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ah Nom, Wing Song, et al., Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Graves & Graves, Venable & Goodchild for Appellants.	Superior Court of San Luis Obispo Co.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 247—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Clarence Turner, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. B. Terry, Grady & Caldwell for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit robbery.
Crim. 248—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. Patrick, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Wm. Hoff Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 250—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. H. G. Amerman, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Barham & Miller for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sonoma County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 251—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Lundin, alias John Doe Thompson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-Generald, for Respondent; C. G. Nagle for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Aug. 14, 1896. Verdict Sept. 18, 1896, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Sept 25, 1896, death. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 1, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 22, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 2, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 27, 1897. Submitted upon briefs May 12, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed May 22, 1897.	Office No. 222. Closed.
Information filed June 4, 1895. Verdict Oct 4, 1895, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Jan. 17, 1896, death. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 18, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 23, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 8, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 10, 1897. Argued and submitted May 12, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 11, 1897.	Office No. 223. Closed.
Information filed June 11, 1896. Verdict Sept. 18, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 20, 1896, four years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 7, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 26, 1896. Appellants' brief filed Jan. 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 23, 1897. Submitted upon briefs on file April 15, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed May 6, 1897.	Office No. 224. Closed.
Information filed March 21, 1896. Verdict June 17, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment June 20, 1896, four years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 13, 1896.	Transcript filed Dec. 29, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 17, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 11, 1897. Aug. 17, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 21, 1897.	Office No. 225. Erroneous exclusion of evidence. 118 Cal. 324. Closed.
Information filed March 30, 1893. Verdict June 6, 1893, guilty burglary second degree. Judgment April 25, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 10, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 4, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 9, 1897. May 13, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 22, 1897.	Office No. 226. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 21, 1896. Verdict Sept. 17, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 19, 1896, three years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 19, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 7, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 25, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 14, 1897.	Office No. 227. Closed.
Information filed June 27, 1896. Verdict Oct. 27, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 7, 1896, four years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 9, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 23, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 26, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed March 10, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted upon briefs on file. Judgment and order reversed May 25, 1897.	Office No. 228. Insufficient evidence. 117 Cal. 124. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 253—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ransom Ellenwood, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. N. E. Wilson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 254—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Steve Wade, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. A. Bowden for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County	Criminal..	By information—Seduction.
Crim. 256—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James H. Cummings, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; George D. Collins for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—obtaining money and property by false pretenses.
Crim. 257—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. E. J. Dole, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Henry T. Gage, Walter Bordwell, W. J. Foley for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 258—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. J. C. Simmons, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Ross Campbell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sonoma County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Incest.
Crim. 261—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. C. R. Bennet, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; D. M. Conner for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to murder.
Crim. 262—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles McNeill, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; William Hoff Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed July 11, 1896. Verdict Dec. 3, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 11, 1896, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 31, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 15, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 15, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 8, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Sept. 17, 1897. Respondent's supplemental brief filed Sept. 27, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Dec. 13, 1897.	Office No. 229. Erroneous instructions. 119 Cal. 166. Closed.
Information filed August 23, 1895. Verdict Dec. 18, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 2, 1896, fine of $3,000, or State Prison one day for each $4. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 31, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 6, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 7, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 1, 1897.	Office No. 230. Closed.
Indictment filed March 13, 1896. Verdict August 12, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment August 14, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 10, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 26, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed March 19, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order reversed, and cause remanded July 8, 1897.	Office No. 231. Variance, and insufficiency of verdict. 117 Cal. 497. Closed.
Information filed April 16, 1896. Verdict July 17, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Aug. 13, 1896, seven years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 14, 1896.	Transcript filed Jan. 12, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 11, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 24, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed May 22, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Jan. 18, 1898. Petition for rehearing filed. Rehearing granted Feb. 17, 1898. April 13, 1898, argued and submitted.	Office No. 232. Closed.
Indictment filed Dec. 4, 1896. Verdict Jan. 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 12, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 13, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 29, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 8, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 19, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 13, 1897.	Office No. 233. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 13, 1894. Verdict Jan. 10, 1895, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 21, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 22, 1896.	Transcript filed Feb. 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 15, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 28, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed May 8, 1897. May 11, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 7, 1897.	Office No. 234. Closed.
Information filed July 9, 1896. Verdict Sept. 29, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 2, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 28, 1897.	Transcript filed Feb. 15, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 3, 1897. Respondent's brief filed March 23, 1897. May 13, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 24, 1897.	Office No. 235. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 266—Ex parte John M. Vinton for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Henley & Costello for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 267—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. George W. Smith, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney General, for Respondent; L. W. Jefferson, William Hoff Cook, for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Benito County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 268—The People, etc, Respondent, vs. Wm. H. Brittan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Jas. A. Devoto for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 269—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. E. Kramer, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Byron L. Oliver, Geo. A. Hough for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 270—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Arthur Ashmead and George Wilson, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Blakeley & Barber for Appellants.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Superior Court No. 1659—In the matter of Andrew M. Lawrence and L. L. Levings for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. A. Knight, C. J. Heggerty, G. W. McEnerney, A. J. Clunie for Petitioners.	Superior Court of Sacramento Co.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 273—In the matter of the application of A. M. Lawrence and L. L. Levings for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. A. Knight, C. J. Heggerty, G. W. McEnerney and A. J. Clunie for Petitioners.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 276—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Jesus Belardes, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; A. A. Montano for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
	Feb. 19, 1897, filed petition for writ of habeas corpus; writ issued, returnable March 1, 1897. March 1, 1897, return filed. March 1, 1897, prisoner discharged.	Office No. 236. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 15, 1896. Verdict Nov. 29, 1896, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Dec. 15, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 15, 1896.	Transcript filed March 1, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed May 11, 1897.	Office No. 237. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 23, 1896. Verdict Jan. 6, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Jan. 15, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 22, 1897.	Transcript filed March 5, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 19, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 28, 1897. Aug. 9, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 27, 1897.	Office No. 238. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 21, 1896. Verdict Dec. 31, 1896. Judgment July 14, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 14, 1897.	Transcript filed March 2, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed March 27, 1897. April 15, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 23, 1897.	Office No. 239. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 21, 1896. Verdict Jan. 18, 1897, guilty of burglary in first degree. Judgment Jan. 21, 1897, three years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 22, 1897.	Transcript filed March 4, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed March 23, 1897. April 16, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 7, 1897.	Office No. 240. Closed.
Petition filed March 9, 1897. Order granting writ filed same day. Order admitting petitioners to bail and bond filed March 9, 1897. Answer and return to writ filed. Argued and submitted March 9, 1897. Petition denied and petitioners remanded March 27, 1897.		Office No. 241. Closed.
	Petition filed March 13, 1897. Ordered writ issue returnable March 16, 1897. Bail fixed at $1,000. Writ issued March 13, 1897. Return filed March 16, 1897. Petition argued and submitted March 16, 1897. Writ denied and prisoners remanded.	Office No. 242. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 28, 1896. Verdict Dec. 29, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 4, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 6, 1897.	Transcript filed March 13, 1897. Argued and submitted April 15, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed April 16, 1897.	Office No. 243. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 277—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. E. N. Tucker and Frank Tallmadge, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. G. Swinnerton, Max Guin for Appellants.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Extortion.
Crim. 278—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Dan Whelan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Barham & Miller for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sonoma County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Obtaining money by false and fraudulent representations.
Crim. 281—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles G. Warner, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Carson & Savage, E. M. Sweeney for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 283—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. J. J. McCarthy, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; A. B. Hotchkiss, F. F. Davis, Angus Roy Shannon for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles Co.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 284—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. L. J. Laird, Jr., Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. V. Hannon, W. F. Blakely, J. W. Barber for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles Co.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Ex parte A. M. Lawrence and L. L. Levings for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Knight & Heggerty, G. W. McEnerney, A. J. Clunie for Petitioners.	In U. S. District Court.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 288—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John T. Newcomer, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; James S. Robinson, J. B. Curtis, Byron Waters for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tuolumne County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Indictment filed Jan. 28, 1896. Verdict Feb. 5, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 16, 1897, three years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 16, 1897.	Transcript filed March 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 3, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 10, 1897. Argued and submitted May 12, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed June 11, 1897.	Office No. 244. Closed.
Indictment filed Oct. 10, 1896. Verdict Nov. 7, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 1, 1897, one year. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 16, 1897.	Transcript filed March 19, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 3, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 10, 1897. May 12, 1897, submitted upon briefs. Judgment and order affirmed July 12, 1897.	Office No. 245. Closed.
Information filed July 29, 1896. Verdict Oct. 16, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 23, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 31, 1896.	Transcript filed March 20, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 7, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 1, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed May 11, 1897. Aug. 16, 1897, argued and submitted. Jndgment and order reversed August 19, 1897.	Office No. 246. Improper cross-examination of defendant upon "privileg'd communications." 117 Cal. 637. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 19, 1896. Verdict Dec. 15, 1896, guilty of burglary in first degree. Judgment Dec. 24, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 28, 1896.	Transcript filed March 15, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 29, 1897. May 12, 1897, submitted upon briefs. Order appealed from affirmed May 21, 1897.	Office No. 247. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 7, 1897. Verdict Feb. 11, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 18, 1897, one year. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 23, 1897.	Transcript filed March 19, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 30, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 10, 1897. May 13, 1897, submitted upon briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 16, 1897.	Office No. 248. Closed.
	March 18, 1897, filed petition for writ of habeas corpus. Writ issued same day. Marshal's return filed. Order admitting petitioners to bail in $1,000 filed March 18, 1897. Bond filed same day. March 19, 1897, filed Sheriff's return. Petition argued and submitted March 19, 1897. Writ discharged. Petitioners remanded. Notice of appeal filed same day. March 19, 1897, order filed discharging petitioners from custody.	Office No. 249. Closed.
Information filed May 9, 1896. Verdict Aug. 15, 1896, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment Aug. 29, 1896, eleven years. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 29, 1896.	Transcript filed March 31, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 8, 1897. Argued and submitted May 12, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 14, 1897.	Office No. 250. Error in giving and modifying instructions. 118 Cal. 262. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 290—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Oliver W. Winthrop, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank V. Bell, H. W. Quitzow for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Robbery.
Crim. 292—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Annis Elliott, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Charles T. Hughes for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Criminal..	By information—Enticing unmarried female into a house for the purpose of prostitution.
Crim. 293—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Gregory, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Oregon Sanders, R. R. Towler for Appellant	Superior Court of Trinity Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 294—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Robles, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; A. S. Newburgh, Leon E. Prescott for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Perjury.
Crim. 297—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Gray G. Southern, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. S. McKelvey, Brosseau & Montgomery for Appellant.	Superior Court of Orange Co.	Criminal..	
Crim. 299—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Case Colvin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Collins & Conn, W. D. Tupper for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 300—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Woon Tuck Wo, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. N. E. Wilson, Robert Ferral for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Indictment filed Aug. 7, 1896. Verdict Sept. 16, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 21, 1896, life. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 21, 1896.	Transcript filed April 6, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 25, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 24, 1897. Argued and submitted Aug. 16, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 10, 1897.	Office No. 251. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 31, 1896. Verdict Oct. 13, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 19, 1896, five years and fine of $1,000. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 16, 1896.	Transcript filed April 7, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed April 30, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 7, 1897. Submitted Sept. 24, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Jan. 15, 1898.	Office No. 252. Erroneous admission of evidence. 119 Cal. 593. Closed.
Information filed July 1, 1896. Verdict Sept. 2, 1896, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment Sept. 5, 1896, thirty years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 15, 1896.	Transcript filed April 9, 1897. Appellant's brief filed April 17, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 11, 1897. Argued and submitted Aug. 9, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 2, 1898.	Office No. 253. Closed.
Indictment filed Oct. 22, 1896. Verdict Jan. 20, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 29, 1897, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 1, 1897.	Transcript filed April 10, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 24, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 4, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed June 23, 1897. Respondent's supplemental brief filed June 30, 1897. Appellant's supplemental brief filed July 6, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Sept. 3, 1897.	Office No. 254. Failure of indictment to state facts sufficient to constitute an offense. 117 Cal. 681. Closed.
Notice of motion to set aside and cancel certificate settling the bill of exceptions in said cause, and for the correction of an error in said bill of exceptions, etc., filed Feb. 11, 1897. Order of Court filed setting aside and canceling certificate settling said bill of exceptions, Feb. 25, 1897. Filed order settling and allowing corrected bill of exceptions, March 30, 1897. Notice of appeal filed March 31, 1897.	Transcript filed April 5, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 29, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 12, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 16, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 25, 1897.	Office No. 255. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 19, 1896. Verdict Nov. 13, 1896, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Nov. 20, 1896, life. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 20, 1896.	Transcript filed April 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 9, 1897. Respondent's brief filed July 30, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 9, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 23, 1897.	Office No. 256. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 24, 1896. Verdict Oct. 15, 1896, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Nov. 9, 1896, life. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 8, 1896.	Transcript filed April 30, 1897. Appellant's brief filed June 1, 1897. Respondent's brief filed July 9, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 9, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 15, 1898.	Office No. 257. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 304—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Ludwig, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent: H. V. Morehouse, F. J. Hambly for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara Co.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 305—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Lewis Kaiser, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. Edward Marks for Appellant.	Superior Court of Placer County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Incest.
Crim. 306—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Wilson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. L. Crocker, J. F. McSwain for Appellant.	Superior Court of Merced County.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit infamous crime against nature.
Crim. 308—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Henry Theodore Durrant, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Eugene Deuprey, John H. Dickinson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	.....
Crim. 309—Ex parte William Long for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; M. G. Cobb for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 310—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. You Den, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Otis, Gregg & Hall for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Criminal..	By information—Assault to murder.
Crim. 311—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles Sears, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Blakely & Barber for Appellant.	Superior Court Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Nov. 16, 1896. Verdict Jan. 15, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 5, 1897, fifteen years. Notice of appeal filed March 31, 1897.	Transcript filed April 28, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 17, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 14, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 16, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 22, 1897.	Office No. 258. Closed.
Indictment filed Dec. 22, 1896. Verdict Feb. 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment March 22, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed March 24, 1897.	Transcript filed April 28, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 5, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 1, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 17, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 29, 1898.	Office No. 259. Closed.
Information filed Feb. 15, 1897. Verdict March 13, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment March 20, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed March 26, 1897.	Transcript filed May 5, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 3, 1897. Submitted on briefs Sept. 24, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 20, 1897.	Office No. 260. Closed.
Remititur of Supreme Court filed April 3, 1897. Order to produce defendant and notice filed April 5, 1897. April 10, 1897, order made fixing June 11, 1897, as day of execution. Notice of appeal filed from said order April 10, 1897.	Transcript filed May 8, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 19, 1897. Respondent's brief filed May 29, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed June 3, 1897. Served and filed notice of motion to dismiss appeal Dec., 1897. Motion argued and submitted Dec. 6, 1897. Motion to dismiss denied Dec. 8, 1897. Order affirmed Dec. 8, 1897.	Office No. 261. Closed.
	Petition for writ filed May 11, 1897. Writ issued same day, returnable May 17, 1897. Return filed, argued and submitted May 17, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed May 25, 1897. Filed amended return July 12, 1897. Writ discharged July 12, 1897.	Office No. 262. Closed.
Information filed Feb. 1, 1897. Verdict March 4, 1897, guilty of assault with intent to do bodily injury. Judgment March 15, 1897, one year. Notice of appeal filed March 10, 1897.	Transcript filed May 10, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 26, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 28, 1897. Appeal dismissed July 12, 1897.	Office No. 263. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 7, 1897. Verdict Feb. 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 10, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 11, 1897.	Transcript filed May 12, 1897. Appellant's brief filed May 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 5, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed June 12, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 17, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 14, 1897.	Office No. 264. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 312—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Tillio Luchetti, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. H. Appel, A. M. Niles, S. C. Whitney for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 313—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Elmer Letterill, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; William H. O'Brien for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 314—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Henry F. Yokum, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. V. Reardan, W. H. Layson for Appellant.	Superior Court of Butte Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 315—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. E. I. Bryant, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; A. B. McCutchen, Ben Goodrich for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Obtaining money by false pretenses.
Crim. 317—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles Clausen, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; John R. Aitken, M. L. Asher for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Receiving stolen property.
Crim. 319—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Josiah Lovren, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; John R. Kittrell, S. J. Hinds, Lillis & Clack for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare County.	Criminal..	By information—Boarding a railroad passenger train with intent to rob.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Dec. 4, 1896. Verdict guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 20, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 21, 1897.	Transcript filed May 20, 1897. Appellant's brief filed June 1, 1897. Respondent's brief filed June 30, 1897. Submitted on briefs Aug. 17, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Jan. 4, 1898.	Office No. 265. Closed.
Information filed March 16, 1897. Verdict April 2, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment April 28, 1897, seven years. Notice of appeal filed April 28, 1897.	Transcript filed May 26, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 17, 1897.	Office No. 266. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 31, 1895. Verdict May 15, 1896, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment June 1, 1896, five years. Notice of appeal filed May 7, 1897.	Transcript filed May 26, 1897. Appellant's brief filed June 26, 1897. Respondent's brief filed July 7, 1897. Argued and submitted Aug. 9, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 4, 1897.	Office No. 267. Closed.
Indictment filed Jan. 23, 1896. Demurrer filed April 16, 1896. Sustained April 16, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 3, 1896.	Transcript filed June 21, 1897. Appellant's brief filed June 30, 1897. Respondent's brief filed July 30, 1897. Argued and submitted Oct. 14, 1897. Judgment and order reversed Jan. 15, 1898. Superior Court directed to overrule demurrer to indictment.	Office No. 268. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 18, 1896. Verdict Feb. 11, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 26, 1897, two years and six months. Notice of appeal filed March 2, 1897.	Transcript filed June 28, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 23, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 7, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 17, 1897. Argued and submitted Feb. 23, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed March 25, 1898.	Office No. 269. Closed.
Information filed May 6, 1897. Verdict June 19, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 29, 1897, life. Notice of appeal filed June 29, 1897.	Transcript filed June 29, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 6, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 3, 1897. Argued and submitted Oct. 14, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 4, 1897. Rehearing denied Dec. 24, 1897.	Office No. 270. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Circuit Court No. 12,381—In the matter of Salter D. Worden for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; George W. Monteith for Petitioner.	Circuit Court of United States, Ninth Circuit, Northern District of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Circuit Court No. 12,380—In the matter of the application of W. H. T. Durrant for a writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Eugene N. Deuprey, L. P. Boardman for Petitioner.	Circuit Court of United States, Ninth Circuit, Northern District of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
June 3, 1897, filed petition; petition heard; order writ denied and petition dismissed; exceptions allowed; filed notice of appeal and assignment of errors; order appeal allowed; filed order allowing appeal to U. S Circuit Court of Appeals; filed notice of appeal; filed assignment of errors; order appeal allowed; filed order allowing appeal to U.S. Supreme Court; ordered application for writ of supersedeas denied and exception allowed; ordered bond on appeal fixed at $250 each. June 4, 1897, served on Attorney-General copies of said notices of appeal, orders and assignment of errors; citation served; filed order to prosecute without payment of fees; June 7, 1897, filed bond on appeal to U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals; filed citation; filed bond on appeal to U. S. Supreme Court; filed citation. June 18, 1898, filed order granting citation. June 28, 1898, citation served on Warden Aull. June 30, 1898, citation served on Governor; filed citation and Marshal's return of service.	U. S. No. 470 of the United States. Geo. J. Crossley, Petitioner, vs. California. Transcript of Record filed in U. S. Supreme Court Oct. 9, 1897; motion to advance cause submitted by the Attorney-General on the first Monday in November, 1897; motion to advance cause granted Nov. 8, 1897, and case set down for argument on first Monday of December, 1897; appellee's brief filed Dec. 4, 1897; cause submitted on Dec. 14, 1897; order of Circuit Court affirmed Jan. 3, 1898.	Office No. 271. Crossley vs. California. 168 U. S. 40. Closed.
June 2, 1897, filed petition; ordered writ denied and petition dismissed; exception allowed and application for appeal continued to June 3d. June 3, 1897, filed petition for appeal and assignment of errors; filed and entered order allowing appeal to U. S. Supreme Court; bond fixed at $500; filed bond on appeal June 4, 1897; filed citation and return of service June 7, 1897; delivered transcript on appeal to L. P. Boardman June 22d.	W. H. T. Durrant, Appellant, vs. W. E. Hale, Warden, etc. U. S. No. 429. Transcript filed July 31, 1897; notice of motion by appellee to advance cause for hearing and affidavit and motion filed Sept. 29, 1897; motion by appellee to dismiss appeal and to affirm order of lower court; notice of motion and brief in support served on Oct. 2, 1897; motion to advance submitted by Attorney-General on Oct. 12, 1897; motion to advance granted on Oct. 18, 1897, and case set down for argument on Nov. 15, 1897; brief of appellant on motion of appellee to dismiss and affirm filed Oct. 30, 1897; motions of appellee to dismiss and affirm submitted by Attorney-General Nov. 1, 1897; motion of appellee to affirm granted and order of U. S. Circuit Court affirmed Nov. 8, 1897; motion of appellee for issuance of mandate granted Nov. 15, 1897.	Office No. 272. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 322—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Albert Knox, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald; Attorney-General, for Appellant; E. L. Webber, W. P. Miles for Respondent.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By information—Falsely personating another.
Crim. 323—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Antonio Kuches, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. W. Johnson for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit rape.
Crim. 324—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Jack Arrighini, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Thomas S. Ford for Appellant.	Superior Court of Nevada County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 325—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Jesse Morrow, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. H. Stewart, G. W. Harris for Appellant.		Criminal..	
Crim. 327—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Lee, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; F. F. Davis, A. M. Cates for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 328—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Chew Wing Gow, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Davis & Rush, R. A. Ling for Respondent.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 329—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John R. Colon, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Coglan & Harvey for Appellant.	Superior Court of Solano Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 331—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Benjamin Dice, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Bradley & Farnsworth, Daggett & Adams for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed June 19, 1897. Demurrer filed June 23, 1897. Demurrer sustained July 1, 1897. Notice of appeal filed July 1, 1897.	Transcript filed July 9, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 5, 1897. Submitted on briefs, Oct. 14, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 17, 1897.	Office No. 273. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 2, 1896. Verdict Jan. 22, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 1, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed March 26, 1897.	Transcript filed July 12, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 8, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 9, 1897. Submitted on briefs, Oct. 15, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed April 9, 1898.	Office No. 274. Closed.
Information filed April 16, 1897. Verdict May 29, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment June 2, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed June 8, 1897.	Transcript filed July 20, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 30, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 10, 1897. Submitted on briefs, Oct. 15, 1897; April 16, 1898, submission set aside and submitted in bank.	Office No. 275.
	July 24, 1897, filed stipulation dismissing appeal. Appeal dismissed.	Office No. 276. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 4, 1896. Verdict Jan. 29, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 29, 1897, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed July 24, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 19, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 7, 1897. Oct. 15, 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 22, 1897.	Office No. 277. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 25, 1896. Verdict Oct. 12, 1896, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Oct. 12, 1896, life. Notice of motion for new trial filed Nov. 14, 1896. New trial granted Dec. 3, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 11, 1896.	Transcript filed July 24, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 23, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 25, 1897. Oct. 13, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed March 16, 1898.	Office No. 278. Closed.
Information filed June 14, 1897. Verdict June 19, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 21, 1897, one year.	Transcript filed July 28, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 16, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 27, 1897. Jan. 25, 1898, appeal dismissed.	Office No. 279. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 13, 1896. Verdict Jan. 26, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment March 8, 1897, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed March 8, 1897.	Transcript filed July 31, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 27, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 16, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Nov. 8, 1897. Nov. 1897, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 24, 1898. Rehearing denied March 26, 1898.	Office No. 280. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 332—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles Slater, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. R. McNamara, Walter F. Jones for Appellant.	Superior Court of Del Norte County.	Criminal..	By information—Abduction.
Crim. 333—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. A. Bert Bynan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. E. Rowell, A. G. Hinckley, W. A. Harris, for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Libel.
Crim. 334—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. F. S. Gwin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. H. Stewart, G. N. Harris for Appellant.	Superior Court of Modoc Co.	Criminal..	
Crim. 336—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John F. Barthelman, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank F. Davis for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 339—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Taylor, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; R. B. Myers, William H. O'Brien for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 340—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Tatum, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. G. Goucher, John R. Kittrell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Madera County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 341—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Greenville Lambert, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Johnston & Thatcher for Appellant.	Superior Court of Mendocino County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 342—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Patrick Kelley, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. G. Nagle for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed June 12, 1897. Verdict June 15, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 18, 1897, two years and six months and fine of $1,000. Notice of appeal filed June 28, 1897.	Transcript filed Aug. 2, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 13, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 27, 1897. Oct. 15, 1897, submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed Jan. 8, 1898.	Office No. 281. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 21, 1896. Verdict Jan. 8, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 19, 1897, fine of $200.00 or imprisonment in County Jail until fine is satisfied. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 29, 1897.	Transcript filed July 30, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 21, 1898.	Office No. 282. Closed.
	Appeal dismissed Aug. 10, 1897.	Office No. 283. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 4, 1896. Verdict Jan. 26, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 29, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 10, 1897.	Transcript filed Aug. 12, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 8, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 12, 1897. Argued and submitted Oct. 15, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 2, 1898.	Office No. 284. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 7, 1897. Verdict May 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment May 18, 1897, six years. Notice of appeal filed May 19, 1897.	Transcript filed Sept. 3, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 13, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 3, 1897. Submitted on briefs Nov. 10, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 29, 1897.	Office No. 285. Closed.
Information filed July 23, 1896. Verdict Oct. 13, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 27, 1896, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 27, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 3, 1897. Judgment affirmed Nov. 10, 1897.	Office No. 286. Closed.
Information filed May 13, 1897, Verdict May 20, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment May 24, 1897, life. Notice of appeal filed June 30, 1897.	Transcript filed Sept. 11, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 5, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Feb. 24, 1898.	Office No. 287. Error in admitting and excluding evidence; also in giving an instruction predicated upon evidence erroneously admitted. XV Cal. Dec. 83. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 29, 1896. Verdict Jan. 14, 1897, guilty of burglary second degree. Judgment Jan. 29, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 29, 1897.	Transcript filed Sept. 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 12, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 28, 1897. Submitted Feb. 21, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed March 14, 1898.	Office No. 288. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 343—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Francisco Vidal, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Graves & Graves for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Luis Obispo Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Grand larceny.
District Court, 11,364. In the matter of the application of Benjamin L. Hill, for a writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for respondent; W. W. Foote, M. L. Chapman for Petitioner.	United States District Court Northern District of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Circuit Court, 12,507. In the matter of the application of Harvey Allender for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank Shay for Petitioner.	United States Circuit Court Northern District of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
District Court, 11,380. In the matter of the application of Joseph Japhet Ebanks for a writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; John R. Aitken for Petitioner.	United States District Court Northern District of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 344—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Lundin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. G. Nagle for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 346—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Carl W. Von Tiedeman, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. D. Collins for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Perjury.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Indictment filed Dec. 16, 1896. Verdict March 9, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment April 1, 1897, two years. Notice of appeal filed April 9, 1897.	Transcript filed Sept. 15, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 4, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 3, 1897. Submitted on briefs Nov. 11, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded June 16, 1898.	Office No. 289. Erroneous admission of evidence. XV Cal. Dec. 215. Closed.
Petition for writ filed Aug. 27, 1897. August 27, 1897, hearing of application. Application denied. Order filed granting appeal to U.S. Supreme Court. Returnable Oct. 1st. Bond for costs fixed at $500. Petition for appeal and assignment of errors filed. Filed bond for costs on appeal. Bond approved Aug. 28, 1897. Filed Marshal's return of service of order allowing appeal, petition for writ, order and bond, on W. E. Hale on Aug. 27, 1897. Sept. 23, 1897, transcript on appeal filed.	U.S. No. 480. Benjamin L. Hill, Appellant, vs. W. E. Hale, Warden, etc. Upon motion of Attorney-General case was advanced on calendar and set down for argument Dec. 6, 1897. Case reached upon calling of calendar on Dec. 7, 1897, and dismissed for failure of Appellant to print record.	Office No. 290. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 2, 1897. Order denying writ filed same day. Filed petition for appeal and assignment of errors. Bond fixed at $500. Bond filed. Citation issued. Sept. 3, 1897, filed citation.	Harvey Allender vs. Hale, Warden, etc. Case advanced upon motion of Attorney-General, and set down for Nov. 2, 1897. Appeal dismissed by U.S. Supreme Court, Nov. 2, 1897, upon motion of Attorney-General.	Office No. 291. Closed.
Petition filed Oct. 8, 1897. Ordered application denied. Order appeal to U.S. Supreme Court granted. Return day, first Monday in November. Bond for costs on appeal fixed at $500. Appeal and assignment of errors filed. Bond for costs approved and filed. Marshal's return of service on Warden filed.	Jos. J. Ebanks, Appellant, vs. W. E. Hale, Warden, etc. U. S. No. 501. Transcript filed Nov. 2, 1897. Motion of appellee to dismiss. Motion to affirm, and notice of motions filed Nov. 4, 1897. Appellee's brief filed Nov. 4, 1897. Motion to dismiss and affirm submitted Dec. 13, 1897. Motion to affirm granted and order of lower court affirmed Dec. 20, 1897.	Office No. 292. Closed.
Information filed June 27, 1896. Verdict Aug. 26, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 14, 1897, three years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 21, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 4, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 14, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 2, 1897. Argued and submitted Feb. 21, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed March 18, 1898.	Office No. 293. Closed.
Indictment filed June 5, 1896. Verdict June 3, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 16, 1897, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed June 29, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 8, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 12, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 5, 1897. Argued and submitted Nov. 10, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Feb. 17, 1898.	Office No. 294. Error in charging the jury. XV Cal. Dec., 72. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 348—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Louis J. Searcey, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; B. F. Bledsoe, Gordan & Hall, H. M. Willis for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Murder.
Crim. 349—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. James Hunt, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Wm. T. Blakely for Respondent.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Resisting an officer.
Crim. 350—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. E. P. Reed, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Jo Hamilton for Appellant.	Superior Court of Placer County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Superior Court 9143—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. Joseph Japhet Ebanks, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General for Respondent.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By citation to J. C. Edgar, Acting Warden, San Quentin State Prison, to show cause why he should not be adjudged guilty of contempt, etc. Affidavit and citation filed Oct. 19, 1897. Served Oct. 22, 1897.
Crim. 351—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ezra N. Cokahnour, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Wm. T. Blakely for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Arson.
Crim. 352—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Andrew J. Weir, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney General, for Respondent; Ferral, Wilson & Terry for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Obtaining money by false pretenses.
Crim. 353—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. R. A. Shaver, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; T. H. Farrar, E. N. Rector, J. T. McSwain for Appellant.	Superior Court of Merced County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Indictment filed Dec. 16, 1896. Verdict March 4, 1897, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment April 5, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed April 5, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 11, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 22, 1898. Cause argued and submitted April 14, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed May 28, 1898.	Office No. 295. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 23, 1897. Demurrer filed Sept. 25, 1897, sustained. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 1, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 20, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 9, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 20, 1897. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded March 15, 1898.	Office No. 296. Closed.
Information filed June 28, 1897. Verdict Sept. 16, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Sept. 22, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 20, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 27, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 3, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 23, 1898.	Office No. 297. Closed.
		Office No. 298. Closed.
Information filed April 23, 1897. Verdict June 19, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 29, 1897, three years. Notice of appeal filed June 29, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 11, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 12, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 27, 1897. Feb. 23, 1898, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed March 9, 1898. Rehearing denied April 7, 1898.	Office No. 299. Closed.
Information filed March 20, 1897. Verdict April 26, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment May 7, 1897, eight years. Notice of appeal filed May 20, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 27, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 26, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 14, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 15, 1898. Rehearing denied.	Office No. 300. Closed.
Information filed July 27, 1896. Verdict June 16, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment June 24, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed June 24, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 27, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 8, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 7, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Dec. 13, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 24, 1898.	Office No. 301. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 354—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Selly Hough, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. H. Webb for Appellant.	Superior Court of Monterey County.	Criminal..	By information—Seduction.
Crim. 355—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Miller, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. N. E. Wilson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 357—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Fong Hong, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Jos. Napthaly, W. H. Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Arson.
Crim. 358—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Henry Theodore Durrant, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Eugene N. Deuprey, John H. Dickinson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	
Crim. 359—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. George L. Plyler, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; D. W. Burchard, L. O'Neil, W. A. McQuire, H. C. Moore for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Cruz County.	Criminal..	By information—Mayhem.
Crim. 360—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank Prather, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. C. Ball, W. R. Jacobs for Appellant.	Superior Court of Yolo County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larcency.
Crim. 361—Ex parte J. C. Edgar, on habeas corpus.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Feb. 12, 1897. Verdict April 8, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment May 10, 1897, one and one half years. Notice of appeal filed July 9, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 1, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 16, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed April 8, 1898.	Office No. 302. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 17, 1896. Verdict March 5, 1897, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment March 13, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed April 20, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 1, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 11, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed July 1, 1898.	Office No. 303. Closed.
Information filed July 26, 1897. Verdict Oct. 9, 1897, guilty of arson first degree. Judgment Oct. 11, 1897, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 14, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 6, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 1, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 21, 1897. Argued and submitted Feb. 24, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed May 21, 1898.	Office No. 304. Closed.
Order of Court made fixing Nov. 12th as day of execution Nov. 10, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 10, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 11, 1897. Ordered that Warden of said prison stay all proceedings under said order until further order of Supreme Court, Nov. 11, 1897. Reversed, Dec. 8, 1897.	Office No. 305. Error confessed. Premature order for the execution of the judgment of conviction. 119 Cal. 201. Closed.
Information filed July 19, 1897. Verdict August 24, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 8, 1897, fourteen years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 10, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 11, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 17, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 10, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Dec. 18, 1897. Argued and submitted Feb. 24, 1898. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded June 6, 1898.	Office No. 306. Closed.
Information filed April 14, 1897. Verdict May 21, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment June 5, 1897, six years. Notice of appeal filed June 5, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 14, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 18, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed May 18, 1898.	Office No. 307. Closed.
	Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed Nov. 12, 1897. Writ issued same day. Return to writ filed Nov. 12, 1897. Argued and submitted Nov. 18, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 19, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed Nov. 22, 1897. Prisoner discharged Dec. 1, 1897.	Office No. 308. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 363—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Anthony Carcopo, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Jas. A. Devoto for Respondent.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	
Crim. 364—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Nicholas Brennan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. L. Foster, Richard Gibson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Extortion.
Crim. 365—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. S. A. Gilmore, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. H. Daly, E. A. Rogers for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tuolumne County.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 367—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Japhet Ebanks, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	
Crim. 368—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Japhet Ebanks, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	
U. S. Dist. Court 11,400—In re application of Joseph Japhet Ebanks for writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	U. S. District Court, Northern Dist. of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 369—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Louis Montariol, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. Marion Brooks, D. K. Trask for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
	Transcript filed Nov. 30, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 31, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 29, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 18, 1898. Submitted on briefs Feb. 24, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed July 25, 1898.	Office No. 309. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 27, 1897. Verdict April —, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment April 23, 1897, three years. Notice of appeal filed April 23, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 23, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 3, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 23, 1897. Notice of motion for diminution of record served Feb. 19, 1898. Argued and submitted Feb. 24, 1898. Judgment and order reversed July 21, 1898.	Office No. 310, Erroneous admission of evidence. XVI Cal. Dec. 39. Closed.
Information filed June 15, 1897. Verdict June 30, 1897, guilty of burglary first degree. Judgment July 24, 1897, four years. Notice of appeal filed July 24, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 26, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 31, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 22, 1898. Argued and submitted April 14, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed June 27, 1898.	Office No. 311. Closed.
Order of Sept. 30, 1897, fixing day of execution. Appeal filed Oct. 1, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 27, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 30, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 7, 1898. Submitted on briefs Feb. 24, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed April 18, 1898.	Office No. 312. Closed.
Nov. 5, 1897, ordered that Dec. 3, 1897, be fixed as day of execution. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 27, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 30, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 7, 1898. Certificate of probable cause granted and stay of proceedings ordered. Order reversed on confession of error, remittitur issued Feb. 24, 1898.	Office No. 313. Error confessed. Order for execution of judgment erroneously made pending habeas corpus proceeding in the Federal Courts. Not reported. Closed.
Petition filed Nov. 30, 1897. Dec. 1, 1897, ordered that writ issue to Warden returnable Dec. 2, 1897, at 11 A. M. Dec. 2, 1897, petition argued. Writ discharged and Petitioner remanded.		Office No. 314. Closed.
Information filed July 8, 1897. Verdict July 28, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Aug. 2, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 2, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 1, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 25, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 17, 1898. April 14, 1898, argued and submitted. Judgment and order affirmed May 25, 1898.	Office No. 315. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 370—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Adolph Silva, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. E. McElrath for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 371—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Manuel Fellows, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. G. Scarborough, H. T. Matthews for Appellant.	Superior Court of Orange Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 372—Ex parte Manuel Thomas on habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. F. McSwain for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 373—Ex parte John Becknell on habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; L. H. Farrar for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 375—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Aaron Appleton, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Wm. Hoff Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 379—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Lee Quock, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; N. S. Wirt for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit murder.
Crim. 380—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Henry Theodore Durrant, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. N. Deuprey, John H. Dickinson, L. P. Boardman for Appellant.		Criminal..	
Crim. 381—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Manuel Chaves, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Omar Bushnell for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed April 16, 1897. Verdict June 2, 1897, guilty of grand larceny. Judgment June 19, 1897, seven years. Notice of appeal filed June 19, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 15, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 28, 1898. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded Aug. 17, 1898.	Office No. 316. Erroneous refusal of requested instructions. XVI Cal. Dec., 72. Closed.
Information filed July 12, 1897. Verdict Sept. 24, 1897, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment Oct. 8, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 6, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 20, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 9, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed March 5, 1898. Submitted on briefs April 13, 1898.	Office No. 317.
	Petition filed Dec. 16, 1897, writ issued Dec. 17, 1897; returnable Dec. 22, 1897. Argued and submitted Dec. 22, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed Dec. 27, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 28, 1897. Ordered that petitioner be discharged Dec. 31, 1897.	Office No. 318. Closed.
	Petition filed Dec. 16, 1897; writ issued Dec. 17, 1897, returnable Dec. 22, 1897. Argued and submitted Dec. 22, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed Dec. 22, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 28, 1897. Minor discharged Dec. 31, 1897.	Office No. 319. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 25, 1897. Verdict Oct. 11, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 23, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 28, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 10, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 8, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 25, 1898. Argued and submitted Feb. 25, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed March 5, 1898. Petition for rehearing filed March 25, 1898; denied April 2, 1898.	Office No. 320. Closed.
Information filed Oct. 31, 1896. Verdict Jan. 21, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 6, 1897, eight years. Notice of appeal filed April 6, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 24, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed April 14, 1898.	Office No. 321. Closed.
	Petition for writ of probable cause filed Dec. 27, 1897. Petition denied Dec. 28, 1897.	Office No. 322. Closed.
Information filed June 2, 1897. Verdict July 27, 1897, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment July 30, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 17, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 22, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 21, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 27, 1898. Submitted on briefs April 14, 1898.	Office No. 323.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 382—The People, etc. Respondent, vs. William Henry Theodore Durrant, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. N. Duprey, John H. Dickinson and L. P. Boardman for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	
Crim. 384—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Philip Collum and Charles A. Shephard, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Reese Clark for Appellants.	Superior Court of Yolo Co.	Criminal..	By indictment—Arson.
Crim. 388—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Walter Jones, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; M. K. Harris and S. L. Carter for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Criminal..	By information—Arson.
Crim. 389—The People, etc. Respondent, vs. Jack Burns, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Tanner & Taft for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 391—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Vasalo, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Hugh J. and Wm. Crawford for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles Co.	Criminal..	By information—Arson.
Crim. 393—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. A. L. Knott, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Louis Morris, Augustus Tilden for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 394—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. W. Miller, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Morehouse & Hambly for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara Co.	Criminal..	By information—Libel.
Crim. 396—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Gonzales Smith, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. W. Ahern, J. W. P. Laird for Appellant.	Superior Court of Kern Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Dec. 15, 1897, order filed fixing Jan. 7, 1898, as day of execution. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 15, 1897.	Filed petition for certificate of probable cause Dec. 30, 1897. Petition denied Dec. 31, 1897. Writ of supersedeas denied Jan. 1, 1898. Petition for writ of error denied Jan. 1, 1898.	Office No. 324. Closed.
Indictment filed May 28, 1896. Verdict June 6, 1897, guilty of arson in second degree. Judgment June 22, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed June 22, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 28, 1897. Appellants' brief filed Jan. 7, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 28, 1898. Submitted on briefs April 14, 1898.	Office No. 325.
Information filed July 8, 1897. Verdict Sept. 3, 1897, guilty of arson, second degree. Judgment Sept. 8, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 2, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 14, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 28, 1898.	Office No. 326.
Information filed Aug. 9, 1897. Verdict guilty as charged. Judgment Sept. 30, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 30, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 3, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 31, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 24, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed April 4, 1898. Argued and submitted April 15, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed July 25, 1898.	Office No. 327. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 21, 1897. Verdict Oct. 29, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 2, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Nov 2, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 5, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 27, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed Feb. 24, 1898. Petition for rehearing filed March 7, 1898. Denied March 23, 1898.	Office No. 328. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 8, 1897. Verdict Oct. 26, 1897, guilty of murder first degree. Judgment Nov. 5, 1897, death. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 5, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 14, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 10, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 31, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed April, 1898. Submitted on briefs April 13, 1898.	Office No. 329.
Information filed June 3, 1897. Verdict Oct. 12, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 9, 1897, one year in county jail. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 17, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 14, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 31, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 1, 1898. Submitted on briefs April 15, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 10, 1898.	Office No. 330. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 14, 1896. Verdict May 24, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment June 14, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed June 14, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 21, 1898. Argued and submitted April 13, 1898. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded July 1, 1898.	Office No. 331. Improper conduct of the District Attorney in his argument to the jury. XVI. Cal. Dec. 11. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 397—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Chin Ah Wah, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Robert Ferral, T. V. Eddy for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal.	By information—Murder.
Crim. 400—Ex parte S. C. Long and Ida Long on habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Charles R. Gray for Petitioners.	Supreme Court of California.	Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 402—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Ida Long, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Charles R. Gray for Respondent.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Perjury.
Crim. 403—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Wm. H. Godwin, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Graves & Graves for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Luis Obispo Co.	Criminal..	By information—Seduction.
Crim. 404—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. James George, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; V. G. Frosh for Respondent.	Superior Court of Merced County.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with a deadly weapon.
Crim. 405—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Mrs. S. C. Long, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Chas. R. Gray for Respondent.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Perjury.
Crim. 406—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John F. Miller, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Thos. Fitch, John G. North for Appellant.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 408—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. T. M. Gleason, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; A. J. Bledsoe for Appellant.	Superior Court of Kern County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Nov. 23, 1896. Verdict June 4, 1897, guilty of murder, first degree. Judgment July 16, 1897, life. Notice of appeal filed July 16, 1897.	Transcript filed Jan. 25, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed April 15, 1898.	Office No. 332. Closed.
	Petition filed Feb. 4, 1898. Writ issued returnable Feb. 26, 1898. Return filed Feb. 26, 1898. Petition argued and submitted Feb. 26, 1898. Petition granted and prisoners discharged Feb. 26, 1898.	Office No. 333. Closed.
Indictment filed Dec. 23, 1897. Demurrer filed Jan. 18, 1898. Demurrer sustained Jan. 20, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 22, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 11, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 22, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 9, 1898. Argued and submitted April 15, 1898. Order appealed from affirmed July 21, 1898.	Office No. 334. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 16, 1897. Verdict Oct. 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Oct. 8, 1897, fined $750 or confinement in county jail. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed Feb. 19, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 17. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 17, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 17, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 29, 1898.	Office No. 335.
Information filed Nov. 2, 1897. Motion to set aside information argued and submitted Jan. 11, 1898. Motion granted and District Attorney directed to file new information. Bill of exceptions filed Oct. 26, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 15, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 21, 1898. Argued and submitted April 15, 1898. Order reversed July 21, 1898.	Office No. 336. Closed.
Indictment filed Dec. 23, 1897. Demurrer filed Jan. 18, 1898. Demurrer sustained Jan. 20, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 22, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 11, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 22, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 9, 1898. Cause argued and submitted April 15, 1898. Order appealed from affirmed July 21, 1898.	Office No. 337. Closed.
Information filed Sept. 29, 1897. Verdict Dec. 16, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Jan. 3, 1898, six years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 3, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 26, 1898. Respondent brief filed March 24, 1898. Argued and submitted April 15, 1898.	Office No. 338.
Information filed Sept. 16, 1897. Verdict Nov. 16, 1897, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Dec. 21, 1897, life. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 11, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 28, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 2, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 11, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed March 19, 1898. Argued and submitted April 13, 1898.	Office No. 339.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 410—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ting Chung Duy alias Ah Fook, alias Aung Chang Foy, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Thompson & Prince for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 411—Ex parte William Martin and Nels Johnson. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By petition for a writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 414—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Secundino Higuera, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Dunnigan & Dunnigan for Appellant,	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Seduction.
Crim. 415—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Walter De Carlo, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. E. Strong, C. L. Jones for Appellant.	Superior Court of Yolo Co.	Criminal..	By information—Perjury.
Crim. 416—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Ludwig A. Streuber, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Fred W. Fry for Appellant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Criminal..	By information—Felony; allowing his wife to live in a house of prostitution.
Crim. 417—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Leon Hill, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank H. Short for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Criminal .	By information—Murder.
Crim. 420—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Boo Doo Hong, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. P. Matlock for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tehama County.	Criminal..	By information—Practicing medicine without a certificate.
Crim. 421—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Richard Phelan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. J. Banning, Barclay Henley, F. D. Soward for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sierra County.	Criminal..	By indictment—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Nov. 1, 1897. Verdict Nov. 24, 1897, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment Dec. 2, 1897, life. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 4, 1897.	Transcript filed March 4, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed May 3, 1898.	Office No. 340. Closed.
	Petition filed March 4, 1898. Writ issued March 5, 1898. Filed return to writ March 9, 1898. Argued and submitted March 9, 1898. Prisoners discharged March 9, 1898.	Office No. 341. Closed.
Information filed January 19, 1897. Verdict March 19, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment March 22, 1897, fine of $600, or imprisonment in county jail one day for each $2. Notice of appeal filed March 22, 1897.	Transcript filed March 7, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 28, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 13, 1898.	Office No. 342.
Information filed Aug. 20, 1897. Verdict Oct. 14, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Nov. 1, 1897, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 8. 1897.	Transcript filed March 7, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 16, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 21, 1898. Submitted upon briefs May 4, 1898.	Office No. 343.
Information filed Oct. 11, 1897. Verdict Nov. 24, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 20, 1898, three years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 22, 1898.	Transcript filed March 15, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 28, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 3, 1898. Submitted on briefs May 14, 1898. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded July 16, 1898.	Office No. 344. Erroneous instructions. XVI Cal. Dec. 38. Closed.
Information filed July 27, 1897. Verdict Sept. 14, 1897, murder in first degree. Judgment Sept. 18, 1897, imprisonment for life. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 18, 1897.	Transcript filed March 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 22, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 13, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed April 30, 1898. Submitted upon briefs May 5, 1898.	Office No. 345.
Information filed Nov. 13, 1897. Verdict Jan. 26, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 29, 1898, fine of $350 or imprisonment in County Jail one day for each $2. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 29, 1898.	Transcript filed March 21, 1898. Appellant's brief filed March 28, 1898. Respondent's brief filed April 18, 1898. Submitted upon briefs May 5, 1898.	Office No. 346.
Indictment filed Nov. 4, 1897. Verdict Dec. 14, 1897, guilty of murder, second degree. Judgment Dec. 18, 1897, twenty-five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 10, 1898.	Transcript filed March 19, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 27, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 16, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 1, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 2, 1898.	Office No. 347.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime
Crim. 422—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Ben Beach and G. H. Peah, Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Fairall & Carroll for Respondents.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Criminal..	By information—Illegal fishing.
Crim. 425—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. J. Damonne, alias Jeremiah Denomme, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. D. Crichton for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 426—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James H. Cummings, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. H. Hubbs for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Obtaining money and property by false pretenses.
Crim. 429—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Harry Lynch, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. O. Prewett, W. F. Renfro, for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 430—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Wm. Barron McKay, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. C. Ross for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Mateo County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 433—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Victorian Sola, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Holcomb, Allender & Hughes for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand Larceny.
Crim. 434—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John Black, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Wm. Hoff Cook for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Bringing stolen property into State.
Crim. 435—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Keenan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; E. M. Sweeney, E. L. O'Connor for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.
Crim. 437—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. G. Griffith, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; F. W. Sawyer for Appellant.	Superior Court of Sonoma County.	Criminal..	By information—Obtaining property by false and fraudulent pretenses.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Feb. 17, 1898. Motion to set aside information filed Feb. 19, 1898. Motion granted Feb. 28, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 28, 1898.	Transcript filed March 21, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 7, 1898. Respondents' brief filed April 27, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed May 4, 1898. Argued and submitted May 5, 1898. Order reversed Sept. 1, 1898.	Office No. 348.
Information filed Sept. 23, 1897. Verdict Dec. 22, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Jan. 10, 1898, five years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 11, 1898.	Transcript filed April 4, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 18, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 19, 1898. Submitted on briefs Aug. 4, 1898.	Office No. 349.
Information filed Oct. 1, 1897. Verdict Dec. 6, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 8, 1898, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 14, 1898.	Transcript filed April 7, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 26, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 15, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed July 2, 1898. Aug. 4, 1898, submitted upon briefs.	Office No. 350.
Information filed Nov. 5, 1897. Verdict Jan. 14, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 25, 1898, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 21, 1898.	Transcript filed April 7, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 19, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 5, 1898. Aug. 4, 1898, submitted upon briefs.	Office No. 351.
Information filed July 1, 1897. Verdict Sept. 3, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Sept. 14, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 14, 1897.	Transcript filed April 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 7, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 14, 1898. Aug. 2, 1898, argued and submitted.	Office No. 352.
Information filed Dec. 23, 1897. Verdict March 4, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment March 4, 1898, two years. Notice of appeal filed March 8, 1898.	Transcript filed April 18, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 4, 1898.	Office No. 353. Closed.
Information filed May 14, 1897. Verdict June 11, 1897. Judgment Oct. 1, 1897, eight years. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 1, 1897.	Transcript filed April 25, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 20, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 4, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 5, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 6, 1898.	Office No. 354. Closed.
Information filed Dec. 24, 1897. Verdict Jan. 4, 1898, guilty burglary second degree. Judgment Jan. 8, 1898, four years. Notice of appeal filed March 8, 1898	Transcript filed April 26, 1898. Judgment and order affirmed Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 355. Closed.
Information filed Nov. 3, 1897. Verdict Jan. 6, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 7, 1898, one year. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 14, 1898.	Transcript filed May 3, 1898. Appellant's brief filed June 18, 1898. Respondent's brief filed July 28, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 3, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 356.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 438—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Anthony Burgle, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. Gallagher, J. J. Guilfoyle, Jr., for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit murder.
Crim. 439—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Tom Nop, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; W. A. Sloan for Respondent.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By information—Unlawfully catching and taking fish by gill net in San Diego bay.
Crim. 440—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. R. A. Jones, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; L. A. Wright, McDonald & McDonald for Respondent.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Criminal..	By information—Perjury.
Crim. 445—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph Japhet Ebanks, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. S. Callen for Appellant.			
Crim. 446—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. A. E. Davis, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Byron L. Oliver for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 447—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. A. Clark, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. J. Beerstecher, Henry Hogan, E. L. Webber for Appellant.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 448—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Leslie Kehoe, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; S. M. Buck for Appellant.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Criminal..	By information—Seduction.
Crim. 449—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John J. Valliere, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. E. Gardner for Appellant.	Superior Court of Butte Co.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit murder.
Crim. 450—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Pete, alias Little Pete, an Indian, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Ben H. Yandell, for Appellant, Richard S. Miner, of counsel.	Superior Court of Inyo Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Sept. 10, 1897. Verdict Feb. 21, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment March 3, 1898, seven years. Notice of appeal filed March 11, 1898.	Transcript filed May 6, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 24, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 13, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 357.
Information filed Feb. 25, 1898. Demurrer filed March 22, 1898. Demurrer sustained March 25, 1898. Notice of appeal filed April 5, 1898.	Transcript filed May 5, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 11, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 28, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 358.
Information filed Dec. 20, 1897. Demurrer filed Jan. 25, 1898. Demurrer sustained Jan. 29, 1898. Notice of appeal filed April 11, 1898.	Transcript filed May 5, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 11, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 25, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed June 21, 1898. Submitted on briefs Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 359.
	Transcript filed May 20, 1898. May 25, 1898, upon the within record an oral application is made for writ of probable cause; writ denied.	Office No. 360. Closed.
Information filed Aug. 21, 1897. Verdict Dec. 7, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment Dec. 16, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 16, 1897.	Transcript filed May 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 27, 1898. Respondent's brief filed July 8, 1898. Submitted on briefs Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 361.
Information filed Jan. 29, 1898. Verdict March 22, 1898, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment March 28, 1898, death. Notice of appeal filed March 28, 1898.	Transcript filed May 25, 1898. Judgment affirmed Aug. 10, 1898.	Office No. 362. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 22, 1898. Verdict April 8, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment April 12, 1898, defendant fined $1,800. Notice of appeal filed April 12, 1898.	Transcript filed May 31, 1898. Appellant's brief filed June 6, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 28, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 5, 1898. Argued and submitted Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 363.
Information filed Feb. 9, 1898. Verdict Feb. 17, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment March 7, 1898, four years. Notice of appeal filed March 7, 1898.	Transcript filed June 2, 1898. Appellant's brief filed June 2, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 24, 1898. Submitted upon briefs Aug. 5, 1898.	Office No. 364.
Information filed Feb. 12, 1898. Verdict March 15, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment April 21, 1898, one year. Notice of appeal filed May 26, 1898.	Transcript filed June 4, 1898.	Office No. 365.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 452—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Joseph S. Cole, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; B.V. Sargent, W. S. Josselyn for Appellant.	Superior Court of Monterey County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 442—In the matter of the application of Benjamin Williams for a writ of habeas corpus. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Stanton L. Carter for Petitioner.		Criminal..	By petition for writ of habeas corpus.
Crim. 453—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Albert Frederick George Verenen-eckockockhoff, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; William H Schooler, R. L. Simpson for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 454—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Thomas Evans, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. M. Walling for Appellant.	Superior Court of Nevada Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 457—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Robert L. Hill, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Blackstock & Ewing for Appellant.	Superior Court of Ventura County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 460—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank J. Gross, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; F. W. Street, Crittenden Hampton for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tuolumne County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 461—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. C. Owens, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	Superior Court of Stanislaus County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 462—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Lon Yeck and Ye Sang, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Robert Ferral for Appellants.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 463—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Geo. Teixeira, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. G. Lamberson, C. L. Russell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Criminal..	By information—Assault with intent to commit murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed June 14, 1897. Verdict Aug. 20, 1897, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment Oct. 27, 1898, life. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 27, 1898.	Transcript filed June 15, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 12, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 29, 1898.	Office No. 366.
	Petition filed May 17, 1898. Writ issued May 17, 1898. Petitioner's brief filed June 11, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 16, 1898. Petitioner's reply brief filed June 24, 1898. Writ denied, petitioner remanded, June 30, 1898.	Office No. 367. Closed.
Information filed Jan. 18, 1898. Verdict July 8, 1898. Judgment July 14, 1898, death. Notice of appeal filed June 7, 1898.	Transcript filed June 15, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 8, 1898. Respondent's brief filed July 14, 1898.	Office No. 368.
Information filed Jan. 10, 1898. Verdict Feb. 26, 1898, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment March 4, 1898, life. Notice of appeal filed April 25, 1898.	Transcript filed June 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed June 22, 1898. Respondent's brief filed July 13, 1898.	Office No. 369.
Information filed Feb. 17 1898. Verdict March 29, 1898, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment April 4, 1898, sixteen years. Notice of appeal filed June 1, 1898.	Transcript filed June 20, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 9, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 16, 1898.	Office No. 370.
Information filed Dec. 18, 1897. Verdict Feb. 1, 1898, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Feb. 4, 1898, two years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 5, 1898.	Transcript filed June 27, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 18, 1898.	Office No. 371.
Information filed Dec. 31, 1897. Verdict Feb. 21, 1898, guilty of murder, first degree. Judgment Feb. 28, 1898, death. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 28, 1898.	Transcript filed June 29, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 11, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 29, 1898.	Office No. 372.
Information filed Feb. 17, 1897. Verdict March 23, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment April 3, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed May 18, 1897.	Transcript filed July 2, 1898.	Office No. 373.
Information filed Oct. 20, 1897. Verdict March 26, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment April 1, 1898, one year. Notice of appeal filed April 1, 1898.	Transcript filed July 2, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 8, 1898. Respondent's brief filed July 27, 1898.	Office No. 374.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 464—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank Roberts and Ed Roberts, Appellants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Goodwin & Goodwin, W. M. Boardman for Appellants.	Superior Court of Lassen Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 465—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. T. F. A. Skidmore, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Jas. H. Skirm for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Luis Obispo Co.	Criminal..	By information—Obtaining property under false pretenses.
Crim. 467—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Colonel Tupper, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Bernard L. Mills for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Sending pistol into county jail, etc.
Crim. 468—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. A. Arlington, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; A. S. Newburgh, R. H. Gaylord, G. B. Keane for Appellant.	Superior Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Criminal..	By indictment—Making and uttering a fictitious order.
Crim. 471—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Hiram Schell, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; S. J. Hinds, M. V. Ashbrook, for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 472—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. H. W. Knowlton, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; James F. Lodge for Appellant.	Superior Court of Siskiyou County.	Criminal..	By information—Fraudulently obtaining money by trick or device.
Crim. 473—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Cuff, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; G. F. Harris, D. W. Jenks, for Appellant.	Superior Court of Modoc Co.	Criminal..	By information—Attempt to kill by administering, and causing and procuring to be administered, poison.
Crim. 474—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Chas. Worthington, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; F. H. Short, N. C. Caldwell for Appellant.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 476—The People, etc., Appellant, vs. Byron Walbridge and Frank Shaw, Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; McKelvey & Bowes for Respondents.	Superior Court of Orange County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Jan. 21, 1898. Verdict March 11, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment April 1, 1898, three years. Notice of appeal filed May 27, 1898.	Transcript filed July 2, 1898. Appellants' brief filed July 15, 1898. Respondents' brief filed Sept. 7, 1898.	Office No. 375.
Information filed Feb. 19, 1898. Defendant pleaded guilty Feb. 19, 1898. Judgment Feb. 19, 1898, eight years. Notice of appeal filed June 10, 1898.	Transcript filed July 8, 1898. Appellants' brief filed July 15, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 11, 1898.	Office No. 376.
Information filed May 5, 1897. Verdict July 21, 1897, guilty as charged. Judgment July 26, 1897, five years. Notice of appeal filed July 26, 1897.	Transcript filed July 13, 1898.	Office No. 377.
Indictment filed Oct. 6, 1897. Verdict February 10, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 18, 1898, ten years. Notice of appeal filed April 15, 1898.	Transcript filed July 16, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 30, 1898.	Office No. 378.
Information filed Dec. 30, 1897. Verdict March 15, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment March 22, 1898, ten years. Notice of appeal filed March 23, 1898.	Transcript filed July 19, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 5, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 17, 1898.	Office No. 379.
Information filed Feb. 10, 1898. Verdict March 23, 1898, guilty. Judgment March 26, 1898, five years. Notice of appeal filed May 23, 1898.	Transcript filed July 19, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 20, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 26, 1898.	Office No. 380.
Information filed Sept. 5, 1896. Verdict Dec. 23, 1896, guilty as charged. Judgment Jan. 4, 1897, twenty years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 25, 1897.	Transcript filed July 18, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 22, 1898.	Office No. 381.
Inrormation filed April 11, 1898. Verdict May 2, 1898, guilty of murder in first degree. Judgment May 16, 1898, life. Notice of appeal filed May 21, 1898.	Transcript filed July 21, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 17, 1898.	Office No. 382.
Information filed April 8, 1898. Demurrer filed May 9, 1898. Demurrer sustained May 13, 1898. Notice of appeal filed June 29, 1898.	Transcript filed July 21, 1898. Appellant's brief filed July 28, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 27, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 30, 1898.	Office No. 383.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 477—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Jack Gonzales, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. E. Wilcox, D. M. Burnett for Appellant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 478—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. L. A. Scott, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. W. Ahern, Laird & Packard, W. A. Harris for Appellant.	Superior Court of Kern County.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 479—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Logan, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. B. Curtin, J. C. Webster for Appellant.	Superior Court of Tuolumne County.	Criminal..	By information—Rape.
Crim. 480—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. R. A. Bird, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; C. W. Pendleton, E. A. Meserve, J. L. Copeland for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 481—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. William Opis, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Maddux & Stonesifer, J. A. Adair for Appellant.	Superior Court of Mariposa County.	Criminal..	By information—Grand larceny.
Crim. 482—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. W. H. Elkins, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. F. Peck, F. H. Farrar, B. F. Fowler for Appellant.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.
Crim. 483—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Dan McMahon, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. J. Murphy, Brooks & Trask for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 484—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. James Patterson, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; George L. Sanders for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Robbery.
Crim. 486—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. John J. Valliere, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Geo. E. Gardner for Appellant.	Superior Court of Butte Co.	Criminal..	By information—Burglary.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Jan. 31, 1898. Verdict March 29, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment April 19, 1898, four years. Notice of appeal filed April 29, 1898.	Transcript filed July 28, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 17, 1898.	Office No. 384.
Information filed April 10, 1897. Verdict Sept. 22, 1897, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment Oct. 22, 1897, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 29, 1897.	Transcript filed July 29, 1898.	Office No. 385.
Information filed Feb. 17, 1898. Verdict May 11, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment May 25, 1898, thirty years. Notice of Appeal filed May 25, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 10, 1898.	Office No. 386.
Information filed Oct. 26, 1897. Verdict Feb. 2, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment Feb. 17, 1898, ten years. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 17, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 9, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 19, 1898.	Office No. 387.
Information filed Dec. 11, 1897. Verdict March 23, 1898, petit larceny. Judgment April 5, 1898, three months in County Jail and fine of $250. Notice of appeal filed April 5, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 17, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 19, 1898.	Office No. 388.
Information filed July 19, 1897. Verdict Oct. 6, 1897, guilty of murder in second degree. Judgment Oct. 20, 1897, thirty years. Notice of appeal filed Nov. 18, 1897.	Transcript filed Aug. 15, 1898.	Office No. 389.
Information filed March 24, 1898, Verdict May 12, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment June 4, 1898, five years. Notice of appeal filed June 4, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 15, 1898.	Office No. 390.
Information filed March 24, 1898. Verdict May 4, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment June 4, 1898, five years. Notice of appeal filed June 6, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 17, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 25, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 6, 1898.	Office No. 391.
Information filed Dec. 10, 1897. Verdict Feb. 2, 1898, guilty of burglary second degree. Judgment March 7, 1898, four years. Notice of appeal filed March 7, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 20, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 20, 1898.	Office No. 392.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CRIMINAL CASES IN

Title of Cause.	In What County Tried and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Crime.
Crim. 487—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Charles Compton, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; W. H. Shinn for Appellant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Criminal..	By information—Forgery.
Crim. 488—The People, etc., Respondent, vs. Frank A. Lewis, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; H. E. Highton and Theo. J. Roche for Appellant.	Superior Court of Shasta Co.	Criminal..	By information—Murder.

Digitized by Google

THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Information filed Aug. 21, 1897. Verdict Feb. 9, 1898, guilty as charged. Judgment, March 8, 1898, twelve years. Notice of appeal filed March 8, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 26, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 6, 1898.	Office No. 393.
Information filed Aug. 10, 1895. Verdict Feb. 12, 1898, guilty of manslaughter. Judgment March 2, 1898, seven years. Notice of appeal filed March 30, 1898.	Transcript filed Aug. 30, 1898.	Office No. 394.

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S

DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES

IN THE

SUPERIOR AND SUPREME COURTS

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN UNITED STATES COURTS.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE CALIFORNIA, AND IN

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 32100—The People, etc., ex rel. W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Bankers and Merchants Mutual Life Association of the United States, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Aylett R. Cotton and W. J. Bartnett for Receiver.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—To obtain Receiver; to recover penalty of $300, and $13 50 costs, and costs of this prosecution.
Superior Court No. 33286—Robert G. Ball, Plaintiff, vs. State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; S. C. Denson, George E. Bates for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—To recover $41,250, with interest at 7 per cent.
Superior Court No. 4524—The People, etc., ex rel. W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Perry Yaple, etc., Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney.General, and J. F. Fassett for Plaintiff; J. G. Swinnerton for Mary C. Hiles.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By complaint—Information and complaint for money escheated to the State; for $434 10 less costs.
Superior Court No. 5005—The Commissioners to Manage the Yosemite Valley and Mariposa Big Tree Grove et al., Plaintiff, vs. John B. Bernard, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; James H. Budd, Gould & McCabe for Defendant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.		By complaint—For recovery of rent.
Superior Court No. 35577—The People, etc., ex rel., W. H. H. Hart, Attorney - General, Plaintiff, vs. Bank of America et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney - General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—For forf'iture of franchise.

Digitized by Google

SUPERIOR AND SUPREME COURTS OF THE STATE OF UNITED STATES COURTS.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Feb. 16, 1891. Restraining order issued Feb. 16, 1891. A. G. Booth appointed Receiver Feb. 16, 1891, with bond fixed at $15,000, and bond filed. Judgment and decree Feb. 16, 1891, guilty as charged, and a fine of $300 imposed and costs of suit, $13 50. Amended complaint filed March 20, 1894. Answer thereto filed March 26, 1894. Order denying motion filed Nov. 28, 1894. Order authorizing payment of moneys, etc., filed Nov. 30, 1894.		Office No. 1.
Complaint filed June 9, 1891. Bond for costs approved June 9, 1891. Demurrer filed June 13, 1891; overruled Oct. 8, 1891. Answer filed Oct. 26, 1891. Amended complaint filed June 8, 1892. Demurrer to amended complaint filed June 27, 1892. Answer filed to amended complaint June 27, 1892. Demurrer to amended complaint overruled Sept. 16, 1892. Judgment for Defendant Oct. 7, 1892. Notice of intention to move for a new trial served and filed Nov. 29, 1894. Statement on motion for a new trial served Dec. 3, 1894. Defendant's proposed amendments to Plaintiff's statement on motion for a new trial served April 29, 1895.		Office No. 2.
Complaint filed Sept. 8, 1891. Order made Oct. 8, 1891, making Mary C. Hiles party defendant. Her answer filed Oct. 8. 1891.		Office No. 3.
Complaint filed Jan. 13, 1892.		Office No. 5. Closed.
Complaint filed Jan. 20, 1892. Summons served on defendants.		Office No. 7.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 2174—The People, etc., ex rel., W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, and J. D. Redding et al., Fish Commissioners, Plaintiffs, vs. Truckee Lumber Co. (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, F. P. Deering for Plaintiffs; C. F. McGlashan for Defendant.	Superior Court of Nevada County.	Civil	By complaint — To forfeit charter of defendant; fine of $5,000 and costs.
Superior Court No. 5862—The People, etc., upon the information of W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs., Reclamation District No. 364 et al. Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, W. A. Gett, Jr., for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—To dissolve said Reclamation District No. 364.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed July 6, 1893. Demurrer filed July 22, 1893.		Office No. 8. No further action, as sawdust nuisances complained of were stop'd, and defendant promis'd not to dump sawdust in stream in future. Action will be pressed if promise is not kept.
Complaint filed Dec. 9, 1894. Default of Defendants entered Nov. 12, 1894. Judgment for Plaintiff Nov. 12, 1894.		Office No. 15. Bond for costs approved Dec. 7, 1893. No appearance by Defendants. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 9194; Supreme Court No. 15729. The People, etc., upon the information and complaint of W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General of said State, Plaintiff, vs. Oakland Waterfront Company (a corporation) et al., Defendants.* W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; W. F. Herrin, Harvey S. Brown, and Martin Moore, etc., for Defendants.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil	By complaint—To quiet title, and for injunction.
Thos. L. Carothers et al., as Directors of Mendocino Asylum, Plaintiffs, vs. H. H. Markham et al., as Board of Examiners, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; J. M. Mannon for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of Sacramento County. Transferred from Mendocino County.	Civil	By complaint and affidavit for writ of mandate commanding said Board to grant the claim of $717 50.

Other Defendants: City of Oakland, a municipal corporation; Northern Railway Railroad Company, a corporation; Southern Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation; pany, a corporation; Oakland Gas, Light and Heat Company, a corporation; South Pacific corporation; Shoalwater Bay Oyster Company, a corporation; Pacific Coast Oil Com- Loan Society, a corporation; Pacific Borax Company, a corporation; California Safe tion; Hiberna Savings and Loan Society, a corporation; Oakland Bank of Savings, a a corporation; H. N. Dalton; —— Samuels and —— Newman, individually, and as co- M. Smith; George H. Collins and Albert H. Higgins, individually, and as co-partners son, and A. M. Simpson, individually, and as co-partners under the firm name of Dol- Payton H. Brooks, individually, and as co-partners doing business under the firm name Jr.; Joseph Knowland; W. C. Doane; J. L. Davie; J. M. Bassett; Mrs. E. May, a widow; Prather; E. C. Sessions; Daniel Brannan; E. B. Pomeroy; J. S. Kimball; J. S. Derby; Horace W. Carpentier; Henry L. Halsey; Chauncey Taylor; Charles Goodall; Walter as trustee; James P. Taylor; Marshall Pierce; H. F. Hamilton; Donald Beadle; Daniel Crist; Rudolf F. Crist; Julius A. Crist; Clara E. Crist; Frederick G. Crist; John Doe; John Smith Doe, trustee; Richard Smith Doe, trustee; Mary Smith Doe, trustee; Sallie poration; John Roe Company, a corporation; Richard Roe Company, a corporation, and

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Oct. 6, 1893. Amended complaint filed ——,189—. Demurrers of various Defendants filed as follows: W. C. Doane, Jan. 25, 1894; Daniel Brannon, Jan. 24, 1894; Jas. P. Taylor, Jan. 29, 1894; J. S. Derby et al., Feb. 2, 1894; V. L. Fortin, Feb. 3, 1894; Pacific Borax Co. et al., Feb. 5, 1894; Chauncey Taylor, Feb. 10, 1894; E. H. Woolsey, Feb. 10, 1894; R. M. Fitzgerald, Feb. 12, 1894; City of Oakland, Feb. 14, 1894; Donald Beadle, Feb. 15, 1894; Pacific Iron and Nail Co., Feb. 16, 1894; T. W. Badger, Feb. 21, 1894; Savings and Loan Society, Feb. 24, 1894; Oakland Water Front Co. et al., Feb. 28, 1894; Albert Miller, Feb. 28, 1894; Howard Havens, California Safe Deposit and Trust Co., Feb. 28, 1894; James F. Stratton, Feb. 28, 1894; Edson F. Adams et al., March 1, 1894; H. W. Carpentier, March 5, 1894; E. C. Sessions, March 5, 1894; H. N. Dalton, March 6, 1894. Demurrers sustained March 5, 1894. Judgment for Defendants on demurrers March 26, 1894. Notice of appeal filed March 28, 1894.	Transcript filed April 28, 1894. Appellant's brief filed June 1, 1894. Brief of H. N. Dalton filed June 21, 1894. Brief of T. W. Badger filed June 27, 1894. Brief of City of Oakland filed Aug. 27, 1894. Appellant's reply filed Sept. 26, 1894. Brief on behalf of City of Oakland (for reference) filed Jan. 18, 1895. Leave granted Southern Pacific Company to file briefs presented to United States Supreme Court in State vs. S. P. Company Jan. 23, 1895. Brief on behalf of defendant in said cause by Ashton filed Jan. 26, 1895. Additional brief by Ashton filed Jan. 26, 1895. Argument of H. S. Brown in said cause filed Jan. 26, 1895. Appellant's points and authorities filed Aug. 4, 1896. Submitted on briefs on file Aug. 10, 1896; fifteen days to City of Oakland to file reply brief. Reply brief of Respondent filed Aug. 21, 1896. Reply brief of City of Oakland filed Aug. 21, 1896. Sept. 13, 1897, judgment reversed and cause remanded with leave to Plaintiffs to amend complaint if so ordered.	Office No. 16.
Complaint and affidavit filed Jan. 12, 1894. Writ issued Jan. 13, 1894; returnable Feb. 12, 1894. Demurrer filed Feb. 12, 1894. Demand for change of venue filed Feb. 12, 1894, and granted. Action transferred to Sacramento County. Answer filed March 23, 1894. Demurrer to answer filed March 26, 1894; overruled May 11, 1894.		Office No. 18. Closed.

Company, a corporation; Pacific Improvement Company, a corporation; Central Pacific Pacific Iron and Nail Company, a corporation; Pacific Coast Lumber and Mill Com- Coast Railway Company, a corporation; Davie Ferry and Transportation Company, a pany, a corporation; Pacific Oil Company, a corporation; San Francisco Savings and Deposit and Trust Company, a corporation; Burnham-Standeford Company, a corpora- corporation; California Development Company, a corporation; and Higgins & Collins, partners doing business under the firm name of Samuels & Newman; M. B. Smith; F. under the firm name of Higgins & Collins; William Shields; John Dolbeer; Wm. Car- beer, Carson & Simpson; Daniel Steuart; Daniel Stuart; S. G. Cook, Robert Husband and of Husband & Brooks; C. B. Taylor; V. L. Fortin; James P. Dameron; E. W. Roberts, W. C. Parsons; Edson F. Adams; John C. Adams; Hannah Jones Adams; Julia P. A. T. W. Badger; James F. Stratton; John A. Stanley, trustee; E. H. Woolsey; A. Kendall; Powell; Albert Miller, individually and as trustee; Howard Havens, individually and Brannan; James J. Ebert; R. M. Fitzgerald; Thomas Kennedy; R. T. Marshall; Johanna Richard Doe; Sallie Doe; Mary Doe; Richard Roe; John Roe; Sallie Roe; Mary Roe; Smith Doe, trustee; John Doe Company, a corporation; Richard Doe Company, a cor- John Roe Company, a corporation.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 5990; Supreme Court No. 215—The People, etc., ex rel. E. P. Colgan, Controller, Plaintiff, vs. J. N. E. Wilson, Frank C. De Long, and James D. Byrnes, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Napthaly, Freidenrich & Ackerman for J. N. E. Wilson; J. H. Dickinson for J. D. Byrnes, and Mr. Shepard for De Long.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against defendants for $3,735 41, and interest on $2,988 51 thereof at 10 per cent, from Jan. 3, 1894, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 9785—Elizabeth Jane Chivree, Plaintiff, vs. The Life and Annuity Association (a corporation) and J. R. McDonald, State Treasurer, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; G. M. Shaw for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against said corporation for $700 and interest, and that the same be declared a lien on the funds in the hands of said Treasurer.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed March 1, 1894. Demurrer of De Long filed March 29, 1894. Demurrer of Wilson filed July 12, 1894; overruled July 21, 1894. Answer of Wilson filed Aug. 12, 1894. Motion to strike out answer of Wilson and for judgment on pleadings filed Sept. 4, 1894. Demurrer of Byrnes and demand for change of venue filed Dec. 7, 1894. Defendant Wilson paid into Court $649 93 Dec. 28, 1894. Judgment on pleadings against Wilson for $4,063 82 Feb. 20, 1895. Notice of judgment and cost bill filed Feb. 21, 1895. Answer of J. D. Byrnes filed Feb. 20, 1895. Demurrer to answer of Byrnes filed, and notice of motion to strike out, March 1, 1895. Default of De Long entered April 8, 1895. Plaintiff's motion to strike out granted in part as to answer of Byrnes April 26, 1895. Second amended answer of Defendant Byrnes filed July 18, 1895. Demurrer to second amended answer of Defendant Byrnes filed July 24, 1895; overruled July 27, 1896. Notice of appeal of Defendant Wilson filed Feb. 6, 1896. Cause as to Byrnes tried and submitted, July 27, 1896. Judgment rendered against Defendant Byrnes Oct. 23, 1896. Judgment entered against Defendant Byrnes Jan. 18, 1897. Statement of J. D. Byrnes on motion for new trial served, Aug. 11, 1897. Plaintiff's proposed amendments to J. D. Byrnes proposed statement on motion for new trial served, Sept. 11, 1897. Execution against Defendant Byrnes issued July —, 1898. Execution against Defendant Byrnes forwarded to Sheriff of San Mateo County Sept. 2, 1898.	Transcript filed April 6, 1896. Appellants' brief filed June 4, 1896. Respondents' brief filed July 18, 1896. Appellants' reply brief filed Aug. 20, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed June 14, 1897.	Office No. 19.
Complaint filed March 8, 1894. Answer filed March 10, 1894. Demurrer to answer filed March 17, 1894; sustained May 14, 1894. Amended answer of McDonald served and filed May 23, 1894.		Office No. 21. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 6090; Supreme Court No. Sac. 287—E. W. Melvin, Plaintiff, vs. the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; C. E. Mack, Z. F. Wharton, Johnson & Johnson, Armstrong & Bruner for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Asking judgment for the sum of $25,554 50 and interest.
Circuit Court No. 11915—J. W. Reinhart, et al., receivers, Plaintiffs, vs. J. R. McDonald, as State Treasurer, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; C. N. Sterry, E. S. Pillsbury for Plaintiffs.	Circuit Court of the United States.	Civil	By complaint — For judgment against defendant as Treasurer of the State of California, for the sum of $2,272 80, with interest thereon from date of payment of said sum or any portion thereof to said Treasurer, together with costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 6113—Petition of Henry Moon for moneys covered by escheat proceedings. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; J. H. Burdette or Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed May 10, 1894. Demurrer filed May 23, 1894; overruled June 1, 1894. Answer filed June 12, 1894. Amended answer filed Jan. 19, 1895. Cause tried Jan 21, 1895, and succeeding days. Judgment for Plaintiff Jan. 25, 1895, for $10,000. Memorandum of costs served Jan. 28, 1895. Served and filed notice of intention of Plaintiff to move for a new trial Feb. 4, 1895. Served and filed exception to cost bill Feb. 8, 1895. Motion to strike out cost bill granted. Served and filed bill of exceptions on motion for new trial March 13, 1895. Bill of exceptions settled and filed May 15, 1895. Order granting motion for new trial filed May 15, 1896. Notice of appeal from order granting motion for new trial filed July 10, 1896.	Transcript filed Sept. 7, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 14, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 2, 1897. Submitted on briefs on file Nov. 11, 1897. Judgment affirmed May 31, 1898.	Office No. 22. Closed.
Complaint filed May 23, 1894. Demurrer filed Oct. 3, 1894. Defendant served and filed notice of motion to dismiss action May 14, 1895. Demurrer submitted on briefs Oct. 28, 1895. Defendant's brief filed Dec. 26, 1895. Plaintiffs' brief filed Jan. 18, 1896. Defendant's reply brief filed Feb. 4, 1896. Demurrer sustained Aug. 17, 1896, twenty days to amend allowed; motion to dismiss denied. Judgment for defendant, Aug. 17, 1896. Amended complaint served Oct. 15, 1896. Demurrer of defendant filed Nov. 6, 1896. Demurrer submitted on briefs 15-15-5, Jan. 4, 1897. Plaintiffs' brief received Jan. 20, 1897. Defendant's reply to plaintiffs' brief on demurrer served and mailed to clerk for filing Jan. 23, 1897. Re-submitted on briefs 30-20, April 6, 1897. Plaintiffs' brief on demurrer served June 1, 1897. Defendant's brief on demurrer served and filed June 19, 1897. Transcript of record on appeal to Court of Appeals received Jan. 19, 1898. Plaintiffs' brief in error served and filed Feb. 14, 1898. Defendant's brief in error served and filed Feb. 21, 1898. Argued and submitted with leave to plaintiff in error to file reply brief within ten days. Feb. 25, 1898. Plaintiffs' reply brief served and filed March 7, 1898. Dismissed by Court of Appeals for want of jurisdiction May 2, 1898. Stipulated that W. S. Green be submitted in place of Levi Rackliffe, deceased, Aug. 2, 1898.		Office No. 23.
Petition filed May 25, 1894. Stipulation that commission issue signed May 29, 1894.		Office No. 24.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 10363—The People, etc., ex rel. J. R. McDonald, State Treasurer, Plaintiff, vs. Life and Annuity Association et al., Defendants, W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; T. C. Spelling, F. W. Sawyer, et al., for Defendants.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil	By complaint — That the court appoint a receiver and determine rights of defendants and members of said association to certain securities held by plaintiff; for costs and for general relief.
Superior Court No. 48442—Sophia Koneke, Plaintiff, vs. Home Benefit Life Association (a corporation) etc., et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; J. R. McDonald, T. C. Spelling for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against Home Benefit Life Association for $8,700 and interest; that the same be declared a lien upon all the property of said corporation; that said lien be foreclosed; that a receiver be appointed, and for general relief.
Superior Court No. 48551; Supreme Court S. F. 442—John Mullan, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Reddy, Campbell & Metson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment 20% of $216,357 87, with legal interest from June 1, 1891, and for 20% of $11,723 64, with legal interest from March 16, 1889.
Superior Court No. 10598—Harriett Mallett, Plaintiff, vs. Life and Annuity Association, J. R. McDonald, State Treasurer, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant McDonald; T. C. Spelling for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment for $1,000 and interest; to have the assets of said corporation distributed; to have a receiver appointed, etc., and for general relief.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Sept. 8, 1894. Summons issued. Demurrers of various defendants filed Dec. 3, 1894; dismissed as to C. E. Lloyd and F. W. Sawyer. Demurrer of defendant Brooks sustained Dec. 24, 1894.		Office No. 29. Closed.
Complaint filed Oct. 11, 1894. Demurrer of Defendant McDonald filed Nov. 9, 1894. Levi Rackliffe, State Treasurer, substituted as Defendant in place of J. R. McDonald, Feb. 20, 1895. Demurrer overruled March 4, 1895. Answer of Defendant Rackliffe filed May 2, 1895. Cause submitted on pleadings May 6, 1895. Complaint in intervention of Sadie M. Morgan filed May 13, 1895. Submission set aside Aug. 27, 1895. Cause tried and submitted Oct. 4, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Feb. 27, 1896. Certificate of deposit filed with State Treasurer paid over to Carl Elving, receiver, appointed by the Court April 14, 1896. Notice of appeal of Sadie M. Morgan served April 24, 1896.		Office No. 30. Closed.
Complaint filed Oct. 22, 1894. Demurrer filed Nov. 30, 1894. Demurrer sustained Sept. 3, 1895. Judgment for Defendant Feb. 7, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 13, 1896.	Transcript filed March 12, 1896. Appellant's brief filed June 16, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 1, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Aug. 21, 1896. Cause argued and submitted Aug. 25, 1896. Judgment affirmed Oct. 22, 1896.	Office No. 32. Closed.
Complaint filed Dec. 4, 1894. Demurrer of Defendant McDonald filed Dec. 21, 1894. Motion to strike out portions of complaint filed Dec. 21, 1894. Order that Defendant McDonald deliver securities made Dec. 21, 1894. Copy of demand on McDonald filed Dec. 24, 1894. Levi Rackliffe, State Treasurer, substituted for J. R. McDonald Jan. 21, 1895. Demurrer of Defendant Rackliffe filed Feb. 19, 1895; submitted on briefs Feb. 25, 1895; sustained March 4, 1895. Amended complaint filed March 16, 1895. Motion to reform complaint filed and overruled March 25, 1895. Answer of Defendant Rackliffe filed May 4, 1895. Securities in possession of State Treasurer delivered to receiver on order of Court. Receiver's account filed June 4, 1896; order confirming sale of property filed, and decree granted and signed.		Office No. 34. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 49425—The People, etc., ex rel. W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Savings Society of Denver, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Estee & Miller for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—To prevent said society from conducting business within the State of California.
Superior Court No. 6342; Supreme Court No. Sac. 278—Tully P. De Long, by Rachel M. Wright, his guardian, Plaintiff, vs. State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; White, Hughes & Seymour for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment for $10,100, with interest from Sept. 12, 1891.
Superior Court No. 6453; Supreme Court No. Sac. 95—County of Sacramento, Petitioner, vs. E. P. Colgan, Controller of the State of California, Respondent. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Frank D. Ryan, District-Attorney, Wm. M. Sims, and A. M. Johnson for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—For writ of mandate directed to said E. P. Colgan requiring him, in the next settlement to be made by him with the County Treasurer of Sacramento County, to allow said county to retain the sum of $32,660 10, and other relief.
Superior Court No. 50420—National Surety Company (a corporation), Plaintiff, vs. M. R. Higgins, Insurance Commissioner, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Chickering, Thomas & Gregory, Gerstle & Sloss, R. B. Carpenter for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be restrained by injunction from revoking or annulling the certificate of authority to transact business heretofore granted to Plaintiff.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Jan. 7, 1895. Demurrer filed March 28, 1895. Submitted on briefs May 24, 1895. Plaintiff's points on demurrer served and filed May 29, 1895. Defendant's brief on demurrer served July 19, 1895. Demurrer overruled Aug. 12, 1895. Ten days to answer. Notice of overruling of demurrer filed Aug. 26, 1895.		Office No. 36. Closed.
Complaint filed Dec. 6, 1894. Answer filed Dec. 15, 1894. Amended answer filed Jan. 19, 1895. Trial Jan. 29 and 30, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Jan. 30, 1896, for $3,500. Notice of intention to move for new trial filed Feb. 8, 1895. Bill of exceptions served March 13, 1895; settled March 26, 1895. Order granting motion for new trial filed May 15, 1896. Stipulation waiving damages in excess of $2,000 filed May 23, 1896. Notice of appeal filed July 13, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 22, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 23, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Nov. 11, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed May 31, 1898.	Office No. 37. Closed.
Petition and affidavit filed March 4, 1895. Demurrer filed March 30, 1895, and notice to re-form served and filed. Answer and notice to dismiss filed April 1, 1895. Respondent's brief served and filed April 11, 1895. Petitioner's brief served and filed May 6, 1895. Respondent's reply brief served and filed May 27. Judgment for Respondent July 6, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 5, 1895.	Transcript filed Sept. 13, 1895. Petitioner's brief filed Sept. 13, 1895. Respondent's brief filed Oct. 28, 1895. Cause argued and submitted May 14, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 16, 1896.	Office No. 39. Closed.
Complaint filed April 3, 1895. Restraining order issued April 3, 1895. Demurrer filed April 5, 1895. Motion to dissolve April 5, 1895. Amended complaint served May 23, 1895. Demurrer to amended complaint served and filed June 1, 1895.		Office No. 40. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
U. S. Supreme Court No. 7, Original—The State of California, by W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Southern Pacific Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Harvey S. Brown for Defendant.	Supreme Court of the United States.	Civil	By complaint—To quiet title and for the recovery to the State of the Oakland Water Front.
Superior Court No. ——. The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; C. N. Sterry for Defendant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment for $2,207 31, for State and county taxes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1895.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
	Bill of complaint filed Nov. 6, 1893. Subpœna issued Nov. 9, 1893, returnable first Monday in March, 1894. Amended bill of complaint filed March 5, 1894. City of Oakland allowed to offer evidence Mar. 5, 1894. Wm. A. Maury appointed Commissioner to take evidence April 30, 1894. Trial set for Dec. 17, 1894. Judgment and order that bill be dismissed for want of parties who should be enjoined, but cannot be without ousting the jurisdiction. Defendant served memorandum of costs and notice of taxation of costs May 16, 1895. Notice served withdrawing memorandum of costs May 17, 1895. Defendant's memorandum of costs served, and notice of taxation of same before U. S. Supreme Court Clerk for July 8, 1895, June 6, 1895. Served Plaintiff's objection to memorandum of costs and notice to re-form June 20, 1895. Defendant served specification of items of memorandum of costs June 22, 1895. Filed resistance to taxation of costs April 25, 1896. Ordered remittitur issued forthwith May 25, 1896. Served Plaintiff's brief upon motion to retax costs Nov. 23, 1896. Motion to retax costs denied Jan. 12, 1897.	Office No. 41. Closed.
Complaint filed July 30, 1895. Summons issued July 24, 1896.		Office No. 43. Question to be determined in Federal Court, in case of Reinhart vs. McDonald, now Smith vs. Green. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Circuit Court No. 11881—Mercantile Trust Company, Complainant, vs. Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation) and United States Trust Company of New York, Defendants. The State of California, Petitioner. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Petitioner.	Circuit Court of United States, Ninth Circuit, Northern District of California.	Civil	By petition of the State of California—That the State and county taxes delinquent and unpaid, and penalties added thereto, aggregating the sum of $2,207 31, be allowed as a preferred claim against the Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company, and that an order be made directing the receivers of said company to pay the treasurer said sum.
Superior Court No. 6684—The People, etc., ex rel. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. The State Line Milling Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—To abate a nuisance, and for injunction.
Superior Court No. 6685; Supreme Court Sac. No. 209—The People, etc., ex rel. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Truckee Lumber Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney General, for Plaintiff; Thos. S. Ford, C. F. McGlashan for Defendant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County; changed to Nevada Co.	Civil	By complaint—To abate a nuisance, and for injunction.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1493. Sacramento County, No. 6871. Supreme Court, Sac. No. 280.—Samuel Davis, Plaintiff, vs. the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates, W. C. Belcher for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento Co. on demand of Defendant Dec. 13, 1895.	Civil	By complaint—For injunction against defendant for the sum of $35,260, with legal interest thereon from the respective dates of maturity of the coupons mentioned in said complaint.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1494. Sacramento County, No. 6870.—John O. Reis, Plaintiff, vs. the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates, W. C. Belcher for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento Co. on demand of Defendant Dec. 13, 1895.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against defendant for the sum of $33,972 12, with legal interest on the amount represented by each coupon mentioned in said complaint from its date of maturity.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition of People filed Aug. 1, 1895. Admission of service of petition of People admitted by defendant and filed Oct. 21, 1896. Admission of service of U. S. Trust Company Nov. 5, 1896. Replication of People to answer filed Jan. 8, 1897. Stipulation signed that petition abide decision in case of Smith vs. Rackliffe.		Office No. 44. Aug. 1, 1895, filing petition, $10.
Complaint filed Aug. 23, 1895. Summons and writ of injunction issued Aug. 23, 1895. Summons and complaint and writ of injunction served on M. P. Ward, Aug. 29, 1895.		Office No. 46. Closed: Injunction not contested.
Complaint filed Aug. 23, 1895. Summons and writ of injunction issued Aug. 23, 1895. Demurrer and notice of motion for change of place of trial, demand for change of place of trial, and affidavit of merit on motion to change place of trial filed Sept. 5, 1895. Defendant's application for change of venue granted Nov. 1, 1895. Order overruling demurrer and order denying motion to dissolve injunction Feb. 17, 1896. Notice of appeal filed March 12, 1896.	Transcript filed March 25, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 31, 1896. Respondent's brief filed May 20, 1896. Appellant's brief filed May 28, 1896. Argued and submitted Nov. 13, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed April 3, 1897.	Office No. 47.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895; granted Dec. 13, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896. Trial of cause May 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff June 16, 1896, for $35,260, without interest. Notice of appeal of Plaintiff filed Aug. 1, 1896.	Transcript filed Aug. 25, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Oct. 1, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 8, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 27, 1897. Cause argued and submitted Nov. 12, 1897. Judgment affirmed June 15, 1898.	Office No. 48. Closed.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895; granted Dec. 13, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896. Trial of cause May 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 23, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 23, 1897.	Transcript filed April 1, 1898. Appellant's brief field May 3, 1898.	Office No. 49.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1495. Sacramento County, No. 6866.—A. S. Baldwin, Plaintiff, vs. the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento Co. Dec. 13, 1895, on demand of Defendant.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against defendant for the sum of $7,428 91 and legal interest thereon on the amount of each coupon mentioned in said complaint from its date of maturity.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1496, Sacramento County, No. 6867—Thomas Scott, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento County Dec. 13, 1895, on demand of Defendant.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment againt Defendant for the sum of $1,600, with legal interest thereon from the respective dates of maturity of said coupons mentioned in said complaint.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1497, Sacramento County, No. 6868—M. H. Mead, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento County, Dec. 13, 1895, on demand of Defendant.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against Defendant for $536 64, with legal interest on the amount of each coupon, Controller's warrant, and certificate from the date of maturity of each, as mentioned in said complaint.
Superior Court, Marin County, No. 1498, Sacramento County, No. 6869—Samuel Davis, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Marin Co.; transferred to Sacramento County Dec. 13, 1895, on demand of Defendant.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against Defendant for the sum of $38,404 54, with legal interest on each bond and coupon, as stated in said complaint, from the date of its maturity.
Superior Court No. 1424—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Merced Bank (a corporation) and John Ruddle, C. Landram, John W. Howell, J. D. Price, H. G. Peck, C. C. Nelson, and J. A. Perry, Directors of Merced Bank, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; James F. Peck for Defendant.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Civil	By complaint—To enjoin the Defendants from the further transaction of business.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895; granted Dec. 13, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896. Trial of cause May 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 23, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 23, 1897.	Transcript filed April 1, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 3, 1898.	Office No. 50.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895; granted Dec. 13, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896. Trial of cause Aug. 29, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Aug. 29, 1896, for $1,600.	Aug. 27, 1897. Stipulated that Plaintiff need not file an undertaking to the Supreme Court and that his time for filing transcript be extended until forty-five days after the decision of said Court in the case of Davis vs. The State of California.	Office No. 51. No transcript filed within the time stipulated. Closed.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896. Trial of cause May 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff entered Dec. 23, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 23, 1897.	Transcript filed April 1, 1898. Appellant's brief filed May 3, 1898.	Office No. 52.
Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1895. Served on Attorney-General Sept. 19, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demand for change of venue filed Oct. 16, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 13, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 5, 1896.		Office No. 53. Feb. 17, 1897. Trial of case continued by stipulation until Supreme Court decides case of Reis vs. The State of California.
Complaint filed Oct. 12, 1895. Summons issued and served Oct. 12, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 22, 1895. Demurrer of Defendant overruled Dec. 2, 1895. Answer filed Dec. 2, 1895. Cause tried Jan. 4, 1896, restraining Defendants from transacting further business, except for purpose of liquidation.		Office No. 54.

Digitized by Google

Southern Pacific Company, Complainant, vs. Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of California et al., Defendants, United States, Intervenor.	No. 12127—In the U. S. Circuit Court for the Northern District of California. Equity to enjoin Defendants from putting in force any schedule of rates, etc.

W. F. Herrin, J. C. Martin, J. E. Foulds, E. S. Pilsbury, attorneys for Complainant.

Judson Harmon, Attorney-General United States, and H. S. Foote, United States Attorney, for Intervenors.

W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General of California, solicitor for Defendants.

R. Y. Hayne, W. W. Foote, J. C. Daly, of Counsel.

Oct. 14, 1895—Filed Bill of Complaint. Filed *præcipe foe* process. Issued subpœna *ad res*, and four copies. Filed affidavit of G. F. Klink, W. G. Curtis, J. C. Stubbs, and C. F. Smurr. Filed bond on injunction. Made four copies of order to show cause, etc.

Oct. 21, 1895—Filed order S. C. and return. Filed subpœna *ad res.* on return, and continued hearing of order S. C. to Nov. 4th.

Nov. 4, 1895—Ordered order to show cause continued to 18th. Ordered time to serve affidavits extended to the 11th inst. Entered appearance for respondents. (Eq. R. Bk No. 3, p. 19.)

Nov. 16, 1895—Filed amendment to Bill of Equity. Made two certified copies.

Nov. 18, 1895—Ordered order S. C. continued to 25th. Filed certified copy amendment to bill. Returned with Marshal's return of service.

Nov. 21, 1895—Filed stipulation.

Nov. 25, 1895—Ordered order S. C. continued to Dec. 9th, pursuant to stipulation, and ordered affidavits in answer thereto be filed seven days previous.

Nov. 29, 1895—Filed notice of withdrawal of affidavits served. Filed notice of motion relative to filing Bill in Intervention.

Nov. 30, 1895—Filed three affidavits of Commissioner La Rue on order S. C., and filed three affidavits of Commissioner Stanton on order S. C.

Dec. 2, 1895—Filed affidavit No. 4 of Stanton. Filed stipulation extending time for defendants to plead. Filed order extending time of defendants to plead.

Dec. 9, 1895—Filed petition of U. S. to intervene. Ordered motion of U. S. to intervene argued and submitted, and order to show cause, etc., continued to 10th.

Dec. 10, 1895—Filed opinion on motion of U. S. to intervene. Ordered U. S. allowed to intervene, filed order granting leave to intervene. Filed Bill of U. S. A. Intervenor. Ordered U. S. allowed two weeks to amend Bill in Intervention, and order to show cause continued to January 6, 1896, etc.

Dec. 11, 1895—Filed stipulation as to affidavits.

Dec. 17, 1895—Filed affidavit No. 5 of Stanton on hearing to show cause.

Dec. 20, 1895—Filed amendment to Bill in Intervention.

Jan. 4, 1896—Filed amended Bill of Complaint. Filed notice of motion to strike affidavits from files, etc.

Jan. 6, 1896—Ordered amended bill filed January 4th withdrawn temporarily. Order extending time for defendants to plead. Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 7th.

Jan. 7, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 8th. Filed affidavit of La Rue and Stanton.

Jan. 8, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 9th. Filed *præcipe* for subpœna, issued subpœna and three copies, filed subpœna.

Jan. 9, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 10th.

Jan. 10, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 14th.

Jan. 14, 1896—Ordered motion and order to show cause continued to 15th.

Jan. 15, 1896—Order complainant's objection to admission of testimony taken before U. S. Pacific Railway Commissioner overruled.

Jan. 15, 1896—Order to show cause, etc., argued and continued to 16th.

Jan. 16, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 17th.

Jan. 17, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 18th.

Jan. 18, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 20th.

Jan. 20, 1896—Ordered order to show cause continued to 21st.

Jan. 21, 1896—Ordered order to show cause continued to Feb. 3d.

Jan. 23, 1896—Filed notice of motion for order to serve affidavits, etc.

Jan. 25, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead.

Jan. 28, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time for defendants to plead to Bill in Intervention.

Jan. 27, 1896—Ordered motion to serve reply affidavits granted. Ordered order to show cause continued from Feb. 3d to Feb. 6th.

Jan. 29, 1896—Ordered plaintiffs allowed two days additional to serve briefs. Ordered order to show cause continued from Feb. 6th to 20th.

Feb. 3, 1896—Filed order extending time to plead, etc.

Feb. 20, 1896—Ordered continuing order to show cause to 25th.

Feb. 23, 1896—Ordered order to show cause continued to March 3d.

Mar. 3, 1896—Ordered order to show cause continued to 10th.

Mar. 10, 1896—Filed 51 reply affidavits, complainant's. Entered order continuing hearing of order to show cause to 11th.

Mar. 11, 1896—Ordered hearing of order to show cause resumed and continued to 12th.

Mar. 12, 1896—Continued order to show cause to 13th.

Digitized by Google

Mar. 13, 1896—Ordered order to show cause argued and continued to 17th. Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc., to Bill of Complaint and Bill in Intervention.
Mar. 17, 1896—Ordered hearing on order to show cause continued to 18th.
Mar. 18, 1896—Ordered hearing continued to 19th.
Mar. 19, 1896—Ordered hearing on order to show cause and continued to 20th.
Mar. 20, 1896—Ordered hearing on order to show cause and continued to 24th.
Mar. 31, 1896—Ordered hearing on order to show cause continued to April 1st.
Apr. 1, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 2d.
Apr. 2, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 7th.
Apr. 7, 1896—Hearing, and continued to 8th.
Apr. 8, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 9th.
Apr. 9, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 10th.
Apr. 10, 1896—Hearing and continued to 14th. Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Apr. 14, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 15th.
Apr. 15, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 16th.
Apr. 16, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 17th.
Apr. 17, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 20th.
Apr. 20, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 21st.
Apr. 21, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 22d.
Apr. 22, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 23d.
Apr. 23, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 24th.
Apr. 24, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 28th.
Apr. 28, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 30th.
Apr. 30, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to May 5th.
May 5, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to May 6th.
May 6, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to May 7th.
May 7, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 8th.
May 8, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 12th.
May 9, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead to Bill of Complaint and Intervention.
May 12, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 13th.
May 13, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 14th.
May 14, 1896—Ordered hearing and continued to 15th.
May 15, 1896—Ordered hearing on order to show cause continued to 19th.
May 19, 1896—Ordered hearing resumed and order to show cause submitted for consideration and decision.
May 22, 1896—Filed oral argument of R. Y. Hayne.
June 8, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
July 3, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Aug. 3, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Aug. 29, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Sept. — 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Oct. 24, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Nov. 20, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to plead, etc.
Nov. 30, 1896—Ordered on motion to show cause filed opinion.
Dec. 19, 1896—Filed stipulation extending time to file demurrer.
Jan. 4, 1897—Ordered demurrer submitted without argument. Ordered demurrer overruled, ten days for defendants to file answer.
Jan. 18, 1897—Filed stipulation extending time to plead to Bill in Intervention.
Jan. 22, 1897—Filed stipulation extending time to answer.
Jan. 25, 1897—Filed answer.
Jan. 25, 1897—Filed stipulation extending time to plead to Bill in Intervention.
Feb. 1, 1897—Filed stipulation, ordered Complainants have to 15th inst. to file replication.
Feb. 4, 1897—Filed answer to Bill in Intervention by Defendants.
Feb. 15, 1897—Order filed extending time to file replication. Filed Complainant's exceptions to answer of Defendants.
Feb. 18, 1897—Filed replication of Intervenor to Defendants' answer.
Feb. 26, 1897—Filed three volumes of testimony deposited by C. Bennett, Esq., Official Reporter.
Mar. 1, 1897—Ordered continued for term. Ordered exceptions to answer referred to Master.
Mar. 22, 1897—Filed stipulation that order exceptions referred to Master be set aside, etc.
Mar. 29, 1897—Entered order exceptions to answer referred to Master, vacated.
Apr. 5, 1897—Ordered exceptions to answer continued to 12th.
Apr. 12, 1897—Ordered exceptions continued to 26th.
Apr. 26, 1897—Ordered exceptions continued to May 3d.
May 3, 1897—Ordered exceptions to answer continued to 10th.
May 10, 1897—Ordered exceptions to answer continued to 17th.
May 17, 1897—Ordered exceptions to answer continued to 24th.
May 24, 1897—Ordered exceptions to Defendants' answer referred to Special Master, etc.
June 7, 1897—Filed and entered order appointing A. C. Freeman, Esq., Master *pro haec vice* herein.
June 30, 1897—Filed certified copy of order of appointment of A. C. Freeman as Master *pro haec vice*; filed report of Master *pro haec vice* on exceptions to answer Entered notice of filing Master's report. (Eq. R. Bk. 3, p. 92.)
July 29, 1897—Filed Defendants' exceptions to report of Special Master.

Digitized by Google

July 31, 1897—Filed motion to amend exceptions to answer. Filed Complainant's exception to Master's report.

Aug. 2, 1897—Ordered cause continued for term. Ordered Complainant's motion to amend exceptions to answer argued and submitted and granted. Ordered Complainant's and Defendants' exceptions to Master's report argued and submitted on arguments to be handed in (10 days).

Aug. 4, 1897—Ordered compensation of Master *pro haec vice* herein fixed at $500.

Nov. 1, 1897—Ordered continued to Dec. 6th to be set.

Dec. 6, 1897—Ordered case continued for term.

Feb. 28, 1898—Filed complainant's points on exceptions to Master's report.

Mar. 7, 1898—Ordered cause continued to May 3d to be set, and exceptions to Special Master's report finally submitted.

Apr. 25, 1898—Filed opinion on exceptions to Master's report. Ordered exceptions to report of Master allowed in part and denied in part.

Apr. 28, 1898—Ordered W. M. Hinton substituted as Defendant in place of J. I. Stanton, deceased, and ordered Clerk make corrections on original answer, etc. Filed notice of order of Court substituting Defendant, etc. Filed order that Clerk strike from answer the portions thereof to which the Court sustained exceptions, and that remaining portion might stand.

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 9158—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Savings Bank of San Diego County (a corporation), J. H. Barbour, J. D. Dexter, Geo. W. Marston, A. H. Smith, and M. Johnson, Directors of Savings Bank of San Diego County, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; W. T. McNealy for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil	By complaint—To enjoin Defendants from transacting any further business.
Superior Court No. 896—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn, and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Riverside Banking Company (a corporation), O. T. Dyer, J. A. Bremerman, Orrin Backus, E. C. Dyer, S. H. Ferris, A. J. Twogood, and D. A. Wheeler, Directors of Riverside Banking Company, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; A. A. Adair and Aylett R. Cotton for Defendants.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Civil	By complaint—To enjoin Defendants from transacting further business.
Supreme Court, S. F., No. 310—S. G. Wright, Plaintiff, vs. Del Norte County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, L. F. Cooper for Defendant; A. J. Bledsoe for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Del Norte County.	Civil	By action—To recover $215 and costs, as Secretary of Board of Education.

Digitized by Google

May 2, 1898—Filed replication. Filed notice to take evidence orally.
May 3, 1898—Ordered cause continued for term. Filed notice of taking testimony before Examiner.
June 8, 1898—Filed subpœna.
June 11, 1898—Ordered cause set for Aug. 24th.
Aug. 24, 1898—Ordered cause continued to Sept. 5th to be set, and time for taking testimony extended to Oct. 3d.
Sept. 6, 1898—Ordered cause continued to Oct. 25th.
Testimony taken before Commissioner Heacock:
May 5, 1898—George T. Klink.
May 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 1898—C. P. Huntington.
June 8, 1898—George T. Klink.
June 9, 1898—W. G. Curtis.
June 11, 1898—E. W. Chapin.
June 11, 1898—Horace W. Ball.
June 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 1898—E. W. Chapin.
June 27, 28, 29, July 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 18, Aug. 4, 5, 1898—W. G. Curtis.
Aug. 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, Sept. 2, 6, 7, 8, 1898—Virgil E. Bogue.
Office No. 55.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court	Remarks.
Complaint filed Nov. 14, 1895. Answer filed Nov. 25, 1895. Amended complaint filed Dec. 4, 1895. Cause tried Dec. 4, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 4, 1895. Injunction served. Notice of decision and memorandum of costs filed Dec. 5, 1895.		Office No. 58.
Complaint filed Nov. 14, 1895. Answer filed Nov. 20, 1895. Demurrer filed Dec. 2, 1895; overruled Dec. 2, 1895. Amended complaint filed Dec. 6, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 6, 1895. Injunction served. Notice of decision and memorandum of costs filed Dec. 6, 1895.		Office No. 59.
Complaint filed Aug. 31, 1895. Demurrer filed Sept. 6, 1895. Demurrer sustained Sept. 10, 1895. Judgment for Defendant Sept. 10, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1895.	Transcript filed Nov. 9, 1895. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 22, 1895. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 15, 1896. Submitted on briefs on file Aug. 19, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Dec. 31, 1896.	Office No. 61. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 53122—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Supreme Council of the Royal Fraternal Guardian (a corporation), et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, R. B. Carpenter, E. F. Bert for Plaintiff; Severance & Sherman for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be restrained, enjoined, and prohibited from the transaction of further business within the State of California; that said corporation be dissolved; that Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be just, and for costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 53123—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Ancient Order of Samaria, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, R. B. Carpenter, E. F. Bert for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	.....	By complaint—That Defendant be perpetually enjoined and restrained from transacting business within the State of California; that said corporation be dissolved; that Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may seem just, and for costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 53125—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The United Workman's Alliance, (a corporation), Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be perpetually enjoined and restrained from transacting business in the State of California; that said corporation be dissolved; that Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be just, and for costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 53121—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Supreme Council of the American Benevolent Legion (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, R. B. Carpenter, E. F. Bert for Plaintiff; Young & Powers for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be perpetually enjoined and restrained from transacting business in the State of California; that said corporation be dissolved; that Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be just, and for costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 53120—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Order of the Fraternal Argonaut (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, R. B. Carpenter, E. F. Bert for Plaintiff; Nowlin & Fassett for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be perpetually enjoined and restrained from transacting business within the State of California; that said corporation be dissolved; that Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be just, and for costs of this action.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1895. Demurrer filed Dec. 7, 1895; overruled March 2, 1896. Answer filed June 5, 1896.		Office No. 63. Closed.
Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff by default Dec. 16, 1895, perpetually enjoining Defendant from transacting business of mutual insurance upon the assessment plan.		Office No. 64. Closed.
Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff by default Dec. 16, 1895, perpetually enjoining Defendant from transacting business of mutual insurance upon the assessment plan.		Office No. 65. Closed.
Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1895. Demurrer filed Dec. 5, 1895. Demurrer overruled March 2, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff by default, perpetually enjoining Defendant from transacting business of mutual insurance upon the assessment plan.		Office No. 66. Closed.
Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1895. Answer filed Jan. 4, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff as prayed for in complaint.		Office No. 67. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 4609—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. Bank of Mendocino (a corporation) et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; J. M. Mannon for Defendants.	Superior Court of Mendocino County.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendants be restrained, enjoined, and prohibited from the transaction of further business, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 925—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. Perris Valley Bank (a corporation) et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; F. W. Gregg for Defendants.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendants be restrained, enjoined, and prohibited from the transaction of further business, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 6872—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. Coulterville and Yosemite Turnpike Company (a corporation) et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Mastick & Mastick for Defendants.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—For judgment against Defendants for $500, and for costs of suit.
Supreme Court L. A. No. 162—John D. Van Vleck, Respondent, vs. Board of Dental Examiners of the State of California, J. D. Hodgen, W. J. Younger, Thomas Morffew, S. E. Knowles, F. F. Tebbets, J. C. McCoy, and J. L. Asay, comprising said Board of Dental Examiners, Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Appellant; Knight, Simpson, and Harpham for Respondent.	Superior Court of Orange County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment that the Court issue an alternative writ of mandate, to be issued to the Defendants requiring them to indorse diploma, and issue the certificate provided in said Act, and for $1,000 damages, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Nov. 29, 1895. Summons issued same day. Demurrer filed Dec. 9, 1895. Demurrer withdrawn. Answer filed Feb. 10, 1896. Cause tried March 10, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff March 10, 1896, restraining Defendant from transacting further business, except for the purpose of liquidation, until further order of court.		Office No. 69.
Complaint filed Dec. 24, 1895. Summons issued same day. Answer filed Jan. 13, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Jan. 13, 1896, enjoining Defendants from transacting further business, except for purpose of liquidation, until further order of Court.		Office No. 70.
Complaint filed Dec. 13, 1895. Summons issued same day. Answer filed Jan. 15, 1896. Cause tried and submitted on briefs to be filed July 27, 1896. Plaintiff's opening brief filed July 30, 1896. Defendants' brief filed Aug. 6, 1896. Plaintiff's reply brief filed Aug. 11, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Nov. 27, 1896, for $638 and $4 50 costs. Served cost bill and notice of decision Dec. 2, 1896. Notice of intention to move for a new trial served Dec. 11, 1896. Bill of exceptions on motion for a new trial served Dec. 21, 1896. Proposed amendments to above bill of exceptions served Dec. 23, 1896. Stipulated that motion for a new trial be submitted without argument Jan. 7, 1897. Motion for new trial denied Jan. 9, 1897. Judgment paid.		Office No. 71. Closed.
Complaint filed Jan. 26, 1895. Demurrer filed Feb. 5, 1895. Demurrer overruled Feb. 8, 1895. Notice of motion to quash writ denied Feb. 5, 1895. Answer filed Feb. 18, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Aug. 21, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 2, 1895.	Transcript filed Jan. 2, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 20, 1896. Respondent's brief filed March 14, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed April 23, 1896. Argued and submitted Oct. 22, 1896. Judgment and order reversed and cause remanded with directions to sustain demurrer March 29, 1897. Filed petition for rehearing April 15, 1897. April 27, 1897, judgment vacated and cause ordered to rehearing in bank. Submitted on briefs on file Sept. 21, 1897. Appeal dismissed Oct. 11, 1897, on motion of Respondent.	Office No. 72. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Supreme Court L. A. No. 167. Teralto Land and Water Company (a corporation), Plaintiff, vs. E. E. Shaffer, as County Auditor of San Diego County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General for Defendant; Collier, Pillsbury & Collier for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil	By petition and affidavit for writ of mandate requiring Defendant to make and furnish to Plaintiff an estimate of the amount required to redeem its land, described in the said petition.
Superior Court No. 1477—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Merced Bank (a corporation), John Ruddle, C. Landram, John A. Howell, J. D. Price, H. G. Peck, C. C. Nelson, and J. A. Perry, as Directors of said Merced Bank, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General for Plaintiff; F. G. Ostrander, District Attorney, of Counsel; Jas. F. Peck for Defendants.	Superior Court of Merced County.	Civil	By complaint—To oust said Directors from office.
Superior Court No. 7014—Chas. J. Cody, Petitioner, vs. Martin J. Wright, as Surveyor-General of the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General for Defendant; J. E. Coffer for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition for writ of mandate commanding Defendant to file application of Petitioner mentioned in said petition, and for costs of suit.
Supreme Court, San Francisco, No. 426—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, upon the relation of Henry C. Gesford, Petitioner, vs. The Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco, and Hon. A. A. Sanderson, one of the judges, Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, W. W. Foote, and Garrett McEnerney for Petitioner; R. B. Carpenter for Respondents.	Supreme Court of State of California.	Civil	

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition and affidavit filed Sept. 27, 1895. Demurrer filed Oct. 8, 1895. Demurrer overruled Nov. 6, 1895. Default entered Nov. 25, 1895. Writ issued Nov. 27, 1895. Judgment for costs at $800 filed Nov. 27, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 16, 1895.	Transcript filed Jan. 18, 1896. Appellant's brief filed March 9, 1896. Respondent's brief filed April 8, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed April 16, 1896. Submitted on briefs on file Oct. 21, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed April 20, 1897.	Office No. 73. Closed.
Complaint filed Jan. 17, 1896. Demurrer filed Jan. 22, 1896. Demurrer overruled Jan. 23, 1896. Answer filed Jan. 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Jan. 25, 1896, ousting said directors.	Writ of prohibition issued by Supreme Court Feb. 8, 1896. (111 Cal., 237.)	Office No. 74. Closed.
Petition filed March 19, 1896. Writ issued same day. Writ returnable March 27, 1896. Answer filed March 27, 1896. Writ denied May 8, 1896.		Office No. 78.
	Petition for writ of mandate filed Feb. 26, 1896. Ordered that time be shortened to five days, Feb. 26, 1896; that same be heard March 2, 1896. Respondent's demurrer filed March 2, 1896. Respondent's answer March 2, 1896. Petition and demurrer argued and submitted March 2, 1896. Respondent's points and authorities on demurrer filed March 4, 1896. Petitioner's points and authorities March 7, 1896. The prayer of the petition is denied and writ dismissed Oct. 8, 1896.	Office No. 79. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 54687—San Francisco Savings Union, Plaintiff, vs. E. B. Long, et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; Rackliffe & Higgins, H. C. Campbell for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint— Plaintiff prays judgment that the Defendants and each of them be restrained by injunction from taking any proceedings against the Plaintiff in relation to said money, etc., and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 6464—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The San Bernardino Land and Building Association et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Paris & Allison, C. C. Haskell for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Civil	By complaint—That the defendant corporation be restrained from the further transaction of business, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 55017—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of E. P. Colgan, Controller, and the Board of Trustees of the Whittier State School (a body corporate), Petitioners, vs. Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, Adolph Sutro, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, etc., and Joseph King, et al., as members of and constituting said Board of Supervisors, and William Broderick, Auditor, etc., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; H. T. Creswell, City Attorney, for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition for writ of mandate requiring the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to pay into the State Treasury the sum of $7,811 48, with interest thereon, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 55018—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of E. P. Colgan, Controller, and the Board of Trustees of the Whittier State School (a body corporate), Plaintiff, vs. The City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; H. T. Creswell, City Attorney, for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment for severals sums due from the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California in trust for the Whittier State School, amounting to $7,811 48, and interest thereon, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed March 31, 1896. Summons issued same day. Demurrer filed May 7, 1896. Amended complaint served May 12, 1896. Demurrer overruled May 29, 1896. Answer filed June 8, 1896.		Office No. 80. Closed.
Complaint filed April 5, 1896. Answer filed May 12, 1896. Cause tried June 25, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff June 25, 1896, restraining said Defendants from further prosecution of the business until further order of court. T. C. Chapman appointed receiver. Bond $5,000. Final decree and order of distribution given and entered Dec. 3, 1897. Receiver discharged Dec. 30, 1897.		Office No. 81. Closed.
Petition filed April 30, 1896. Writ issued same day. Alternate writ served May 4, 1896. Demurrer filed May 22, 1896.		Office No. 82.
Complaint filed April 30, 1896		Office No. 83. Closed: Another action pending.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 55510—John W. Denning, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Edward J. Banning, Henley & Costello for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for the sum of $20,500, together with interest and the costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 55610; Supreme Court No. S. F. 614—I. W. Lees, Petitioner, vs. E. P. Colgan, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Davis Louderback for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition for peremptory writ of mandate, directed to said Defendant, commanding and requiring said Defendant to draw, as such Controller, his warrant, in due form of law, on the Treasurer of said State of California, for the sum of $1,000, in favor of said I. W. Lees, and that Plaintiff recover his costs and disbursements; and for such other and further relief as may be just.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed June 10, 1896. Demurrer filed June 22, 1896. Demand for change of venue filed June 22, 1896. Demand for change of venue withdrawn July 21, 1896. Demurrer submitted on briefs Sept. 4, 1896. Defendant's brief on demurrer filed Sept. 19, 1896. Plaintiff's brief on demurrer filed Nov. 18, 1896. Demurrer overruled Dec. 7, 1896. Notice of overruling of demurrer served Dec. 10, 1896; 10 days to answer. Answer and notice to strike out portions of complaint filed Dec. 21, 1896. Notice of taking of deposition filed Jan. 16, 1897. Cause tried and submitted March 8, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff March 9, 1897, for $10,000. Notice of intention to move for a new trial served and filed March 18, 1897. Defendant's proposed statements on motion for a new trial served April 13, 1897. Plaintiff's proposed statements to Defendant's proposed statement on motion for a new trial served May 3, 1897. Served notice that on May 13, Defendant would hand to Clerk for Judge Defendant's proposed statement on motion for a new trial, and Plaintiff's proposed amendments thereto, for settlement May 8, 1897. Filed above notice May 10, 1897. Defendant's proposed statements on motion for a new trial and Plaintiff's proposed amendments thereto handed to Judge May 13, 1897. Statement on motion for a new trial settled and submitted on briefs 20-20-20 May 21, 1897. Motion for new trial denied Dec. 13, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 9, 1898.	Transcript filed March 19, 1898. Appellant's brief filed April 26, 1898. Respondent's brief filed June 7, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed July 7, 1898. Stipulated that cause be submitted on briefs on file Aug. 15, 1898.	Office No. 84.
Petition filed June 18, 1896. Demurrer filed June 27, 1896. Demurrer overruled June 30, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff July 2, 1896. Notice of appeal filed July 6, 1896.	Transcript filed July 22, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 14, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 11, 1896. Submitted on briefs Feb. 10, 1897. Judgment and order reversed March 11, 1898.	Office No. 85. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 7169—The People, etc., by E. P. Colgan, Controller, H. O. Southworth, A. Thornton, J. D. McDougald, and Joseph Steffans, as Directors of the State Insane Asylum at Stockton, Plaintiffs, vs. N. M. Orr, H. H. Hewlett, Frank Stewart, T. W. Newell, I. S. Bostwick, R. B. Parker, and George West, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiffs; Nichol & Orr for Defendants.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants for the sum of $12,501 08, as principal and penalty, together with interest on the sum of $10,000 86 principal, at the rate of ten per cent per annum, from the 14th day of May, 1896.
Superior Court, Los Angeles, No. 241—W. G. Cochran, Francis L. Haynes, and Andrew Mullen, Trustees of the Whittier State School (a body corporate), Plaintiffs, vs. Los Angeles County (a public corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiffs; J. A. Donnell for Defendant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiffs pray judgment in the sum of $3,064 05, with interest at 7 per cent per annum, for their costs herein and for all proper relief.
Superior Court No. 7212—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The California and Nevada Railroad Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant for the several sums of State and County taxes, aggregating the sum of $1,445 57, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7213—The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company, (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for several sums of State and County taxes, aggregating the sum of $2,857 85, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed June 25, 1896. Summons issued same day. Demurrer filed July 23, 1896. Demand, notice, and affidavit on motion for change of venue served and filed July 23, 1896. Change of venue granted July 31, 1896. Served and filed notice of motion and affidavits to set aside order granting motion for change of venue Aug. 13, 1896. Motion to set aside order of July 21, 1896, changing place of trial granted Aug. 28, 1896. Motion to strike case from file of Superior Court of San Joaquin County submitted on briefs 5-5 Oct. 6, 1896. Motion to strike case from file of Superior Court of San Joaquin County granted Oct. 19, 1896. Hearing of original motion for change of venue submitted with leave to file briefs 10-10 Nov. 27, 1896. Motion for change of venue denied Jan. 2, 1897. Notice of overruling Defendants' motion for change of venue and setting date for argument of demurrer served April 21, 1897. Demurrer argued, submitted, and overruled; twenty days to Defendant to answer May 7, 1897. Served notice of overruling of demurrer by mail and original with affidavit of service sent to Sacramento May 26, 1897. Answer of Defendants received May 27, 1897. Trial of cause commenced; after introduction of testimony, Court on its own motion, referred case to Chas. T. Hughes, Court Commissioner, June 24, 1897. Testimony taken before Referee, and report of Referee not yet made.		Office No. 86.
Complaint filed June 6, 1894. Demurrer filed June 18, 1894. Demurrer overruled June 25, 1894. Answer filed July 21, 1894. Judgment for Plaintiffs June 12, 1895. Notice of appeal May 13, 1896.	Transcript filed May 25, 1896. Appellant's brief filed June 24, 1896. Respondents' brief filed Aug. 14, 1896. Stipulated that cause be submitted on briefs. Judgment and order affirmed July, 9, 1897.	Office No. 87. Closed.
Complaint filed July 30, 1896. Summons issued July 23, 1897.		Office No. 88.
Complaint filed July 30, 1896. Summons issued July 23, 1897.		Office No. 89.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
U. S. Circuit Court No. 11881—Mercantile Trust Company, Plaintiff, vs. The Atlantic & Pacific Railroad Company, (a corporation), and United States Trust Company of New York, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Petitioner; C. N. Sterry for Defendant A. & P. R. R. Co.	Circuit Court of the United States, Northern District of California, 9th Circuit.	Civil	By petition—Petitioner prays that the several sums of State and county taxes delinquent and unpaid and the penalties so added thereto, aggregating the sum of $2,657 85, be allowed as a preferred claim against the Atlantic & Pacific Railroad Company, and that an order be made directing the receivers of the Atlantic & Pacific Railroad Company to pay to the Treasurer of the State of California the sum of $2,657 85, together with the costs of this proceeding, etc.
United States Circuit Court No. 12215—Charles H. Smith, Complainant, vs. The California and Nevada Railroad Company (a corporation), the Oakland and San Francisco Terminal Company (a corporation), J. J. Scrivner, J. S. Emery, Abner Doble, Geo. W. Schell, E. A. Phelps, Acton H. Clough, W. Thomas, F. M. Smith, J. Doe Pope, and Richard Roe Steward, Defendants. The People of the State of California, Petitioner.	United States Circuit Court in and for the Ninth Circuit, Northern District of California.		By petition—Petitioner prays that the several sums of State and county taxes delinquent and unpaid, and the penalties so added thereto, aggregating the sum of $1,457 57, be allowed as a preferred claim against the California and Nevada Railroad Company, and that an order be made directing the receiver of the California and Nevada Railroad Company to pay to the Treasurer of the State of California the sum of $1,457 57, together with the costs of this proceeding.
Superior Court No. 51032, Supreme Court, San Francisco No. 139—C. B. Rode and William Schulthesis, partners, doing business under the firm name and style of C. B. Rode & Co., Plaintiff, vs. John D. Siebe, Assessor, etc., Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Naphtaly, Freidenrich & Ackerman for Defendant; Walter H. Levy for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that this Court adjudge and declare the acts and conduct of said Defendant, as such Assessor, in assessing the said personal property of these Plaintiffs, and demanding from them the payment of said taxes upon said property for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1895, and ending June 30, 1896, illegal and void, and perpetually enjoin and restrain Defendant from seizing and selling said property, or in any way interfering therewith, and for such other relief as may be proper in the premises.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed July 30, 1896. Admission of service of petition accepted August 31, 1896. Oct. 7, 1896, stipulated that C. W. Smith, Receiver, may file answer to petition of State on or before Oct. 20. Oct. 20, 1896, answer of C. W. Smith, Receiver, filed. Nov. 5, 1896, admission of service on Nov. 2 by U. S. Trust Co. of N. Y. of petition of People filed. Jan. 8, 1897, replication of People to answer filed. Jan. 11, 1897, stipulation signed that petition abide decision of case of Smith vs. Rackliffe.		Office No. 90.
Petition filed July 30, 1896. Served petition on attorney for receiver Aug. 27. Filed admission of service Sept. 8, 1897.		Office No. 91.
Complaint filed May 22, 1895. Answer filed May 23, 1895. Judgment for Defendant May 27, 1895. Notice of appeal filed May 28, 1895.	Transcript filed May 28, 1895. Appellant's brief filed June 14, 1895. Respondent's brief filed June 18, 1895. Cause submitted on briefs on file Feb. 17, 1896. Submission set aside and cause placed at foot of coming bank calendar for August, July 23, 1896. Respondent's supplemental brief filed Jan. 9, 1897. Cause argued and submitted Jan. 12, 1897. Judgment affirmed Jan. 4, 1898.	Office No. 92. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 7227; Supreme Court No. 467—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon complaint of E. P. Colgan, Controller, Plaintiff, vs. National Bank of D. O. Mills & Co. (a National Banking Association), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Lloyd & Wood for Defendant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for said sum of $16,995 75, and such other and further relief as to this Court may seem meet and equitable, and for costs of suit.
Supreme Court Sac. No. 263—Merced County, Plaintiff, vs. W. H. Cook, Auditor of Merced County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; James F. Peck, Chas. H. Marks, Geo. L. Crocker, and Frank H. Farrar for Appellant.	Superior Court of Merced County.	Civil	By complaint—That Defendant be enjoined from drawing a warrant in favor of said Frank H. Farrar, or in favor of any person, for the said sum of $250, or for any sum based upon the said claim of $250, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 9810—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn, and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Bank of National City (a corporation), Warren S. Kimball, G. W. Hale, S. S. Johnston, C. H. Sawyer, F. M. Kimball, Peter Morse, and C. B. Whittelsey, as Directors of said Bank of National City, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Haynes & Ward for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil	By complaint—That the defendant corporation, the Bank of National City, be restrained from the transaction of further business, for such further relief as to the Court may seem just and equitable, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. ——The County of Modoc, Plaintiff, vs. John Madden, as County Treasurer of Modoc County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Goodwin & Goodwin for Defendant.	Superior Court of Lassen Co.	Civil	By petition—That an alternate writ of mandate issue directed to Defendant as County Treasurer of said county, commanding him that he forthwith transfer from the salary fund of said county to the general fund of Modoc County all surplus funds for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1895, to wit: the sum of $2,069 20, and that Plaintiff have judgment against Defendant, etc.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Aug. 13, 1896. Stipulation amending complaint filed Nov. 7, 1896. Answer filed Jan. 13, 1896. Cause argued and submitted June 17, 1897. Served notice of intention to move for new trial and original, with affidavit of service, sent to Clerk for filing July 3, 1897. Defendant's amendments to Plaintiff's proposed statement on motion for new trial received Aug. 31, 1897. Bill of exceptions and statement on motion for new trial filed Sept. 17, 1897; denied same day. Notice of appeal filed Oct. 8, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Jan. 4, 1898. Respondent's brief filed Feb. 17, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed March 29, 1898. Cause argued and submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 93.
Complaint filed Jan. 26, 1896. Demurrer filed Jan. 27, 1896. Demurrer overruled March 23, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff June 10, 1896. Notice of appeal filed June 17, 1896.	Transcript filed July 27, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Aug. 18, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 29, 1896. Respondent's additional brief filed Oct. 7, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Oct. 12, 1897. Submitted on brief on file Nov. 11, 1897. Judgment and order affirmed March 15, 1898.	Office No. 94. Closed.
Complaint filed Aug. 29, 1896. Answer filed Oct. 1, 1896. Cause tried Oct. 1, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Oct. 1, 1896. Findings and judgment filed Oct. 1, 1896. Injunction served and Defendant's answer filed Oct. 1, 1896. Notice of decision of Court and memorandum of costs filed Oct. 1, 1896.		Office No. 95.
Petition filed Nov. 29, 1895. Amended answer filed May 15, 1896. Judgment for Defendant Aug. 22, 1896, that Defendant have and receive of Plaintiff his costs in this proceeding, taxed at $——. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 24, 1896.	Transcript filed Oct. 12, 1896. Appellant's brief filed Nov. 11, 1896. Respondent's brief filed Dec. 11, 1896. Judgment and order reversed, etc., April 11, 1898.	Office No. 98. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 26213; Supreme Court No. L. A. 420—Los Angeles Mutual Life and Accident Insurance Company (a corporation), Plaintiff, vs. M. R. Higgins, as Insurance Commissioner of the State of California, Levi Rackliffe, as Treasurer of the State of California, and the Bankers' Alliance of California (a corporation), Defendants.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants Higgins and Rackliffe for the immediate return of certificate of deposit mentioned in said complaint, and for such other and further relief, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. ——The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of Geo. A. Fisher and Wm. Spalding, Commissioners of Building and Loan Association of California, Plaintiff, vs. The Imperial Savings and Loan Company, a corporation, and E. C. Manning, W. F. West, and Geo. W. Lawrence, as Directors of the said corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays that the defendant corporation be restrained and prohibited from the further proceeding of business; that the Court appoint a Receiver to settle and liquidate the business of said corporation, subject to the order of this Court; and for such other relief, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7265—Richard I. Thomas, Petitioner, vs. E. P. Colgan, Controller of the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Frank D. Ryan for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition — Petitioner prays that an alternate writ of mandate be issued to E. P. Colgan, Controller, commanding him at once to draw his warrants upon the State Treasurer in favor of Petitioner for $750, as salary for months of Jan., Feb., March, April, May, and June, 1896, as Secretary of the State Débris Commissioner; for other and further relief, and for costs.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed July 23, 1896. Demurrer filed Aug. 24, 1896. Demurrer sustained Sept. 14, 1896. Defendants' points and authorities on demurrer filed Sept. 22, 1896. Mailed copy of Defendants' brief to County Clerk for filing Sept. 22, 1896. Affidavit of service by mail sent to Clerk Sept 23, 1896. Amended complaint filed Nov. 20, 1896. Demurrer to amended complaint served by mail Nov. 27, 1896. Demurrer to amended complaint submitted on briefs 10-10-10 Dec. 7, 1896. Defendants' opening brief served and original sent to County Clerk Dec. 15, 1896. Amended complaint received Jan. 16, 1897. Defendants' answer to amended complaint served and original sent to County Clerk for filing Jan. 22, 1897. Cause tried April 23, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff April 22, 1897. Defendants Higgins and Rackliffe's notice of appeal filed April 30, 1897.	Transcript filed June 9, 1897. Appellants' brief filed July 22, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Aug. 9, 1897. Order filed dismissing appeal, upon stipulation of all parties, June 27, 1898.	Office No. 99. Closed.
Complaint filed Sept. 25, 1896. Answer filed Oct. 19, 1896, and cause tried. Motion to appoint Receiver denied. Injunction modified so as to permit Defendant to wind up affairs of corporation.		Office No. 100.
Petition and affidavit filed Sept. 18, 1896. Writ issued Sept. 18, 1896; returned Sept. 25, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 25, 1896. Cause argued Sept. 25, 1896. Answer filed Oct. 9, 1896. Demurrer overruled Nov. 20, 1896. Ordered that writ issue Nov. 20, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Nov. 20, 1896. Notice of appeal Nov. 28, 1896. Order made modifying judgment of Nov. 20th Dec. 11, 1896. Demurrer overruled and cause set for hearing on merits Dec. 18, 1896. Order stay of execution Dec. 26, 1896. Filed notice of motion to move for a new trial Jan. 5, 1897. Order withdrawing motion for new trial filed Jan. 13, 1897. Order withdrawing notice of appeal Jan. 13, 1897.		Office No. 101. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 2415—John W. White, Petitioner, vs. B. A. Hayden, as Auditor of the County of Kern, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, J. E. Patton for Defendant; Cannon & Freeman, E. J. Emmons for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Kern Co.	Civil	By petition — Petitioner prays that an alternate writ of mandate be issued commanding said Auditor to draw and deliver to Petioner a warrant upon the Treasurer of said county for the sum of $1,803, or show cause to said Court why he has not done so; that Petitioner may have judgment for his costs, and that said writ be made peremptory.
Superior Court No. 26804—Lucy Jane Harvey, Plaintiff, vs. The Board of Trustees of the Reform School for Juvenile Offenders at Whittier, Los Angeles County, State of California (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Anderson & Anderson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,135 damages, and lawful interest thereon from the first day of Nov., 1894, and costs.
Superior Court No. —— —J. J. Spear, The County of Modoc, and C. B. Parker, Sheriff, Plaintiffs, vs. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, John E. Raker, for Defendant; Goodwin and Goodwin for Plaintiffs.		Civil	
Justice's Court No. 91512—J. R. Hanify, Plaintiff, vs. State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General for Defendant; Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff.	Justice's Court of City and County of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for $197 70 and costs.
Superior Court No. 686—In the matter of Dennis Jordan, an insolvent debtor, Petitioner. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Controller and Treasurer; J. M. Nouges for Assignee; T. Carl Spelling for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—Restraining and enjoining Dennis Jordan from applying for or accepting from the State Controller any warrant authorizing the Treasurer to pay him the sum of $63,563 66, etc.
Supreme Court No. 775—N. S. Wirt, Petitioner, vs. James H. Budd, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Davis Louderback for Petitioner.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	By petition—For writ of mandate.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Aug. 3, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 14, 1896. Demurrer sustained Nov. 9, 1896. Amended complaint filed Nov. 16, 1896. Demurrer filed Nov. 17, 1896. Demurrer sustained Nov. 24, 1896. Judgment for Defendant Nov. 28, 1896.		Office No. 102 Closed.
Complaint filed Sept. 25, 1896. Summons served Sept. 25, 1896. Demurrer filed Oct. 29, 1896. Demurrer argued and sustained Nov. 2, 1896. Amended complaint served Nov. 18, 1896. Demurrer served Nov. 25, 1896. Demurrer to amended complaint argued and submitted Dec. 28, 1896. Briefs subsequently filed. Demurrer overruled June 15, 1897. Notice of overruling of demurrer June 19, 1897. Answer served by mail and original with affidavit of service by mail attached sent to Clerk for filing July 8, 1897.		Office No. 103
	Motion on behalf of County of Modoc filed. Motion to dismiss argued and appeal dismissed Nov. 2, 1896.	Office No. 104 Closed.
Answer filed Dec. 10, 1896. May 20, 1898, deposition of W. R. Higgins taken.		Office No. 105.
Petition filed March 18, 1897. Restraining order and order to show cause filed March 18, 1897. Appearance and disclaimer of E. P. Colgan, Controller, and Levi Rackliffe, Treasurer, filed March 30, 1897.		Office No. 106. Closed.
	Petition filed Dec. 12, 1897. Motion to dismiss argued and submitted Jan. 4, 1897. Brief in behalf of Gunst filed Jan. 13, 1897. Brief of Relator filed Jan. 14. 1897. Proceeding dismissed Jan. 19, 1897.	Office No. 107. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. ——; Supreme Court No. 350—The County of Colusa, Plaintiff, vs. R. F. Welsh, Treasurer of Colusa County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, W. G. Dyas, Ernest Weyand for Plaintiff; E. T. Crane for Defendant.	Superior Court of Colusa County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant, that Defendant R. F. Welsh, as Treasurer, be restrained from paying warrant issued in favor of T. S. Sprague, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7470—Scott McKay, Plaintiff, vs. M. J. Wright, Surveyor-General, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; C. C. Merriam for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento Co.	Civil	By petition—For writ of mandate requiring M. J. Wright, Surveyor-General, to approve application, location and surveys of Petitioner for swamp and overflowed lands upon payment of the fees required by law, and for costs.
Supreme Court No. 896—Geo. E. Church, Petitioner, vs. E. P. Colgan, Controller of the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; W. H. Payson for Petitioner.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	By petition—Petition for writ of mandate.
Superior Court No. 3052—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn, and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Randall Banking Company (a corporation), and A. W. Randall, F. Korbel, Stephen Hill, E. H. Vance, Geo. A. Kellog, W. H. Johnston, J. M. Sass, E. C. Bonstell, as Directors of said Randall Banking Company, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; C. M. Wheeler for Defendants.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Civil ...	By complaint — Plaintiff prays that the defendant corporation be restrained, enjoined and prohibited from the transaction of any further business, and from such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and equitable, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Second amended complaint filed Jan. 27, 1896. Demurrer filed Dec. 7, 1896. Demurrer overruled Dec. 7, 1896. Judgment for Defendant Jan. 26, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 3, 1897.	Transcript filed Feb. 16, 1897. Appellant's brief filed March 13, 1897. Respondent's brief filed March 31, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed April 9, 1897. Cause argued and submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 108.
Affidavit and petition for writ of mandate filed March 10, 1897. Notice setting cause for hearing served and filed March 10, 1897. Answer filed April 5, 1897. Agreed statement of facts filed April 5, 1897. Cause submitted April 5, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed April 5, 1897. Respondent 15 days to file brief, 10 days to Petitioner to reply. Writ denied July 23, 1897. Decision filed July 23, 1897.		Office No. 109. Closed. No appeal taken.
	Petition filed March 26, 1897. Argued and submitted May 3, 1897. Answer filed May 4, 1897. Demurrer filed May 4, 1897. Writ issued Sept. 3, 1897.	Office No. 110. Closed.
Complaint filed May 5, 1897. Answer received May 15, 1897. Stipulated that judgment be entered for Plaintiff May 20, 1897. Acknowledgment of service and notice of decision filed May 20, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff May 20, 1897.		Office No. 111.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 7843—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of J. B. Fuller, Paris Kilburn, and H. W. Magee, Bank Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, a corporation, and J. G. James, E. F. Bernhard, J. F. Wigginton, J. D. Musick, and Wm. M. Bettenge, as Directors of said Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Fresno County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays that the defendant corporation be restrained, enjoined and prohibited from the transaction of any further business and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and equitable, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 58935 — Thomas R. Hayes as Assignee of the estate of Dennis Jordan, an insolvent debtor, Plaintiff, vs. Dennis Jordan, T. Carl Spelling, Jane Doe McNamara, E. P. Colgan, Controller of the State of California, Levi Rackliffe, Treasurer of the State of California, John Doe, Richard Roe, Jane Smith, Susan Smith, and Wells, Fargo & Company, a corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants E. P. Colgan and Levi Rackliffe; J. M. Nougues for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — To obtain judgment against Defendants; that Plaintiff is entitled to the delivery to him as Assignee of the estate of Dennis Jordan of the said warrant to be issued by the Controller of the State of California, and to obtain payment thereof by the Treasurer, and for permanent injunction.
Superior Court No.——— Dennis Jordan, Plaintiff, vs. E. P. Colgan, Controller of the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant. White & Seymour for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—For a writ of mandate.
Superior Court No. 59728—The Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of California, and Hugh M. LaRue, James I. Stanton, and Wm. R. Clark, as members of and constituting the said Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of California, Plaintiffs, vs. Market Street Railway Company (a corporation) and Joseph L. Willcut, as Secretary of the said Market Street Railway Company, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiffs; W. F. Herrin for Defendant; John Garber of counsel.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—For a writ of mandate. Plaintiffs pray that a writ of mandate issue herein commanding the Defendants to produce to the Plaintiffs and to permit the Plaintiffs to examine the books named in and required by the said subpœna set out in said complaint.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed June 8, 1897. Summons served June 8, 1897. Answer filed June 8, 1897. Cause heard and decree entered June 8, 1897. Injunction served and decree filed June 8, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff June 8, 1897. Notice of decision served and filed June 8, 1897. Acknowledgment of service filed June 8, 1897. Cost bill filed June 8, 1897.		Office No. 112.
Complaint filed April 2, 1897. Restraining order and order to show cause filed April 2, 1897. Dismissed April 8, 1897.		Office No. 113. Closed.
Petition filed April 3, 1897. Order for writ of mandate filed April 3, 1897. Writ issued April 3, 1897. Return to writ filed April 9, 1897. Submitted April 9, 1897. Decree entered April 9, 1897. Answer filed April 9, 1897. Writ of mandate returned April 13, 1897.		Office No. 114. Closed.
Petition filed June 11, 1897. Ordered that alternate writ issue June 11, 1897. Writ issued June 11, 1897. Returnable June 25, 1897. Writ served on Market Street Railway Company and on Defendant Joseph L. Willcut June 12, 1897. Affidavit of service filed June 24, 1897. Answer filed July 1, 1897. Amended petition filed March 24, 1898. Answer filed March 28, 1898. Stipulation to submit on briefs 5-30-10 filed April 5, 1898. Plaintiffs' brief filed April 11, 1898. Defendant's brief filed June 30, 1898. Plaintiffs' reply brief filed July 21, 1898. Submitted upon briefs.		Office No. 115.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 8990—In the matter of the estate of Caroline Stepney, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner; James Taylor Rogers for Mary A. Yeizer.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To escheat to the State of California certain moneys, amounting to the sum of $1,139 72.
Superior Court No. 10216—In the matter of the estate of Catherine Hubbard, alias Honora Corcoran, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer estate to the Treasury of the State of California. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7669—In the matter of the estate of John Turner, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer estate to the Treasury of the State of California. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 6999—In the matter of the estate of John Maher, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner; James T. Rogers for John Shelley.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer estate to the Treasury of the State of California. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 5485—In the matter of the estate of Lamley Franklin, Deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer estate to the Treasury of the State of California. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed April 4, 1897. Demurrer filed April 22, 1897. Demurrer overruled June 16, 1897. Notice of Mary A. Yeizer claiming heirship filed April 17, 1897. Motion to dismiss petition filed April 22, 1897. Answer filed June 26, 1897. Motion to dismiss answer filed July 13, 1897. Affidavit for issuance of commission filed July 19, 1897. Motion to dismiss filed July 20, 1897. Order appointing attorneys for absent heirs filed July 21, 1897. Answer of Edward Stepney filed July 21, 1897. Notice of motion to enter judgment filed July 22, 1897. Motion to dismiss answer denied July 24, 1897. Order setting aside appointment of Sydney Van Wyck filed July 28, 1897. Denied July 29, 1897. Amended answer of Mary A. Yeizer filed Aug. 2, 1897. Motion to dismiss petition to escheat granted Aug. 19, 1897. Petition for distribution filed Aug. 20, 1897. Petition to transfer residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 27, 1897. Order filed Aug. 27, 1897. Demurrer to petition for distribution filed Sept. 2, 1897. Petition to escheat filed Sept. 16, 1897. Order of escheat filed Sept. 16, 1897. No money in Treasury.		Office No. 116. Closed.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 117. Closed.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Notice of claim filed by James T. Rogers. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 118. Closed.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Notice of claim filed by James T. Rogers. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 119. Closed.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 120. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Supreme Court No. 1019—Fred A. Davis, Petitioner, vs. Myron A. Whidden, as Auditor of Alameda County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Chas. E. Snook for Defendant; Bishop & Wheeler, S. F. Leib for Petitioner.	Supreme Court of the State of California.		By petition—For writ of mandate to compel Respondent to draw his warrants in payment of certain claims for doing road work, etc.
Supreme Court No. 405—G. P. Kelsey, Plaintiff, vs. Merced County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and F. G. Ostrander for Defendant; Frank H. Farrar for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,890 and costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 41; Supreme Court No. 408—J. W. Haley, Plaintiff, vs. Merced County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and F. G. Ostrander for Defendant; Frank H. Farrar for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,434 and costs of suit.
Superior Court No.—; Supreme Court No. 407—R. Shaffer, Plaintiff, vs. Merced County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and F. G. Ostrander for Defendant; Frank H. Farrar for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,350 and costs of suit.
Superior Court No.—; Supreme Court No. 406—C. C. Nelson, Plaintiff, vs. Merced County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and F. G. Ostrander, for Defendant; Frank H. Farrar for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Merced Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,884 and costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7857—In the matter of the estate of Alexander Stewart, Deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Raymond Benjamin for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer estate of $1,808 82 into the Treasury of the State of California. Raymond Benjamin appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
	Petition filed June 21, 1897. Order writ issue June 30, 1897; returnable July 12, 1897. Writ issued June 30, 1897. Answer filed July 6, 1897. Petitioner's brief filed July 10, 1897. Respondent's brief filed July 10, 1897. Brief of S. F. Leib filed July 12, 1897. Brief of Henning & Bowen filed July 12, 1897. Submitted on briefs July 12, 1897. Filed memorandum on behalf of Defendant July 15, 1897. Writ issued Aug. 3, 1897.	Office No. 121. Closed.
Complaint filed Sept. 1, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 8, 1896; demurrer sustained. Answer filed April 12, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff for $486 June 23, 1897. Notice of Appeal filed July 3, 1897.	Transcript filed July 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 16, 1897. Stipulated that briefs filed in Sacramento No. 406 apply in this case Sept. 8, 1897. Submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 122.
Complaint filed Sept. 1, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 8, 1896; demurrer sustained. Answer filed April 12, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff for $378 June 23, 1897. Notice of appeal filed July 3, 1897.	Transcript filed July 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 16, 1897. Stipulated that briefs filed in Sacramento No. 406 apply in this case Sept. 8, 1897. Submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 123.
Complaint filed Sept. 1, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 8, 1896; demurrer sustained. Answer filed April 12, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff for $420 June 23, 1897. Notice of appeal filed July 3, 1897.	Transcript filed July 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 16, 1897. Stipulated that briefs filed in Sacramento No. 406 apply in this case Sept. 8, 1897. Submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 124.
Complaint filed Sept. 1, 1896. Demurrer filed Sept. 8, 1896; demurrer sustained. Answer filed April 12, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff for $540 June 23, 1897. Notice of appeal filed July 3, 1897.	Transcript filed July 13, 1897. Appellant's brief filed July 16, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Sept. 7, 1897. Appellant's reply brief filed Sept. 16, 1897. Submitted May 10, 1898.	Office No. 125.
Petition filed June 29, 1897. Ordered residue paid into State Treasury.		Office No. 126. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 60806—The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. The Sierra Valleys Railway Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for several sums of State and county taxes, aggregating the sum of $1,829 15, and for costs of suit.
United States Circuit Court No. 12215—Charles H. Smith, Complainant, vs. The California and Nevada Railroad Company (a corporation), The Oakland and San Francisco Terminal Company (a corporation), J. J. Scrimer, J. S. Emery, Abner Doble, Geo. W. Schell, E. A. Phelps, Acton H. Clough, Wm. Thomas, F. M. Smith, John Doe Pope, and Richard Roe Stewart, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Circuit Court of the United States, in and for the Ninth Circuit, Northern District of California.	Civil	By complaint—Petitioner prays that the several sums of State and county taxes, aggregating the sum of $1,282 76, be paid to the Treasurer of the State of California, and for costs of this proceeding.
United States Circuit Court No. 11881—Mercantile Trust Company, Complainant, vs. Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation) and United States Trust Company of New York, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Petitioner.	Circuit Court of United States, Ninth Circuit. Northern District of California.	Civil	By complaint—Petitioner prays that the several sums of State and county taxes and the penalties added thereto, aggregating the sum of $1,815 94, be paid to the Treasurer of the State of California and for costs.
Superior Court No.——— The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The California and Nevada Railroad Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for several sums of State and county taxes, aggregating the sum of $1,282 76, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No.——— The People, etc., Plaintiff, vs. The Atlantic and Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for several sums of State and county taxes, aggregating the sum of $1,815 94, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 9565—In the matter of the estate of Cornelius Riley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thomas K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—Petition to transfer the sum of $173 25 to the Treasury of the State of California. Thomas K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Aug. 5, 1897. Summons served Sept. 24, 1897; ten days to plead. Amended complaint filed and summons issued July 29, 1898.		Office No. 127.
Petition filed Aug. 4, 1897.		Office No. 128.
Petition filed Aug. 4, 1897.		Office No. 129.
Complaint filed Aug. 2, 1897. Summons issued July 29, 1898.		Office No. 130.
Complaint filed Aug. 2, 1897. Summons issued July 29, 1898.		Office No. 131.
Petition filed Sept. 1, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order that residue of said estate be transferred to State Treasury Sept. 7, 1897.		Office No. 132. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 6150—In the matter of the estate of Andrew Johansen, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the sum of $186 03 to the Treasury of the State of California. Thomas K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 4262—In the matter of the estate of Edward Franklin, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the sum of $138 70 to the Treasury of the State of California. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. ——In the matter of the estate of Louis Eiser, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Raymond Benjamin for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the sum of $—— to the Treasury of the State of California. Raymond Benjamin appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. ——In the matter of the estate of Margurite Peral, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Raymond Benjamin for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Napa Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the sum of $—— to the Treasury of the State of California. Raymond Benjamin appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7688—In the matter of the estate of John Maher, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for People; James Taylor Rogers for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To withdraw moneys deposited in State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 7637—H. A. Woithe, Petitioner, vs. A. E. Osborne, as Superintendent of the California Home for the Care and Training of Feeble-Minded Children, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; F. D. Ryan and J. Charles Jones for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—Wherefore affiant asks for alternate writ of mandate, commanding the said A. E. Osborne, as Superintendent of the California Home for the Care and Training of Feeble-Minded Children, as aforesaid, to receive and retain the aforesaid Hulda Annie Woithe into said Home in conformity with said order and with law.
Superior Court No. — —In the matter of the estate of Richard T. Garland, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. I. McSorley for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Calaveras County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the sum of $—— to the Treasury of the State of California.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Sept. 1, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order that residue of said estate be transferred to State Treasury Sept. 7, 1897.		Office No. 133. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 1, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order filed Sept. 1, 1897. Order that residue of said estate be transferred to State Treasury Sept. 7, 1897.		Office No. 134. Closed.
		Office No. 135.
		Office No. 136.
Petition and order served Aug. 30, 1897. Petition to take testimony filed Dec. 1, 1897. Deposition and power of attorney filed March 15, 1898. Evidence taken. Decree filed March 15, 1878.		Office No. 137. Closed.
Petition filed July 19, 1897. Alternate writ of mandate filed July 29, 1897. Demurrer filed Aug. 6, 1897. Demurrer sustained Sept. 29, 1897. Order made refusing peremptory writ Sept. 29, 1897.		Office No. 138. No appeal. Closed.
		Office No. 139.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 10222—In the matter of the estate of Andie Burger, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $341 07, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10361—In the matter of the estate of A. C. Holmes, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $291 07, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8042—In the matter of the estate of Chas. E. Lang, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $147 18, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10172—In the matter of the estate of Eugene Brunet, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $92 52, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10181—In the matter of the estate of Andie Richard, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, $472 81, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10359—In the matter of the estate of Chas. F. L. Treubner, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $183 15, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9969—In the matter of the estate of Robert Ritchie, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $482 10, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9545—In the matter of the estate of John Hardin, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $479 53, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 140. Closed.
Petition filed April 14, 1897. Appointment filed April 14, 1897. Order filed April 14, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed July 27, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 141. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 142. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 143. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 144. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 145. Closed.
Petition filed Aug 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 146. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 147. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 7766—In the matter of the estate of Frank Beadley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $100 85, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8055—In the matter of the estate of Charles Wanters, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $503 28, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7372—In the matter of the estate of Henry Good, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $122 46, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8744—In the matter of the estate of Frank Anglum, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $126 07, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 13746—In the matter of the estate of J. W. Davis, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 16889—In the matter of the estate of W. Warren, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14152—In the matter of the estate of Michael McNamary, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 15009—In the matter of the estate of Victor Sorrano Burnett, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 148. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 149. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 150. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order residue of estate transferred to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897.		Office No. 151. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order filed Aug. 20, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Aug. 26, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept 16, 1897.		Office No. 152. Closed.
Petition filed Aug. 28, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 28, 1897. Answer filed Aug. 28, 1897. Order filed Aug. 30, 1897. Claim of Jackson Warren for distribution filed Aug. 13, 1897. Order authorizing attorney to seek absent heirs filed Sept. 17, 1897. Order to pay money for absent heirs filed Sept. 22, 1897.		Office No. 153. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Oct. 21, 1897.		Office No. 154. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Oct. 21, 1897.		Office No. 155. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 12624—In the matter of the estate of Martin Euteneur, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12365—In the matter of the estate of Michael Cluner, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 12659—In the matter of the estate of Daniel Luby, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10811—In the matter of the estate of Chas. E. Lyon, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 13369—In the matter of the estate of Paul Girard, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 11471—In the matter of the estate of John Ashley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12350—In the matter of the estate of Charles Henriss, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10930—In the matter of the estate of John Hayek, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 156. Closed.
Order filed Sept. 10, 1897, transferring residue of said estate.		Office No. 157. Closed.
Order transferring residue to State Treasury Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 158. Closed.
Order transferring residue to State Treasury Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 159. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 160. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 161. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 162. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 163. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 6600 (C. R.)—In the matter of the estate of Alexander Casseli, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12366—In the matter of the estate of J. B. Miller, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 16158. In the matter of the estate of Richard Hynes, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10509. In the matter of the estate of Alfred Curl, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $373, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 16461—In the matter of the estate of David Moran, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10997—In the matter of the estate of O. Nelson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 11067—In the matter of the estate of James Roberts, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14543. In the matter of the estate of Nettie Quinchart, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Sept. 10, 1897.		Office No. 164. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Nov. 3, 1897.		Office No. 165. Closed.
Petition filed Sept 10, 1897. Order transferring residue to State Treasury filed Nov. 3, 1898.		Office No. 166 Closed
Petition filed Sept. 10, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 10, 1897. Continued indefinitely Oct. 29, 1897.		Office No. 167.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 168. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 169. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 170. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 171. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 11920. In the matter of the estate of W. R. Jesse, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14195. In the matter of the estate of H. Kuhlman, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7018—In the matter of the estate of John Omassa, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9410—In the matter of the estate of E. W. Ashby, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 6915—In the matter of the estate of George Baker, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8041—In the matter of the estate of Robert Bumer, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14403—In the matter of the estate of Carl H. Olbrick, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12019—In the matter of the estate of John Wunter, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 172. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 173. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasurer filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 174. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 175. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 30, 1897. Order filed Sept. 30, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 176. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 177. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 178. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 179. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 14650—In the matter of the estate of John J. Stone, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12246—In the matter of the estate of L. P. Panghum, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14377—In the matter of the estate of Henry Steele, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9721—In the matter of the estate of A. Seasted, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12284—In the matter of the estate of John Ramoran, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estates the sum of $273 48, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 6545—Heinrich G. D. Lehman, Bertha Marie Carline Lehman, Minnie Helene Lehman, Freida Johanna Lehman, Herbert Christian Lehman, and Anita Fredireck Lehman, minors, by the general guardian, Henry Lehman, Plaintiffs, vs. Pacific States Life Insurance Company (a corporation), and Levi Rackliffe, State Treasurer of the State of California, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Levi Rackliffe; Budd & Thompson for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiffs ask judgment against Defendants for the sum of $1,575 and costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 180. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Order of distribution made to heirs.		Office No. 181. Closed.
Petition filed Sept. 25, 1897. Appointment filed Sept. 25, 1897. Continued indefinitely Sept. 30, 1897.		Office No. 182.
Petition filed Aug. 20, 1897. Appointment filed Aug. 20, 1897. Notice of appearance by John J. Stephens filed Aug. 31, 1897. Motion to set aside transfer filed Aug. 31, 1897. Order remitting fees filed Sept. 16, 1897. Order to show cause filed Oct. 9, 1897. Order discharged filed Oct. 15, 1897. Petition for distribution filed Oct. 15, 1897. Order to repay certain money filed Oct. 18, 1897. Motion to set aside order of transfer denied Oct. 21, 1897.		Office No. 183. Closed.
Petition filed Oct. 12, 1897. Appointment filed Oct. 12, 1897. Order filed Oct. 19, 1897.		Office No. 184. Closed.
Complaint filed Oct. 30, 1897. Summons served Oct. 30, 1897. Answer of Defendant Rackliffe filed Jan. 17, 1898.		Office No. 185.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 466—The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. A. A. Smith as Assessor for Modoc County, J. T. Laird, H. Van Bibber, John Bucher, J. H. Derevan, H. Pagnello, and C. W. Williams, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and John E. Raker for Plaintiff; D. W. Jenks and G. F. Harris for Defendants.	Superior Court of Modoc County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows: A. A. Smith, $3,127 80; J. T. Laird, $2,000; H. Van Bibber, $2,000; John Bucher, $2,000; J. H. Derevan, $2,000; H. Pagnello, $2,000; C. W. Williams, $2,000, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 17067—In the matter of the estate and guardianship of Emma Huling, an insane person. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for People; J. J. Dunne for Guardian.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—By Guardian, Henry Schwarz, for order of court that proceeds of said estate be prorated among creditors, etc.
Superior Court No. ——— —F. C. Young, Plaintiff, vs. Board of Managers of Agnews State Hospital, J. R. Curnow, O. A. Hale, Isaac Upham, Frank H. Gould, and Ed. White, Board of Managers of Agnews State Hospital, T. S. Montgomery, Secretary of Agnews State Hospital, and F. M. Sponogle, Medical Superintendent of Agnews State Hospital, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; Nicholas Bowden, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Civil	By complaint—That a writ of prohibition issue commanding and requiring Defendants and each of them to desist from further proceedings in removal of Plaintiff; and said Defendants and each of them be commanded to reinstate and restore Plaintiff to said position as Steward, and for further relief as is agreeable to equity.
Superior Court No. ——— —In the matter of the estate of A. Goodnough, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and W. F. Williamson for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition.
Superior Court No. ——— —In the matter of the estate of William Melone, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and W. F. Williamson for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition.
Superior Court No. ——— —In the matter of the estate of John B. Casey, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and W. F. Williamson for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed April 4, 1896. Summons served ——— —, ——. Demurrer filed April 14, 1897. Answer filed June 2, 1897. Demurrer filed June 2, 1897. Demurrer overruled June 2, 1897. Judgment for Defendants June 12, 1897. Notice of appeal filed July 6, 1897.	Transcript filed Nov. 12, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 11, 1897. Respondent's brief filed Jan. 10, 1898. Appellant's reply brief filed Feb. 8, 1898. Submitted May 4, 1898.	Office No. 186.
Petition filed Oct. 12, 1897. First and final account of guardianship filed Oct. 12, 1897. Ordered that after paying costs of administration proceeds of estate be prorated among creditors; that the personal effects of the insane person be transferred to the custody of the Agnews Hospital, and the guardian be discharged.		Office No. 187. Closed.
Complaint served Oct. 21, 1897. Application, affidavit, order, and writ served Oct. 21, 1897. Writ issued Oct. 21, 1897, returnable Nov. 10, 1897. Demurrer argued and submitted Nov. 19, 1897. Demurrer to answer and motion to strike out served Dec. 22, 1897. Petition for writ denied Feb. 3, 1898. Served memorandum of costs on Plaintiff's attorneys by mail, original sent to County Clerk for filing Feb. 10, 1898. Amendments to finding received Feb. 26, 1898. Findings signed and filed March 5, 1898. Judgment for Defendants March 5, 1898. Defendants' memorandum of costs served; original sent to County Clerk for filing March 9, 1898. June 6, 1898, proceedings dismissed.		Office No. 188. Closed.
Appointment filed Nov. 24, 1897. Bond approved ——. Petition filed Jan. 7, 1898. Order transferring residue Jan. 20, 1898.		Office No. 189. Closed.
Appointment filed Nov. 24, 1897. Bond approved ——. Petition filed Jan. 7, 1898. Order transferring residue Jan. 20, 1898.		Office No. 190. Closed.
Petition filed ——. Bond approved ——. Appointment filed ——. Order transferring residue of said estate filed March 14, 1898.		Office No. 191. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 9565—In the matter of the estate of Cornelius Riley, deceased. John Riley, Petitioner. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for People; Rothchild & Ach for John Riley.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — Petitioner prays that residue of said estate be ordered distributed and paid to heir, etc.
Supreme Court No. 475—Jennie McEldowney, Plaintiff, vs. John Madden, Defendant. The People of the State of California Intervenor and Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and John E. Raker for Appellant; Jenks & Claflin for McEldowney, Plaintiff; J. H. Stewart for Madden, Defendant.	Superior Court of Modoc Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for the sum of $400 and costs of action.
Superior Court No. 10790—In the matter of the estate of Celina F. Clocke, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, $403 77, to State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12978—In the matter of the estate of Margaret Henessey, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $258 08, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 8982—In the matter of the estate of Juare Garcia Casares, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $633 30, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12937—In the matter of the estate of Gustar Litzner (alias Gustare), deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $1,623 32, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14209—In the matter of the estate of Jean Baptiste Vallard, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $320 73, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Dec. 8, 1897. Order and citation received Dec. 10, 1897. Proof of posting application filed Dec. 24, 1897. Decree of distribution to John Riley filed Jan. 10, 1898.		Office No. 192. Closed.
Complaint filed July 31, 1897. Demurrer filed Sept. 2, 1897. Demurrer overruled Sept. —, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff Sept. 8, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Sept. 10, 1897.	Transcript filed Dec. 4, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Dec. 24, 1897. Stipulated to submit on briefs May 14, 1898.	Office No. 193.
Petition filed Dec. 28, 1897. Appointment filed. Order filed transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury Jan. 4, 1898.		Office No. 194. Closed.
Petition filed Dec. 28, 1897. Appointment filed. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Jan. 4, 1898.		Office No. 195. Closed.
Petition filed Dec. 31, 1897. Appointment filed Dec. 31, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Jan. 6, 1898.		Office No. 196. Closed.
Petition filed Dec. 3, 1897. Appointment filed Dec. 3, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Dec. 8, 1897.		Office No. 197. Closed.
Petition filed Dec. 3, 1897. Appointment filed Dec 3, 1897. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Dec. 8, 1897.		Office No. 198. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 10059—In the matter of the estate of David Diebold, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $49 98, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8430—In the matter of the estate of Julius Peienchen, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $90 24, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7544—In the matter of the estate of Catherine Finnegan, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $71 06, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8811—In the matter of the estate of John McKay, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $97 58, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9773—In the matter of the estate of Michael Goss, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $59 89, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 15227—In the matter of the estate of John Connelly, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $163 00, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7835—William J. Gavigan, Plaintiff, vs. James H. Budd, Governor of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant; Lennon & Hawkins for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—For writ of mandate.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Jan. 5, 1898. Order transferring residue filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 199. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 5, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 200. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 5, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 201. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 5, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 202. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 5, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 5, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 203. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 204. Closed.
Petition filed Dec. 30, 1897. Writ issued Dec. 30, 1897. Returnable Jan. 15, 1898. Demurrer served Jan. 12, 1898. Demurrer filed Jan. 13, 1898. Stipulated to submit on briefs 10-15-5 from day of submission Jan. 12, 1898. Demurrer submitted on briefs Jan. 14, 1898. Defendant's brief served Jan. 20, 1898. Defendant's brief filed Jan. 21, 1898. Plaintiff's brief served Feb. 8, 1898. Demurrer sustained June 2, 1898. Notice of sustaining of demurrer filed June 10, 1898. Judgment for costs entered June 17, 1898.		Office No. 205.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Mary Ruby deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and O R. Coghlan for Petitioner	Superior Court of Solano Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 9779—In the matter of the estate of John S. Housk, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $210 65, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 13975—In the matter of the estate of J. Patterson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $236 36, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9375—In the matter of the estate of M. C. De Vere, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $233 79, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14186—In the matter of the estate of Surteer Laidler, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $95 95, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17152—In the matter of the estate of Cyrus D. Hoyt, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $84 76, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 15212—In the matter of the estate of Chas. G. Huntley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $63 22, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 6539—In the matter of the estate of Stein Nelson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $92 46, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
		Office No. 206.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 207. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 208. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 209. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 210. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 211. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 212. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 213. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 10362—In the matter of the estate of Geo. Shaw, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $119 59, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 6832—In the matter of the estate of Margaret Ann Henry, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $364 30, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 62608—The Interstate Coursing Club of California, and I. F. Hatton, E. V. Sullivan, John Perigo, S. W. Smith, Roy Scott, John Hatton, C. C. Griswold, Al. Austin, W. Kay, Geo. Whiting, and others, Plaintiffs, vs. Dominick Shainon, John Grace, P. J. Reilly, Michael Treanor, James Dean, T. McHugh, James Grace, and L. H. Brown, Secretary of the State of California, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Secretary of State Brown; Heller & Powers for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants; that the Defendants other than L. H. Brown, and each of them, be forever restrained by injunction from the commission of any acts complained of, etc.; that L. H. Brown be forever restrained and enjoined from issuing to other Defendants any articles of incorporation bearing the name Interstate Coursing Club of California, etc., and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7868—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of Frank H. Gould and Wm. Alex. Ryan, Commissioners of the Building and Loan Associations of California, Plaintiff, vs. Union Building and Loan Association of Sacramento, and B. U. Steinman, G. G. Locke, T. W. Huntington, James McCullock, L. Heilbron, L. Tozer, Thos. R. Jones, S. W. Butler, and R. T. Cohn, as directors of said corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Miller & Brown for McCullock, Cohn.	Superior Court of Sacramento Co.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against defendant corporation; that it be restrained, enjoined and prohibited with its business until a hearing of said case can be heard; that upon such hearing if the Court deem it expedient a receiver be appointed to take possession of the property and effects of said corporation, to liquidate the business subject to the order of this Court, and for such other and further relief as may seem just and equitable, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898.		Office No. 214. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 17, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 17, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 25, 1898. Petition of Mrs. Margaret Ritchie to recover said estate filed April 19, 1898. Stipulated that cause be heard May 27, 1898. Cause heard Sept. 3, 1898. Submitted, and judgment for Petitioner.		Office No. 215. Closed.
Order to show cause and restraining order served Jan. 14, 1898. Complaint served Jan. 17, 1898. Disclaimer of Defendant L. H. Brown served Jan. 20, 1898. Filed Jan. 21, 1898.		Office No. 216. Closed, so far as Secretary of State is concerned.
Complaint filed Feb. 7, 1898. Summons served Feb. 7, 1898. Restraining order served and filed Feb. 7, 1898. Answer of Defendants McCullock and R. T. Cohn served Feb. 10, 1898. Injunction issued Feb. 18, 1898. Defendants Cohn and McCullock cost bill served, and complaint as to these defendants dismissed Feb. 21, 1898. Injunction made permanent Feb. 24, 1898. Thos. W. O'Neil appointed receiver and bond fixed at $100,000, Feb. 24, 1898. Served and filed memorandum of costs March 1, 1898. Notice of decision served and filed March 1, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 20–22, 1898.		Office No. 217.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 62826—Jas. C. Daly, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Knight & Heggerty for Defendant; James C. Daly for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $10,000 and legal interest thereon, and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just.
Superior Court No. ——— Julius J. Mack, Plaintiff, vs. H. A. Jastro, C. J. E. Taylor, Henry Bohna, Jerry Shields, and T. J. Bottoms, composing the Board of Supervisors of Kern County, Defendants. Trowbridge & Company, a corporation, Intervenors. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Alvin Fay for Defendants; Geo. E. Church and T. M. McNamara for Plaintiff; Lockhart & Smith for Intervenors.	Superior Court of Kern Co.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays that Defendants be restrained, enjoined, and forbidden from selling, assigning, transferring, delivering or causing to be sold bonds issued by Kern County to Trowbridge & Co.; that said bonds be sold by said Treasurer to the highest bidder, as provided by law; that Defendants be required to show cause why an injunction should not issue as prayed for, and for such other and further relief in the premises as may be just.
Superior Court No. 15216—In the matter of the estate of John Taylor, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $1,736 12, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 16674—In the matter of the estate of James Bryan, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $1,060 50 to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 12825—In the matter of the estate of John Penrose, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $721 74, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 18119—In the matter of the estate of Thomas Cornell, or Connell, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Hart H. North for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate to the State Treasury. Action dismissed.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Bond approved and filed Feb. 1, 1898. Complaint served Feb. 14, 1898. Summons served Feb. 14, 1898. Answer filed March 15, 1898. Demurrer to answer filed. Demurrer to answer sustained.		Office No. 218.
Complaint filed Nov. 16, 1897. Demurrer filed Nov. 22, 1897. Demurrer overruled Nov. 29, 1897. Answer filed Dec. 21, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff Jan. 7, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 1, 1898.	Transcript filed Feb. 8, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 28, 1898. Intervenor's brief filed March 10, 1898.	Office No. 219.
Petition filed Jan. 8, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 8, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 18, 1898.		Office No. 220. Closed.
Petition filed Jan. 8, 1898. Appointment filed Jan. 8, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed Jan. 18, 1898.		Office No. 221. Closed.
Appointment filed Jan. 7, 1898. Petition for escheat filed July 19, 1898. Order of escheat July 20, 1898.		Office No. 222. Closed.
		Office No. 223. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Supreme Court No. 498—J. K. McPherson, Plaintiff, vs. San Joaquin County, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent; Loutitt & Middlecoff for Appellant.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $1,374 99, and for costs of suit.
Supreme Court No. 1286—The People, ex rel. R. H. Warfield, Plaintiff, vs. Sutter Street Railway Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff; Naphtaly, Freidenrich & Ackerman for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	Second appeal in case No. S. F. 276 being taken from an order of the Superior Court allowing interest of the money judgment Number of case in Superior Court City and County of San Francisco, 48983.
Superior Court No. 6975—In the matter of the estate of B. Fuller, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $27 21, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf, appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 9829—In the matter of the estate of F. W. Fuhrman, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $30 37, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 11328—In the matter of the estate of E. Mogenson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $27 56, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 13083—In the matter of the estate of James Evers, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $15 86, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8238—In the matter of the estate of Andreas Koch, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $24 27, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10608—In the matter of the estate of G. V. Cappallett, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $26 75, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed Nov. 16, 1897. Demurrer filed Dec. 3, 1897. Demurrer sustained Dec. 31, 1897. Judgment for Defendant Jan. 10, 1898. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 13, 1898.	Transcript filed Jan. 26, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 15, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 31, 1898. Stipulated that case be submitted on briefs April 23, 1898.	Office No. 224.
	Transcript filed Jan. 20, 1898. Appellant's brief filed Feb. 15, 1898. Respondent's brief filed May 3, 1898.	Office No. 225.
Appointment and petition filed July 20, 1898. Order filed transferring residue, July 28, 1898.		Office No. 226. Closed.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 227. Closed.
Petition filed March 31, 1898. Appointment filed March 31, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed April 6, 1898.		Office No. 228. Closed.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 229. Closed.
Petition filed March 16, 1898. Appointment filed March 16, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 23, 1898.		Office No. 230. Closed.
Petition filed March 31, 1898. Appointment filed March 31, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed April 6, 1898.		Office No. 231. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 14538—In the matter of the estate of F. J. Hauler, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $4 82, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 14182—In the matter of the estate of J. H. Hesse, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $44 36, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17315—In the matter of the estate of Thomas McCormick, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition — To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $199 88, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10852—In the matter of the estate of Mary J. Taylor, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $46 17, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 7080—In the matter of the estate of Robert Morgan, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $40 81, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8149—In the matter of the estate of Frank Kimpfel, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $40 92, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 8369—In the matter of the estate of Patrick Foley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $37 21, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 10207—In the matter of the estate of E. Mewry, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $20 60, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel by Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 232. Closed.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 233. Closed.
Petition filed March 16, 1898. Appointment filed March 16, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 23, 1898.		Office No. 234. Closed.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 235. Closed.
Petition filed March 16, 1898. Appointment filed March 16, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 23, 1898.		Office No. 236. Closed.
Appointment and petition filed July 20, 1898. Order of escheat July 28, 1898.		Office No. 237. Closed.
Petition filed March 31, 1898. Appointment filed March 31, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed April 6, 1898.		Office No. 238. Closed.
Petition filed March 3, 1898. Appointment filed March 3, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 11, 1898.		Office No. 239. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. —— —The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, upon the complaint of Frank H. Gould and Wm. Alex. Ryan, Commissioners of the Building and Loan Associations of California, Plaintiffs, vs. The Occidental Building and Loan Association of Sacramento, a corporation, and A. J. Johnston, J. L. Siller, L. Elkus, Grove L. Johnson, W. A. Curtis, John Feeney, Robert T. Devlin, P. E. Platt, and S. S. Hopkins, as Directors of said corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants; that said corporation be restrained, enjoined and prohibited from further transaction of business until hearing of said case; that upon such hearing, if the Court shall deem it expedient, a receiver be appointed to take possession of the property and effects of said corporation, to settle and liquidate said business subject to the order of this Court as may seem just and equitable and for costs of suit.
Supreme Court No. Sac. 518—James H. Devine, Plaintiff, vs. The Board of Supervisors of Sacramento County, W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Frank D. Ryan for Defendant; James B. Devine and H. G. Soule for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays for a decree of said Court restraining, enjoining and prohibiting said Board of Supervisors from increasing or adding to the rate of County taxes for the fiscal year 1898-99.
Superior Court No. 62087—John J. O'Malley, Plaintiff, vs. The State of California and Isaac W. Lees, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for State of California; Meyerstein & Bien for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants; that Isaac W. Lees be adjudged to have no right, title, or interest, or claim to said reward, or any part thereof, and for judgment against the State of California for the sum of $1,000, together with interest thereon.
Superior Court No. 8272—J. C. Bull, Plaintiff, vs. M. J. Wright, as Surveyor-General of the State of California, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Alva E. Snow for Defendant; Frank H. Short for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Fresno.	Civil	By petition—Petition for writ of mandate to compel said Defendant to approve application of Plaintiff to purchase said lands and for general relief.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed March 7, 1898. Summons served March 7, 1898. Restraining order filed March 7, 1898. Answer served March 17, 1898. Demurrer of Defendant Devlin served March 17, 1898. Injunction heretofore granted dissolved, Defendants enjoined from issuing new stock until further order of Court upon showing that the deficit now existing is made up, April 25, 1898. Injunction dissolved, complaint dismissed with costs to defendants July 2, 1898.		Office No. 240. Closed.
Complaint filed March 15, 1898. Demurrer filed March 15, 1898. Demurrer overruled March 15, 1898. Judgment for Plaintiff March 15, 1898. Notice of appeal filed March 15, 1898.	Transcript filed March 17, 1898. Appellant's brief filed, March 24, 1898. Respondent's brief filed March 24, 1898. Argued and submitted April 1, 1898. Order affirmed Aug. 17, 1898. Petition for rehearing filed Sept. 7, 1898.	Office No. 241.
Complaint filed Nov. 26, 1897. Summons served Nov. 15, 1897. Answer filed April 4, 1898. Stipulated that case be set for trial May 27, 1898, April 28, 1898. Cause tried July 14, 1898. Argued and submitted July 18, 1898. Decision of Court given in favor of Defendant Aug. 4, 1898.		Office No. 242.
Petition filed March 2, 1898. Writ issued March 2, 1898. Notice of motion for change of venue and demand for change of venue, and demurrer filed April 8, 1898. Motion for change of venue denied.		Office No. 243.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Supreme Court No. 440—Riverside County, Plaintiff, vs. P. J. Stockman and Olive Byrne, executors of the estate of Mathew Byrne, deceased, O. G. McEwen, J. F. Hards, M. A. Walker, M. M. Onstoot, C. Alvord, D. Haslam, Gould and Lyman Gregory, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and L. Gill for Plaintiff; G. A. Skinner for Hards and McEwen; J. W. Stephenson for Defendants.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment; that the Court order, adjudge, and decree the Plaintiff to take possession of and use for the purposes set forth in the complaint all the above described tract and strip of land sought in this action to be condemned for the right of way and public road, and for such other and further relief as appertains to law and equity.
Superior Court No. —— — The Napa State Hospital, a corporation, by C. B. Seely, Treasurer of the Napa State Hospital, Plaintiff, vs. County of Yuba, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Yuba Co.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against defendant for the sum of $540 and interest thereon from the 21st day of October, 1897, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. —— — The Napa State Hospital, a corporation, by C. B. Seely, Treasurer of the Napa State Hospital, Plaintiff, vs. The County of Solano, a municipal corporation, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Solano County.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against defendant for the sum of $2,265, with interest thereon from the 16th day of Sept., 1897, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 64027—The Napa State Hospital, a corporation, by C. B. Seely, Treasurer of the Napa State Hospital, Plaintiff, vs. the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against defendant for the sum of $2,460, with interest thereon from the 14th day of Sept., 1897, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 64028—The Napa State Hospital, a corporation, by C. B. Seely, Treasurer of the Napa State Hospital, Plaintiff, vs. the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against defendant for the sum of $1,605, with legal interest from the 2d day of Sept., 1897, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Demurrer of Hards filed Dec. 14, 1895. Demurrer of McEwen filed Dec. 14, 1895. Amended complaint filed Feb. 24, 1896. Demurrer of Hards filed March 9, 1896. Demurrer of McEwen filed March 9, 1896. Demurrer of McEwen and demurrer of Hards sustained March 12, 1896. Amended complaint filed March 12, 1896. Demurrer of Hards and McEwen filed March 18, 1896. Demurrer of Hards and McEwen overruled March 23, 1896. Answer of Hards and McEwen filed April 2, 1896. Judgment for plaintiff June 13, 1896. Notice of appeal filed June 23, 1897.	Transcript filed Aug. 2, 1897. Appellant's brief filed Sept. 10, 1897. Service admitted April 13, 1898. Application to submit filed June 21, 1898.	Office No. 244.
Complaint filed April 25, 1898.		Office No. 245.
Complaint filed May 9, 1898.		Office No. 246.
Complaint filed April 29, 1898.		Office No. 247.
Complaint filed April 29, 1898.		Office No. 248.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 64156—The Napa State Hospital, a corporation, by C. B. Seely, Treasurer of the Napa State Hospital, Plaintiff, vs. Richard Flaherty, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint — Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant for the sum of $799, with interest thereon from the 25th day of February, 1898.
Superior Court No. 64311—Southern Pacific Company, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The Board of Railroad Commissioners, et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendants; W. F. Herrin and Garrett W. McEnerny for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	Petition for writ of mandate.
Supreme Court Sac. No. 554—In the matter of Charles E. Wright, a taxpayer of the City of Sacramento, Plaintiff, vs. The County of Sacramento, the Board of Supervisors of Sacramento, etc., et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Frank D. Ryan, and Chas. T. Hughes for Defendants; H. C. Ross for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	Agreed case and submission of controversy filed April 27, 1898.
Superior Court No. 15215—In the matter of the estate of Patrick Roach, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thomas K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $857 34, to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17674—In the matter of the estate of John H. Franklin, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thomas K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17654—In the matter of the estate of Bridget Hogan, deceased. W. F Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thomas K. Kase, for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Complaint filed May 11, 1898. Summons served May 12, 1898. Demurrer filed May 28, 1898. Amended complaint filed July 22, 1898. Demurrer to amended complaint filed Aug. 11, 1898. Submitted on briefs Aug. 11, 1898. Defendant's brief on demurrer filed Aug. 11, 1898. Plaintiff's brief on demurrer filed Sept. 7, 1898.		Office No. 249.
Petition filed May 24, 1898. Writ issued May 24, 1898. Demurrer filed June 3, 1898. Respondent's demurrer, objection, and answer filed June 20, 1898. Ordered demurrer to petition submitted June 28, 1898. Opinion and order filed Aug. 1, 1898.		Office No. 250.
Judgment for Plaintiff May 10, 1898. Decree entered May 17, 1898. Notice of appeal filed May 18, 1898.	Transcript filed May 20, 1898. Appellant's brief June 10, 1898. Respondent's brief, June 25, 1898. Judgment affirmed Aug. 17, 1898.	Office No. 251.
Appointment filed March 7, 1898. Petition filed March 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed March 14, 1898.		Office No. 252. Closed.
Appointment filed March 7, 1898. Petition filed March 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed March 14, 1898.		Office No. 253. Closed.
Appointment filed March 7, 1898. Petition filed March 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to State Treasury filed March 14, 1898.		Office No. 254. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 15125—In the matter of the estate of Joab T. Edgar, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. K. Kase for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate to the State Treasury. Thos. K. Kase appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17363—In the matter of the estate of B. Bianchi, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $57 80, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17363—In the matter of the estate of James Keleher, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the residue of said estate, the sum of $46 01, to the State Treasury. E. M. Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17157—In the matter of the estate of H. C. Anderson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $56 15, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17680—In the matter of the estate of James Davis, deceased. W. F. Fitzgarald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $78 84, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17673—In the matter of the estate of Henri Courtin, deceased W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $40 32, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 3847—In the matter of the estate of M. Rebuffah, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $22 36, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Appointment filed March 7, 1898. Petition filed March 7, 1898. Order to transfer residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 7, 1898. Entire residue of said estate distributed to heirs upon proper proof June 20, 1898; proceeding dismissed.		Office No. 255. Closed.
Appointment filed April 18, 1898. Petition filed April 18, 1898. Order of escheat July 19, 1898.		Office No. 256. Closed.
Appointment filed April 20, 1898. Petition filed April 20, 1898. Order of escheat July 19, 1898.		Office No. 257. Closed.
Appointment filed April 18, 1898. Petition filed April 18, 1898. Order of escheat filed July 19, 1898.		Office No. 258. Closed.
Appointment filed April 18, 1898. Petition filed April 18, 1898. Order of escheat filed July 19, 1898.		Office No. 259. Closed.
Appointment filed April 20, 1898. Petition filed April 20, 1898. Order of escheat filed July 19, 1898.		Office No. 260. Closed.
Appointment filed July 20, 1898. Petition filed July 20, 1898. Order of escheat filed July 28, 1898.		Office No. 261. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 2226—In the matter of the estate of Andrew Hakinson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. M. Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $210 20, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 2241—In the matter of the estate of Mary Keane, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $65 81, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 1306—In the matter of the estate of E. C. Klawson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. Myron Wolf, for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $137 09, to the State Treasury. E. Myron Wolf appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 17970—In the matter of the estate of Jane Little, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. T. Patton for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. A. T. Patton appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Philip Cuz, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and O. R. Coghlan for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Solano Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. O. R. Coghlan appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 659—In the matter of the estate of Peter Soderman, alias Peter I. Oderman, alias Peter Carlson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Lewis H. Smith for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the residue of said estate, the sum of $403 63, to the State Treasury. Lewis H. Smith appointed special counsel to represent the Attorney-General.
Superior Court No. 985—In the matter of the estate of Jean Ribet, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the residue of said estate, the sum of $159 81, to the State Treasury.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Appointment filed March 19, 1898. Petition filed March 19, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed March 24, 1898.		Office No. 262. Closed.
Appointment filed March 19, 1898. Petition filed March 26, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed April 4, 1898.		Office No. 263. Closed.
Appointment filed March 19, 1898. Petition filed March 26, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed April 4, 1898.		Office No. 264. Closed
Appointment filed. Petition filed.		Office No. 265.
Appointment filed. Petition filed.		Office No. 266.
Appointment filed April 28, 1898. Petition filed April 28, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 13, 1898.		Office No. 267. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 268. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 1313—In the matter of the estate of Ah Yook, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer the residue of said estate, the sum of $120, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 1966—In the matter of the estate of William A. Wolf, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $649 91, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 1993—In the matter of the estate of Jerry Twomey, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $364 05, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 1017—In the matter of the estate of J. Farrell, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $6 10, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No. 531—In the matter of the estate of Thos. Harley, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $4 65, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No.——In the matter of the estate of Henry Montague, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $16 10, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No.——In the matter of the estate of Joseph Trottier, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Henry E. Carter for Petitioner.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $6 05, to the State Treasury.
Superior Court No.——In the matter of the estate of Philip Cug, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and O. R. Coghlan for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Solano Co.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $——, to the State Treasury. O. R. Coghlan appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 269. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 270. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 271. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 272. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 273. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 274. Closed.
Petition filed June 7, 1898. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed June 7, 1898. Order served on County Treasurer June 7, 1898.		Office No. 275. Closed.
Filed appointment. Filed petition. Order transferring residue of said estate to the State Treasury filed.		Office No. 276. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the guardianship of the estate of Bernhard Isaacs, an incompetent person. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Amador County.	Civil	Petition of Trustees of Preston School of Industry on behalf of State for an order of court, directing Guardian to make deed conveying property therein described to State, for costs, etc.
Superior Court No. —— —Michael Isaacs, Plaintiff, vs. Morris Jones, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald. Attorney-General, for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Amador County.	Civil	By petition—On behalf of State of California for an order of court directing plaintiff to make deed of certain property to said State.
Supreme Court No. —— —E. W. King, Petitioner, vs. Board of Managers of the Mendocino State Hospital, J. H. Seawell, J. Q. White, J. C. Ruddock, M. Gardner, and A. W. Foster, members of said Board of Managers, Defendants. Beverly L. Hodghead and R. B. Carpenter for Petitioner. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Seawell & Pemberton for Defendants.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Joel Augreenot, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $53 46, to the State Treasury. Wm. W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent the Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Michael McCormick, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $289 89, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Joseph F. Montgomery, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $106 33, to the State Treasury. Wm. W. Rhoads appointed as special counsel to represent the Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of L. Melchoir, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $39 16, to the State Treasury. Wm. W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
		Office No. 277.
Petition of Trustees of Preston School of Industry on behalf of State for an order of court directing receiver to make deed conveying property therein described to State, for costs, etc.		Office No. 278.
	By petition for writ of mandate that Respondents herein make drafts upon the Controller of the State of California for the salary of Petitioner for the months of July, August, September, October, November, and December, 1897, and January, February, and March, 1898, and for further relief, etc.	Office No 279. Closed.
Filed appointment ————. Filed petition June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue to State Treasury July 1, 1898.		Office No. 280. Closed.
Filed appointment ————. Filed petition June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 281. Closed.
Appointment filed ————. Petition filed ————. No money in said estate.		Office No. 282. Closed.
Appointment filed ————. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 283. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of F. A. Patchen, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $17 25, to the State Treasury. Wm. W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of John Simpson, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $82 39, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of John Stewart, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $242 42, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of Cashmere Suave, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and William W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $350 27, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of George Wagner, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $22 99, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of S. G. Wright, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $14 09, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Superior Court No. —— —In the matter of the estate of F. X. Bauer, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $226 26, to the State Treasury. William W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Appointment filed————. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 284. Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 285. Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 286. Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 287. Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898, Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 288 Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 289. Closed.
Appointment filed ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 290. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR AND SUPREME

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. ——In the matter of the estate of Lucie Octavo, deceased. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. W. Rhoads for Petitioner.	Superior Court of the County of Sacramento.	Civil	By petition—To transfer residue of said estate, the sum of $454 70, to the State Treasury. Wm. W. Rhoads appointed special counsel to represent Attorney-General June 10, 1898.
Supreme Court, Sacramento No. 274; The County of Colusa, Plaintiff and Respondent, vs. B. Seube, Defendant and Appellant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Ernest Weyand, District Attorney, for Respondent.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	
Supreme Court, San Francisco No. 1569—Adina Mitchell, Wm. R. Rowland, and Walter Lindley, Trustees of the Whittier State School, Plaintiffs and Pet ti oners, vs. E. P. Colgan as State Controller of the State of California, Defendant and Respondent. John W. Mitchell for Petitioners; W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Respondent.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	Action for writ of mandate.
Supreme Court S. F. No. 1513—J. F. Neeley, Plaintiff, vs. L. H. Brown, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Defendant.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil	By petition—For writ of mandate.
Superior Court No. 65026—The People of the State of California, Plaintiff, vs. The Sierra Valleys Railway Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco.	Civil	By complaint—To recover State and County taxes delinquent and unpaid for the fiscal year 1897-98, together with penalties, aggregating $1,454 59, and interest.
Charles H. Smith, Complainant, vs. The California and Nevada Railroad Company (a corporation) et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for the People, Petitioner.	Circuit Court of the United States, Northern District of California.	Civil	By petition—For State and County taxes for the fiscal year 1897-98, delinquent and unpaid, and penalties, aggregating $1,601 63, and for order of Court directing Receiver to pay said sum into State Treasury.

Digitized by Google

COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE UNITED STATES COURTS—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks.
Appointment filed —— ——. Petition filed June 28, 1898. Order made transferring residue July 1, 1898.		Office No. 291. Closed.
Petition for rehearing filed June 21, 1898. Judgment vacated and cause ordered to a hearing in bank June 21, 1898. Judgment affirmed June 27, 1898.		Office No. 292. Closed.
July 19, 1898, filed petition for writ of mandate; filed demurrer; filed brief of defendant on demurrer; filed reply brief of plaintiffs; filed joint request of counsel; filed stipulation to submit; ordered on submission.		Office No. 293.
	Application for writ of mandate filed June 17, 1898. Petition for writ of mandate argued and denied without prejudice July 11, 1898.	Office No. 294. Closed.
Complaint filed and summons issued July 29, 1898.		Office No. 295.
Petition filed August 3, 1898.		Office No. 296.

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET

OF

"LEAVE-TO-SUE" CASES.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES, IN THE NAME OF

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
The People, etc., upon the relation of G. A. Johnson, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Reclamation District No. 157 et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Adams & Adams for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To forever dissolve said defendant corporation.
The People, etc., upon the relation of G. A. Johnson, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Reclamation District No. 282 et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Adams & Adams for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To forever dissolve said defendant corporation.
Superior Court No. 34497—The People, etc., upon the relation of Park and Ocean Railroad Co. et al. Plaintiffs, vs. Omnibus Cable Company, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Carroll Cook, Morrison & Foerster for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurping, unlawfully holding and exercising franchise.
Superior Court No. 34922—The People, etc., ex rel. Thos. J. L. Smiley, Plaintiff, vs. John Willis, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and H. C. Dibble for Plaintiff; Garrett McEnerny for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.
Superior Court No. 35331—The People, etc., ex rel. Thos. J. L. Smiley, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. Walsh, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and H. C. Dibble for Plaintiff; Maxwell & McEnerny for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Intrusion in office.
Superior Court No. 35330—The People, etc., ex rel. Thos. J. L. Smiley, Plaintiff, vs. E. F. McKittrick, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and H. C. Dibble for Plaintiff; Maxwell & McEnerny for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civl ..	By complaint—Intrusion in office.
The People, etc., upon the relation of W. McNann, Plaintiff, vs. Israel Rolf, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. H. Budd for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.

Digitized by Google

WHEREIN LEAVE HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE THE PEOPLE.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 2, 1890. Complaint filed July 18, 1890. Summons issued same day.		Office No. 1.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 2, 1890. Complaint filed July 18, 1890. Summons issued same day.		Office No. 2.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 10, 1891. Complaint filed Oct. 10, 1891. Demurrer filed Dec. 5, 1891. Answer filed Oct. 17, 1891.		Office No. 3. Amount of bond, $500. Park and Ocean Railroad Co.; San Francisco and San Mateo R. R. Co., Principal; N. T. Smith, F. S. Douty, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted Nov. 17, 1891. Complaint filed Nov. 17, 1891. Demurrer filed Jan. 2, 1892.		Office No. 4. Closed.
Leave to sue granted Dec. 30, 1891. Complaint filed Dec. 30, 1891. Demurrer filed Feb. 18, 1892.		Office No. 5. Closed.
Leave to sue granted Dec. 30, 1891. Complaint filed Dec. 30, 1891. Demurrer filed Feb. 18, 1892.		Office No. 6. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Jan. 22, 1892. Complaint filed Feb. 1, 1892. Demurrer filed Feb. 13, 1892.		Office No. 7.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 5048—The People, etc., upon the relation of E. W. Foster, Plaintiff, vs. James McNassar, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Johnson, Johnson & Johnson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint — Unlawfully holding, intruding into, usurping, and exercising office and franchise.
The People, etc., upon the relation of W. W. Jacobs, Plaintiff, vs. Victor H. Metcalf et al, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and R. M. Fitzgerald for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To remove obstruction and declare portion of Fourteenth Street, Oakland, to be public highway.
Superior Court No. 1373—The People, etc., upon the relation of Caroline Bell et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Reclamation District No. 539, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Yolo Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—To dissolve said district.
Superior Court No. 1122—The People, etc., upon the relation of Alvinza Hayward, Plaintiff, vs. San Francisco and San Mateo Railway Company et al., Defenants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wilson & McCutcheon for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Mateo Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—To leave highway unobstructed for public use.
Superior Court No. 38477—The People, etc., upon the relation of J. H. Stallard, Plaintiff, vs. San Francisco Polyclinic (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Maxwell & McEnerny for Plaintiff; Grant & Cushing for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—For decree vacating the charter of the defendant corporation.
Superior Court No. 19049—The People, etc., upon the relation of Lewis Stanton, Plaintiff, vs. Grove L. Bartholemew, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; D. P. Hatch, J. W. Swannick for Defendant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	
Superior Court No. 5448—The People, etc., upon the relation of Warren F. Drew, Plaintiff, vs. John B. Rodgers, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and C. T. Jones, Johnson, Johnson & Johnson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 20, 1892. Complaint filed Feb. 23, 1892. Demurrer filed March 3, 1892. Demurrer confessed April 22, 1892. Answer filed Aug. 22, 1892. Judgment for Defendant April 25, 1893.		Office No. 8. Amount of bond, $500. E. W. Foster, Principal; D. W. Carmichael, C. J. Shiller, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 27, 1892. Complaint filed March 12, 1892.		Office No. 9.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 12, 1892. Complaint filed July 9, 1892. Demurrer filed July 16, 1892. Demurrer sustained April 16, 1894. Judgment for Defendant April 16, 1894, sustaining formation of district. Notice of appeal filed July 27, 1895.		Office No. 10. Amount of bond, $500. Caroline Bell, Principal; F. Knipp, T. L. Atkinson, T. E. Atkinson, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved April 13, 1892. Complaint filed April 13, 1892. Demurrer filed July 9, 1892.		Office No. 11.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 8, 1892. Complaint filed Oct. 13, 1892. Demurrer filed May 3, 1893.		Office No. 12. Amount of bond, $500. J. L. Stallard, Principal.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Jan. 30, 1893. Complaint filed Feb. 1, 1893. Demurrer filed Feb. 10, 1893. Demurrer overruled May 15, 1893. Answer filed May 24, 1893. Judgment for Defendant Feb. 26, 1894.		Office No. 13.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 13, 1893. Complaint filed March 14, 1893. Demurrer filed April 10, 1893. Demurrer sustained April 29, 1893. Demurrer to amended complaint overruled June 9, 1893. Answer filed June 24, 1893. Judgment for relator Feb. 12, 1894. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 28, 1894.	Transcript filed July 28, 1894. Brief of Appellant filed Sept. 2, 1894. Brief of Respondent filed Sept. 20, 1894. Judgment and order reversed Sept. 24, 1897.	Office No. 15. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 5625—The People, etc., upon the relation of C. Bernhard, Plaintiff, vs Capital Furniture Company, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. M. Johnson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurping office and function of a franchise, and for a receiver.
Superior Court No. 272 — The People, etc., upon the relation of Geo. H. Baker, Plaintiff, vs. P. H. Clark, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Dudley, Kelly & Harrington for Plaintiff; J. C. and J. H. Ball for Defendant.	Superior Court of Glenn Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.
Superior Court No. 5809 — The People, etc., upon the relation of Geo. Peters, Plaintiff, vs. J. C. Hite, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Armstrong & Bruner for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	
Superior Court No. —— — The People, etc., upon the relation of O. E. Vantassel, Plaintiff, vs. H. J. Payne, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Armstrong & Bruner for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Yolo Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office and for fine.
Supreme Court L. A. No. 103—The People, etc., upon the relation of Joseph Lind, Plaintiff, vs. The City of San Luis Obispo, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wilcoxon & Bouldin for Plaintiff; W. H. Spencer for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Luis Obispo Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Abatement of a nuisance.
Superior Court No. 6102. Supreme Court, Sac. 156—The People, etc., upon the relation of P. J. Van Loben Sels, Plaintiff, vs. Reclamation District No. 551, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Warren Olney, Johnson & Johnson for Plaintiff; W. A. Gett, Jr. for Defendant.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint—That said Defendant is not and never was a corporation, and that it be excluded from all corporate rights, etc.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 26, 1893. Complaint filed July 3, 1893. Demurrer filed July 29, 1893. Demurrer overruled July 29, 1893. Answer filed Dec. 23, 1893.		Office No. 17. Amount of bond, $500. C. Bernhard, Principal; G. Deluchy, John S. Kelton, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 23, 1893. Complaint filed Nov. 28, 1893. Demurrer filed Dec. 8, 1893. Demurrer confessed and amended complaint filed Jan. 19, 1894. Demurrer to amended complaint filed Jan. 29, 1894. Demurrer sustained June 25, 1894.		Office No. 20. Amount of bond, $500. A. L. Hart, J. T. Harrington, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 30, 1893. Complaint filed Nov. 3, 1893. Answer filed Nov. 6, 1893. Judgment for Plaintiff Nov. 9, 1893. Notice of appeal filed March 10, 1894.		Office No. 21. Amount of bond, $500. Geo. Peters, Principal; Henry Schulmeyer, W. A. Curtis, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Dec. 14, 1893.		Office No. 22. Amount of bond, $500. O. E. Vantassel, Principal; James Farris, Chas. Robbins, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 5, 1894. Complaint filed Feb. 7, 1894. Summons issued same day. Demurrer filed Feb. 17, 1894. Demurrer overruled ——. Answer filed March 5, 1894. Judgment for Plaintiff Oct. 20, 1894. Notice of appeal filed July 13, 1895.	Transcript filed Aug. 13, 1895. Brief of Appellant filed Oct. 22, 1895. Brief of Respondent filed Oct. 31, 1895. Judgment and order affirmed April 29, 1897.	Office No. 26. Amount of bond, $500 Joseph Lind, Principal; John D. Armstrong, Wm. M. Armstrong, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 15, 1894. Complaint filed May 17, 1894. Demurrer filed May 29, 1894. Demurrer overruled Sept. 21, 1894. Answer filed Sept. 24, 1894. Judgment for Defendant April 5, 1895. Notice of appeal filed May 10, 1895.	Transcript filed Dec. 18, 1895. Brief of Appellant filed Jan. 18, 1896. Brief of Respondent filed Feb. 17, 1896. Appellant's reply brief filed Nov. 16, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed May 25, 1897.	Office No. 28. Amount of bond, $500. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 6289—The People, etc., upon the information of W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, Plaintiff, vs. Frank DeBald, Nancy J. Curtis, Rebecca Thisby, Robert Thisby, Geo. Thisby, Wm. Thisby, L. C. Thisby, and Reclamation District No. 136, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and W. A. Gett, Jr., for Plaintiff; Hiram W. Johnson for Defendants.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To deny said Defendants all rights to use any franchise or functions of a corporation, and for costs.
The People, etc., upon the relation of W. R. McQuiddy, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. W. Randall, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Dixon L. Phillips for Plaintiff; A. Yell for Defendant.	Superior Court of Kings County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.
Superior Court No. 48796—The People, etc., upon the information of W. H. H. Hart, Attorney-General, and relation of J. Downey Harvey, Plaintiff, vs. The City Grading Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Stanley, Hayes, McEnerney & Bradley, for Plaintiff; Geo. Leviston for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—To forfeit franchise, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 10447—The People, etc., upon the relation of Herman Richter, Plaintiff, vs. P. M. Remillard, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Jackson Hatch, J. R. Patten, for Plaintiff; L. Archer, Nicholas Bowden for Defendant.	Superior Court of Santa Clara County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of franchise.
Superior Court No. 48938; Supreme Court S. F. 276—The People, etc., upon the relation of R. H. Warfield, Plaintiff, vs. Sutter Street Railway Company, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff; Naphtaly, Freidenrich & Ackerman for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—To forfeit franchise.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 20, 1894. Complaint filed Oct. 23, 1894. Demurrer filed Nov. 10, 1894. Demurrer overruled Jan. 25, 1895. Answer filed March 2, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff June 26, 1895. Notice of appeal filed April 20, 1896.	Transcript filed July 6, 1896. Brief of Appellant filed Aug. 19, 1896. Brief of Respondent filed Sept. 21, 1896. Judgment and order reversed July 23, 1898.	Office No. 31. Amount of bond, $500. C. W. Clarke, W. A. Gett, Jr., Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug. 11, 1894. Complaint filed Aug. 14, 1894. Summons issued same day. Demurrer filed Aug. 24, 1894. Demurrer sustained Nov. 20, 1894. Judgment for Defendant Nov. 20, 1894.		Office No. 29.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 9, 1894. Complaint filed Nov. 10, 1894. Demurrer filed Nov. 30, 1894. Demurrer overruled Dec. 12, 1894. Answer filed Jan. 4, 1895. Nonsuit granted April 29, 1896. Judgment for Defendant for costs amounting to $9 April 29, 1896.		Office No. 32. Amount of bond, $500. J. Downey Harvey, Principal; James H. O'Brien, Edward Malley, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 9, 1894. Complaint filed Nov. 10, 1894. Demurrer filed Dec. 1, 1894. Demurrer overruled Dec 21, 1894. Answer filed Jan. 15, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Feb. 12, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 20, 1896.		Office No. 33. Amount of bond, $500. Herman Richter, Principal; Valentine Koch, Henry Dreishmeyer, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 27, 1894. Complaint filed Nov. 27, 1894. Demurrer filed Jan. 3, 1895. Demurrer overruled ——, ——. Answer filed April 24, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff June 26, 1895. Forfeiting franchise and fining Defendant $5,000. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 27, 1895.	Transcript filed Oct. 8, 1895. Brief of Appellant filed Nov. 7, 1895. Brief of Respondent filed Dec. 4, 1895. Appellant's reply brief filed Jan. 20, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed July 22, 1897.	Office No. 34. Amount of bond, $500. R. H. Warfield, Principal; A. S. Baldwin, F. W. Dohrman, Sureties. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 6359—The People, etc., upon the relation of Rebecca Thisby, Plaintiff, vs. Reclamation District No. 556, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Johnson & Johnson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sacramento County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To dissolve said district.
Superior Court No. 22808—The People, etc., upon the relation of L. P. Hanson, Plaintiff, vs Southern Pacific Co. (a corporation), J. A. Muirs, John Doe, Richard Roe, Sam Doe, and Sam Roe, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Knight, Simpson & Harpham for Plaintiff; Bicknall & Trask for Defendant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment declaring that the said franchise claimed by Defendant is illegal, null, and void; that all the proceedings relating thereto be set aside; that the Defendants, and each of them, their agents, servants, and employés may be restrained and enjoined from constructing, maintaining, or operating any steam railroad across, in, or upon said Depot Street, Broadway Street, or Frosts Court, in the City of Pasadena, until said Defendants, or some of them, shall obtain some lawful right or authority therefor; and for such other, further, and different relief as to this Court may seem meet and proper.
Superior Court No. 61—The People, etc., upon the relation of Daniel Stalker, Plaintiff, vs. H. F. Musser, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, D. W. Virgin, Armstrong & Bruner for Plaintiff; Woodburn & McGowan for Defendant.	Superior Court of Alpine County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment that the Defendant show by what warrant or right he holds said office of Sheriff of said county; that he be excluded from the office of Sheriff of said county; that judgment be rendered that Plaintiff is entitled to said office; and for a fine of $5,000, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 50432—The People, etc., upon the relation of Henry C. Gesford, Plaintiff, vs. M. R. Higgins, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Garrett McEnerny for Plaintiff; R. B. Carpenter, John M. Wright for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment that Relator, Henry C. Gesford, is entitled to office of Insurance Commissioner; that Defendant, M. R. Higgins, is not entitled to said office; that Relator be put in possession thereof; and for a fine of $5,000, and for costs.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Dec. 12, 1894. Complaint filed Dec. 15, 1894. Demurrer filed Jan. 2. 1895. Demurrer overruled Feb. 23, 1895. Answer filed March 13, 1895. Judgment for Defendant Jan. 17, 1896. Motion for new trial Feb. 26, 1896.		Office No. 35. Amount of bond, $500. Rebecca Thisby, Principal; S. S. Nathan, Henry Hebb, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 15, 1895. Complaint filed Feb. 15, 1895. Demurrer filed Feb. 21, 1895. Demurrer sustained March 22, 1895. Judgment for Defendant March 26, 1895.		Office No. 43. Amount of bond, $500. L. P. Hanson, Principal; L. F. Scott, I. B. Newton, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 25, 1895. Complaint filed March 8, 1895. Answer filed March 20, 1895. Motion for new trial made Jan. 6, 1896.		Office No. 44. Amount of bond, $500. Daniel Stalker, Principal; J. C. Henningsen, John Ellis, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved April 4, 1895. Complaint filed April 4, 1895. Demurrer filed April 16, 1895. Demurrer sustained Jan. 16, 1896. Amendment to second count of complaint filed Jan. 17, 1896. Motion to strike out amendment denied Feb. 17, 1896. Defendant appeared in open court and entered oral plea of not guilty Feb. 20, 1896. Upon application of Plaintiff, Defendant's default entered Feb. 25, 1896. Plaintiff applied to Supreme Court for peremptory writ of mandate to compel Judge of Superior Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Feb. 26, 1896. Petition denied, writ dismissed Oct. 8, 1896.		Office No. 46. Amount of bond, $500. Henry C. Gesford, Principal; Jas. H. O'Brien, L. C. Breese, Sureties. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 11044; Supreme Court, S. F. 420—The People, etc., upon the relation of R. A. Hughes, W. N. Miller, Plaintiffs, vs. John L. Davie, J. K. Piersol, Arthur R. Wilson, and City of Oakland, a corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and George E. De Golia for Plaintiffs; J. K. Piersol, Reed & Nusbaumer for Defendants.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment that Defendants, John L. Davie, J. K. Piersol, and Arthur R. Wilson, are not, or either of them, entitled to said office of Commissioner of the Department of Public Works of the City of Oakland; that Plaintiffs, W. N. Miller and R. A. Hughes, are entitled to office until the expiration of the term for which each was appointed; that each be put into office; that each have his costs.
Superior Court No. 1805—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Wesley Boling, Plaintiff, vs. James Daly, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Orestes Orr, Barnes & Selby for Plaintiff; Toland & Andrews for Defendant.	Superior Court of Ventura County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment that said Defendant is not entitled to said office of Justice of the Peace; that he be ousted therefrom; that Plaintiff is entitled to said office, and that he be put in possession thereof, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 23496—The People, etc., upon the relation of L. W. Wells, Plaintiff, vs. The Far West Oil Company et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Anderson & Anderson, for Plaintiff; C. McFarland et al. for Defendants.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For injunction and restraining order restraining Defendants from constructing or maintaining or permitting to be constructed, oil pipe-lines, cables, derricks, oil tanks, and from depositing in such public streets, or alleys, any oil, asphaltum, sledge, or other debris; from making or generating any gases, smoke, fumes, soot, or noisome smells; from storing or accumulating oil, crude oil, petroleum, asphaltum, or sledge, or stagnant water; from operating any machinery, pounding, hammering, or drilling, blowing any whistle, or letting off steam, etc.; that injunction be made perpetual, and costs.
Superior Court No. 51304—The People, etc., upon the relation of A. L. Morganstern, Plaintiff, vs. A. A. Watson, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, John H. Dickinson for Plaintiff; Henry E. Highton for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—For judgment that Defendant is not entitled to said office; that he be ousted therefrom; that Plaintiff is entitled to said office, and that he be admitted thereto, and for costs.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved April 6, 1895. Complaint filed April 17, 1895. Demurrer filed April 24, 1895, Demurrer sustained July 1, 1895. Judgment for Defendants Feb. 13, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Feb. 17, 1896.	Transcript filed Feb. 21, 1896. Brief of Appellants filed March 7, 1896. Brief of Respondents filed March 16, 1896. Appellants' reply brief filed July 1, 1896. Cause argued and submitted Aug. 18, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Sept. 21, 1896.	Office No. 47. Amount of bond, $500. R. A. Hughes, W. N. Miller, Principals; Joe Rosenburg, J. Z. Bonham, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted May 18, 1895. Bond approved May 27, 1895. Complaint filed May 23, 1895. Summons served same day. Demurrer filed June 5, 1895. Demurrer overruled June 22, 1895. Answer filed July 1, 1895. Judgment for Relator Oct. 10, 1895. Notice of motion for new trial Oct. 19, 1895.		Office No. 49. Amount of bond, $500. Wesley Boling, Principal; Leon Cerf, J. R. Willoughby, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 6, 1895. Complaint filed June 15, 1895. Demurrers filed July 3, 5, 13, 15, 1895. Demurrers overruled July 27, 1895. Answers filed July 15, Aug. 2, 6, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 19, Sept. 3, 6, 26, Oct. 2, 23, Nov. 6, 1895. Motion for preliminary injunction denied July 27, 1896. Dismissed Jan. 9, 1897.		Office No. 50. Amount of bond, $300. L. W. Wells, Principal; J. Cross, J T. Sheward, A. McFarland, Fred Eaton, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 6, 1895. Complaint filed June 15, 1895. Demurrer filed July 3, 1895. Demurrer overruled July 22, 1895. Answer filed Aug. 16, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Feb. 10, 1896.		Office No. 51. Amount of bond, $500. A. L. Morganstern, Principal; A. W. Morganstern, Frank Miller, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 24973—The People, etc., upon the relation of County of Los Angeles, Plaintiff, vs. Redondo Railway Company, and Board of Directors of said Company, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. A. Donnell, District Attorney, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For injunction, etc.
Superior Court No. 51570—The People, etc., upon the relation of D. Hoffman, Plaintiff, vs. Market Street Railway Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. P. Van Duzer for Plaintiff; J. E. Foulds for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Fran cisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—To declare illegal a certain purported franchise for a street railway, etc., on Church Street, and other streets in said city and county; for a restraining order; for a perpetual injunction, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 6679—The People, etc., upon the complaint of John Landers, Plaintiff, vs. Sunset Irrigation District, and J. W. King, C. S. Campbell, E. F. Mitchell, M. McWhorter, and W. G. Scott, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Allen & McAllister, J. W. Bourdette for Plaintiff; J. A. Waymire for Defendants.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—To dissolve said irrigation district.
Superior Court No. 946—In the matter of the estate of Catalina Reynolds, deceased, The People, etc., Contestant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Allen & Flint for Contestant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—That said alleged and pretended will be declared null and void, and that probate of same be denied; that the estate of said decedent be adjudged and escheat to the State of California, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 11410—The People, etc., upon the complaint of J. M. Selfridge, Plaintiff, vs. Ward M. Beckwith, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Thos. C. Huxley, for Plaintiff; Fitzgerald & Abbott, W. A. Dow for Defendant.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil ..	By complaint—That said Defendant is not entitled to office of member of Board of Health of said City of Oakland, and that he be excluded and ousted therefrom; that said J. M. Selfridge is entitled to said office, and that he be let into and recover possession of said office, together with costs and other relief.
Superior Court No. 15984 — In the matter of the estate of Patrick Moran, The People, etc., Contestant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and W. F. Williamson, for Contestant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By information — To escheat the estate of said Patrick Moran to the State of California.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 11, 1895. Complaint filed June 14, 1895.		Office No. 52.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 13, 1895. Complaint filed July 13, 1895. Demurrer filed Aug. 25, 1895. Demurrer sustained Sept. 20, 1895. Amended complaint filed Nov. 6, 1895. Answer filed Nov. 13, 1895.		Office No. 53. Amount of bond, $500. D. Hoffman, Principal; B. Cochi, A. R. Gunnison, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 27, 1895. Complaint filed July 31, 1895. Demurrer filed Sept. 14, 1895. Demurrer overruled June 4, 1897. Answer filed June 17, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff Nov. 5, 1897. Motion for new trial May 13, 1898; denied May 13, 1898.		Office No. 55. Amount of bond, $500. John Landers, Principal; Wm. Alvord, Thos. Brown, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted Aug. 5, 1895, and bond approved. Petition filed Feb. 19, 1896. Demurrer filed March 18, 1896. Demurrer sustained June 17, 1896. Answer filed June 6, 1896. Will admitted to probate July 15, 1896.		Office No. 56. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug. 1, 1895. Complaint filed Aug. 8, 1895. Demurrer filed Sept. 2, 1895. Demurrer overruled Oct. 14, 1895. Answer filed Nov. 26, 1895. July 31, 1896, opinion of Court handed down, holding that Defendant at date of appointment was a qualified elector of the City of Oakland, and that there was no vacancy in said office at date of appointment of Relator.		Office No. 57. Amount of bond, $500. J. M. Selfridge, Principal; I. C. Coxhead, S. M. Gilman, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 15, 1895. Information filed Oct. 15, 1895. Demurrer sustained ——, —. Amended information filed ——, —.		Office No. 58. Amount of bond, $500. W. F. Williamson, Principal; J. C. Reed, C. A. Warren, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 1872 — The People, etc., upon the complaint of Wm. P. Colvard, Plaintiff, vs The Ojai Union High School District, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Orestes Orr for Plaintiff; Blackstock & Ewing for Defendant.	Superior Court of Ventura County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To forfeit franchise.
Superior Court No. 52746; Supreme Court No. S. F. 396—The People, etc., upon the relation of Dennis Spencer, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. A. Knight, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, for Plaintiff; Denson & De Haven for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office, and a fine of $5,000, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 15354—In the matter of the estate of Matthew Hayes, The People, etc., Contestant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Beverly L. Hodghead for Contestant; J. J. Dyer, Rothchild & Ach for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint — Information to escheat the estate of Matthew Hayes to the State of California.
The People, etc., upon the relation of A. A. Oglesby, District Attorney, Santa Barbara Co., Plaintiff, vs. The City of Santa Barbara (a municipal corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and A. A. Oglesby, District Attorney, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Santa Barbara County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To abate a nuisance; that defendant be perpetually enjoined from maintaining said nuisance and from obstructing said Mission Street, and costs.
Superior Court No 53148. Supreme Court No. S. F. 413—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Charles S Young, Plaintiff, vs. Madison Babcock, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and M. M. Estee, C. W. Cross, J. A. Watt for Plaintiff; Rodgers & Paterson for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—That Defendant, Madison Babcock, is not entitled to office of Superintendent of Schools, and that he be ousted therefrom, and for costs.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 17, 1895. Complaint filed Oct. 22, 1895 Demurrer filed Oct. 29, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff, Nov. 14, 1895.		Office No. 59. Amount of bond, $500. Wm. P. Colvard, Principal; J. D. Goodyear, A. G. Poplin, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted by direction of Governor and bond approved Oct. 26, 1895. Complaint filed Oct. 28, 1895. Demurrer filed Nov. 8. 1895. Answer filed Dec. 5, 1895. Demurrer overruled Dec. 16, 1895. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 16, 1895. Notice of appeal filed Dec. 23, 1895.	Transcript filed Jan. 27, 1896. Brief of Appellant filed Feb. 27, 1896. Brief of Respondent filed July 10, 1896. Reply brief of Appellant filed Aug. 8, 1896. Ordered cause submitted to Court in Bank on briefs on file Aug. 18, 1896. Judgment and Order affirmed Feb. 20, 1897.	Office No. 61. Amount of bond, $500. Dennis Spencer, Principal; J. H. O'Brien, C. A. Warren, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 4, 1895. Information filed Nov. 4, 1895.		Office No. 62. Amount of bond, $500. Beverly L. Hodghead, Principal; Pacific Surety Company, W. Everson, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 26, 1896. Complaint filed Feb. 29, 1896.	Judgment and order affirmed Nov. 29, 1897.	Office No. 64. Amount of bond, $500. A. A. Oglesby, Principal; J. S. Johnson, J. C. Hammer, Wm. Eddy, E. Robinson, C. Tinker, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 27, 1895. Complaint filed Nov. 29, 1895. Demurrer filed Dec. 9, 1895. Demurrer sustained Jan. 10, 1896. Judgment for Defendant Jan. 10, 1896. Notice of appeal filed Jan. 17, 1896.	Transcript filed Feb. 18, 1896. Brief of Appellant filed March 18, 1896. Brief of Respondent filed April 21, 1896. Brief of Respondent filed April 22, 1896. Reply brief of Appellant filed April 28, 1896. Cause submitted May 18, 1896. Judgment and order affirmed Oct. 20, 1896.	Office No. 65. Amount of bond, $500. Chas. S. Young, Principal; Max Brook, R. T. Brodek, Sureties. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 919—In the matter of the estate of Norman Kinsey, deceased, The People, etc., Contestant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Otto C. Gregor for Contestant.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Civil ..	By information—To escheat the estate of Norman Kinsey to the State of California.
Superior Court No. 53802—The People, etc., upon the complaint of D. L. Westover, Plaintiff, vs. Market Street Railway Company et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Freeman & Bates for Plaintiff; J. E. Foulds for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By petition—That Defendants be adjudged to usurp and exercise a franchise, and that all rights of Defendants be declared forfeited and at an end, etc., and general relief.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of Cramer B. Morris, Plaintiff, vs. James B. Frith, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and C. C. Haskell for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that said Defendant be required to show by what warrant or authority he holds said office, and demands judgment that the Defendant is not entitled to said office, and that he be ousted therefrom, and for $5,000 fine, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 25738—The People, etc., ex rel. T. J. Flemming, Treasurer of the County of Los Angeles, Plaintiff, vs. Clara B. Baker, Roy B. Wheeler, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. A. Donnell, District Attorney, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that Clara B. Baker and Roy B. Wheeler, and each of them, appear on the date fixed by this Court and show cause why said tax should not be paid; that Plaintiff have judgment against Roy B. Wheeler and Clara B. Baker for the sum of $1,250, with interest at the rate of 10% per annum, and for costs of suit, and for such other relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.
Superior Court No. 25976—The People, etc., upon the information and complaint of A. P. Wiley, Plaintiff, vs. L. B. Doan, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Mulford & Pollard for Plaintiff; Will D. Gould for Defendant.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment that Defendant is not entitled to office, and that he be ousted therefrom; that L. R. Tilghman is entitled to said office, and that he be admitted to same; that a fine of $5,000 be imposed upon Defendant, and that he be ordered to pay costs of the suit.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved. Amended opposition to probate of will filed Dec. 13, 1895. Demurrer filed Dec. 17, 1895. Demurrer sustained Jan. 20, 1896. Will admitted to probate Jan. 25, 1896.		Office No. 66. Amount of bond, $500. Otto C. Gregor, Principal; S. A. Campbell, Geo. M. Commick, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Jan. 22, 1896. Complaint filed Jan. 22, 1896. Demurrer filed March 5, 1896. Demurrer overruled March 13, 1896. Answer filed April 1, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Oct. 4, 1897. Decree annulling franchise filed Oct. 4, 1897.		Office No. 67. Amount of bond, $500. D. L. Westover, Principal; Geo. A. Rankin, F. W. M. Lange, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 19, 1896. Complaint filed May 21, 1896. Summons served May 29, 1896. Demurrer filed May 28, 1896. Demurrer sustained July 9, 1896.		Office No. 69. Amount of bond, $500. Cramer B. Morris, Principal; J. N. Victor, P. B. Hockaday, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 1, 1896. Complaint filed May 2, 1896.		Office No. 70.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 1, 1896. Complaint filed June 8, 1896. Demurrer filed June 22, 1896. Demurrer overruled June 29, 1896. Answer filed July 8, 1896. Judgment for Defendant Dec. 2, 1896.		Office No. 71. Amount of bond, $500. H. P. Sweet, Stephen Bowers, Sureties. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 53493—The People, etc., upon the relation of L. P. Weaver, Plaintiff, vs. Edward A. Reddy, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and H. E. Highton for Plaintiff; Reddy, Campbell & Metson for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—That the Defendant is not entitled to the office of Superintendent of the Almshouse, and that he has unlawfully intruded and holds said office; that the Plaintiff is the lawful holder of office of Superintendent of said Almshouse, and to the salary, rights, and emoluments thereof; that he be restored to the possession of said office, and that Defendant pay a fine of $5,000 and costs of this suit.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of D. J. McCarthy, Plaintiff, vs. C. W. Cosaboom, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. W. Mitchell, F. A. Cattern for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that Defendant is not entitled to office of Trustee of the town of Long Beach; that Defendant be ousted and excluded from all the rights, privileges, and duties of said office, and for costs of suit.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of D. J. McCarthy, Plaintiff, vs. K. Almind, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. W. Mitchell, F. A. Cattern for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that the Defendant is not entitled to the office of Trustee of the town of Long Beach; that he be ousted and excluded from all the rights, privileges and duties of said office, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 2369—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Robert Waugh, Plaintiff, vs. The Auburn Yankee Jims Turnpike Company, a corporation, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and L. L. Chamberlain, District Attorney, for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Placer County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that Defendant be required to set forth by what authority it claims and exercises the franchise to collect rates of toll over said road; that it be perpetually enjoined therefrom; and for such other relief and for costs of suit.
The People, etc., ex. rel. John Kenealy, Plaintiff, vs. L. F. Scott, W. S. Wright, and The West Side Lighting Company, a corporation, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Ben Goodrich for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that the said Defendants be ordered and adjudged guilty of usurping and unlawfully holding and attempting to exercise said franchise, and that judgment be rendered that said Defendants be excluded from said franchise, and pay the costs of this action.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 5, 1896. Complaint filed June 5, 1896. Demurrer filed Oct. 30, 1896. Demurrer sustained Oct. 20, 1897. Action dismissed.		Office No. 72. Amount of bond, $500. P. L. Weaver, Principal; A. J. Royiston, G. R. Sanderson, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 13, 1896.		Office No. 73.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 13, 1896.		Office No. 74. Amount of bond, $500.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 19, 1896. Complaint filed June 27, 1896. Demurrer filed July 6, 1896.		Office No. 75. Amount of bond, $500. Robert Waugh, Principal; S. B. Bert, J. M. Lowell, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 19, 1896. Complaint filed June 23, 1896. Demurrer filed July 2, 1896. Demurrer overruled Sept. 17, 1896. Answer filed Oct. 12, 1896.		Office No. 76. Amount of bond, $500. John Kenealy, Principal; O. A. Iver, M. F. O'Dea, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Supreme Court No. 3495--The People, etc., upon the relation of Thomas Alonzo LeFevre, Plaintiff, vs. Richard O'Neil, and George Brander, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. T. McNealy for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To set aside patents issued June 29, 1885, to Richard O'Neil, for lots 3 and 4, S. ½ of N.W. ¼, S.W. ¼ of N.E. ¼, and W. ½ of S.E. ¼, Sec. 1, T. 10 S., R. 4 W., S.B.B.& M., 439.40 acres.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of D. C. Matteson et al., Plaintiffs, vs. E. B. Noble, A. M. Noble, and Herbert E. Williamson, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Gould & Bogue for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil ..	By complaint—That said Defendants, A. M. Noble, E. B. Noble, and H. E. Williamson, are not entitled to said offices and positions as directors of said corporation, and are not entitled to said offices as secretary and treasurer, and to said office of superintendent of said corporation, and that Plaintiffs are entitled thereto, and to all the rights and emoluments thereof.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of Chas. A. Lee, Plaintiff, vs. S. M. Prewitt, Wm. Spangler, and Theodore Alvisa, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Francis A. Fee for Plaintiffs; W. H. Laren for Defendants.	Superior Court of Madera Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment that the Defendants are not entitled to the office of school trustees; that they and each of them be ousted therefrom; that Chas. A. Lee, Nelson Luke and Geo. Hudson are entitled to said office, and that they be put into possession of the same.
Superior Court No. 57714; Supreme Court, S. F., No. 799--The People, etc., upon the complaint of Reginald H. Webster, Plaintiff, vs. Madison Babcock, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Garber & Garber, C. W. Greene, A. A. Moore for Plaintiff; Rogers & Paterson for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment that Defendant is not entitled to office of Superintendent of Schools or anything else pertaining thereto; that he be ousted therefrom, and that Plaintiff be put in possession thereof, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. ——; Supreme Court No. ———The People, etc., upon the complaint of H. T. Morris, Plaintiff, vs. F. O. Wadsworth, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Puterbaugh & Puterbaugh, L. E. Dadman for Plaintiff; N. H. Conklin for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against the said Defendant that he is not entitled to the office of Collector of Jamacha Irrigation District, and that he be ousted therefrom; that H. T. Morris is entitled to said office and possession thereof and all the rights, privileges, and emoluments thereof; that Defendant be required to pay the costs of this action, and all other relief.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 24, 1889. Complaint filed June 28, 1889. Demurrer filed Sept. 28, 1889. Demurrer overruled Nov. 8, 1889. Answer filed Sept. 28, 1889. Judgment of dismissal Jan. 24, 1896. New bond approved June 4, 1896. Dismissal set aside July 31, 1896. Dismissal entered Jan. 15, 1897.		Office No. 78. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug. 31, 1896. Cause settled by Defendants withdrawing all claim to act as complained of.		Office No. 79. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 7, 1896. Complaint filed Nov. 9, 1896. Demurrer filed Nov. 11, 1896. Demurrer argued and submitted Nov. 16, 1896. Demurrer overruled Nov. 16, 1896. Answer filed Nov. 27, 1896. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 21, 1896. Notice of appeal filed March 1, 1897.	Transcript filed April 12, 1897. Brief of Appellant filed May 6, 1897. Brief of Respondent filed June 12, 1897.	Office No. 80. Amount of bond, $500. Chas. A. Lee, Principal; W. R. Breyfogle, J. R. Barnett, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Dec. 24, 1896. Amended complaint filed Jan. 8, 1897. Demurrer filed Jan. 21, 1897. Demurrer overruled Jan. 22, 1897. Answer filed Jan. 21, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff March 5, 1897. Notice of appeal filed April 19, 1897.	Transcript filed May 25, 1897. Brief of Appellant filed July 7, 1897. Brief of Respondent filed Aug. 25, 1897. Reply brief of Appellant filed Oct. 2, 1897.	Office No. 81. Amount of bond, $500. Reginald H. Webster, Principal; Harry E. Wise, F. B. Thomas, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 23, 1897.		Office No. 82. Amount of bond, $500. H. T. Morris, Principal; Homer G. Taber, D. H. Olmsted, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. ——; Supreme Court No. ———The People, etc., upon the complaint of John Curtin, Plaintiff, vs. L. H. Martin, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, Frank H. Short, Allen & McAllister for Plaintiff, John W. Bourdette of counsel.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that Defendant is not entitled to office, and usurps and unlawfully holds and exercises said office; that he be ousted therefrom, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 7739—The People, etc., upon the complaint of John Curtin, Plaintiff, vs. Milton McWhorter, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Frank H. Short, Allen & McAllister for Plaintiff, John W. Bourdette of Counsel.	Superior Court of Fresno Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendant; that Defendant usurps and unlawfully holds and exercises said office; that he be ousted therefrom, and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 59157; Supreme Court No. 1188—The People, etc., upon the relation of G. W. Grayson et al., Plaintiffs, vs. M. W. Fox, Thos. McDonald, G. C. Snider, Edward Barry, Frank Mahon, and W. J. Dale, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Deal, Tausky & Wells for Plaintiffs; W. H. Baggett for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Usurpation of office.
Superior Court No. 11780—The People, etc., upon the complaint of M. W. Wilcox, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. De Latour, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and S. F. Leib, B. A. Herrington for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Santa Clara Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment of this Court enjoining and restraining Defendant and his agents and servants from carrying on the business of manufacturing cream of tartar, and from making or permitting any smell or odor in or about said building or premises, and for costs.
Superior Court No. 59478—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Lewis G. Weatherby, Plaintiff, vs. Golden Gate Lodge No. 6, Benevolent Order of Elks of the U. S. of America, et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Percy Long, Thos. A. McGowan for Plaintiff; Henry H. Davis for Defendants.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants; that Defendants usurp and unlawfully exercise the franchise of a corporation under the name of Golden Gate Lodge No. 6, Benevolent Order of Elks of the U. S. of America; that they be enjoined and prohibited from the exercise of the same, etc.; and for such other and further relief to the Court as may seem meet in the premises, and for a fine and costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 29, 1897. Complaint filed March 30, 1897. Demurrer filed April 14, 1897. Demurrer withdrawn May 7, 1897. Answer filed May 24, 1897. Defendant resigned.		Office No. 83. Amount of bond, $500. John Curtin, Principal; John W. Bourdette, James D. Byrnes, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 29, 1897. Complaint filed March 30, 1897. Demurrer filed April 5, 1897. Demurrer withdrawn May 3, 1897. Answer filed May 24, 1897.		Office No. 84. Amount of bond, $500. John Curtin, Principal; John W. Bourdette, James D. Byrnes, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved April 21, 1897. Complaint filed April 21, 1897. Summons issued April 21, 1897. Demurrer filed May 1, 1897. Judgment for Defendants for costs June 12, 1897. Notice of appeal filed Aug. 21, 1897.	Transcript filed Oct. 30, 1897. Brief of Appellants filed Nov. 27, 1897. Notice of motion to submit and affidavit filed Jan. 27, 1898. Motion argued and submitted Feb. 7, 1898.	Office No. 85. Amount of bond, $500. G. W. Grayson et al., Principals; Alfred K. Durbron, Charles H. Fish, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 6, 1897.		Office No. 86. Amount of bond, $500. M. W. Wilcox, Principal; S. F. Leib, George W. Cozzens, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved May 18, 1897. Complaint filed May 19, 1897. Summons issued May 19, 1897. Demurrer filed Sept. 20, 1897. Demurrer overruled Oct. 8, 1897. Answer filed Nov. 8, 1897. Judgment for Defendants Aug. 18, 1898.		Office No. 87. Amount of bond, $500. Lewis G. Weatherby, Principal; Jas. P. Dunn, J. R. Howell, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 11865—The People, etc., upon the complaint of C. B. Johnson, Plaintiff, vs. The Mendocino County Electric Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Geo. A. Sturtevant, T. V. Cator for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Mendocino County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment that the Defendant, the Mendocino Electric Company, be excluded from all corporate rights, privileges, and franchises; that said corporation be dissolved, and that Plaintiff recover the costs of suit.
Supreme Court No. 1028—The People, etc., upon the complaint of G. W. Grayson et al., Plaintiffs, vs. The Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco and Judge Daingerfield, one of the Judges thereof, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Garber & Garber, Deal, Tausky & Wells for Plaintiffs	Supreme Court of State of California.	Civil ..	By petition—For writ of mandate, that Defendants are not entitled to the office of Directors of the Hale & Norcross Mining Company, or to exercise the franchise thereof; that they be expelled, removed, and ousted therefrom, etc., and for costs of this action.
Superior Court No. 6429—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Reuben Pixley, Plaintiff, vs. The Lodi High School District of San Joaquin Co., Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Minor & Ashley, for Plaintiff; A. C. Green, Nicol & Orr for Defendant.	Superior Court of San Joaquin County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiffs ask judgment against Defendant; that Defendant usurps and unlawfully holds franchises of a High School District and High School; that the Defendant be excluded from the same and for costs
Superior Court No. 1523—The People, etc., upon the complaint of F. J. Fogg, Plaintiff, vs. The Perris Irrigation District (a de facto corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Works & Lee for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Riverside County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that the district claimed to have been organized be declared illegal and void; that all franchises and powers of said district existing by reason of its being a de facto corporation be forfeited, and for all other and proper relief.
Superior Court No. 28974—The People, etc., upon the complaint of John D. Fisher, Plaintiff, vs. John D. Bicknall et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and James A. Gibson, Walter J. Trask for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that the Court construe said deed of trust to be for the purpose of establishing and maintaining a charitable institution to be known as the Hollenbeck Home for indigent persons and homeless children, etc.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 9, 1897. Complaint filed Oct. 25, 1897. Demurrer filed Nov. 4, 1897. Demurrer overruled. Answer filed Dec. 4, 1897. Judgment for Defendant June 28, 1898.		Office No. 88. Amount of bond, $500. C. B. Johnson, Principal; F. M. Mason, L. Van Duzen, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 28, 1897.	Petition filed June 28, 1897. Petitioners' P. & A. filed June 28, 1897. Writ denied July 8, 1897.	Office No. 89. Amount of bond, $500. G. W. Grayson et al., Principals; Herman Zadig, Chas. H. Fish, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 9, 1897. Complaint filed July 17, 1897. Answer filed Sept. 8, 1897. Judgment for Defendant Oct. 19, 1897. Motion for new trial denied Jan. 17, 1898.	Transcript filed March 25, 1898. Brief of Appellant filed April 25, 1898. Brief of Respondent filed June 1, 1898. Appellant's reply filed June 10, 1898.	Office No. 90. Amount of bond, $500. Reuben Pixley, Principal; David Kittleman, J. E. Curry, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug. 9, 1897. Complaint filed Aug. 21, 1897. Summons issued Aug. 21, 1897. Complaint in intervention filed Sep. 2, 1897. Demurrer filed Sept. 2, 1897. Demurrer overruled ——. Answer filed Nov. 19, 1897.		Office No. 91. Amount of bond, $500. F. J. Fogg, Principal; C. Vermason, Jas. Geo. Clevior, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug. 20, 1897. Complaint filed Aug. 25, 1897. Demurrer filed Nov. 24, 1897. Demurrer overruled Nov. 29, 1897. Answer filed Nov. 24, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff Dec. 13, 1897.		Office No. 92. Amount of bond, $500. John D. Fisher, Principal; Daniel W. Hanna, Geo. W. Simonton, Sureties. Closed.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES, WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 2057—The People, etc., upon the complaint of J. E. Terry, Plaintiff, vs. The County of Shasta (a municipal corporation), and The Thompson Bridge Company, Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Dozier & Herzinger for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Shasta Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays that a writ of injunction issue, directed to the County of Shasta, and to the said Thompson Bridge Company, restraining and enjoining them from building, erecting, constructing, or maintaining, or continuing the building of any bridge across the Sacramento river, unless such bridge be built, erected, constructed, and maintained with a draw or swing of sufficient space and span to permit the safe passage of any steamer or vessel or raft that may navigate said river, etc., and for costs.
Superior Court No. 10360—The People, etc., upon the complaint of The College Hill Land Association of the City of San Diego (a corporation), Plaintiff, vs. University of Southern California (a corporation) et al., Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Geo. I. Cochrane for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays for a decree of this Court: First—That the object of said trust has become impossible, and that said trust has been abandoned by all the parties thereto, and cannot be carried out, and that said trust be terminated. Second—That the college campus mentioned in said complaint is the property in fee simple of the College Hill Land Association of the City of San Diego. Third—And for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem meet and proper in the premises, and the nature of the case may require.
Superior Court No. 14353—The People of the State of California, by W. F. Fitzgerald, its Attorney-General, upon the relation of Thomas Cuff, Plaintiff, vs. The City of Oakland, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Fitzgerald & Abbott for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Alameda County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that said Defendant, the City of Oakland, be compelled to set forth in its answer to this complaint by what warrant it claims to have, use, or enjoy the franchise aforesaid, or fail upon setting forth said claim to show legal authority for the exercise of the franchise aforesaid; then the Plaintiff herein asks that judgment of ouster in such proper and appropriate form as to this Court shall seem meet be entered against the Defendant, and that the Plaintiff may have such other and further relief as may be proper in the premises.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Aug 24, 1897. Complaint filed Aug. 26, 1897. Demurrer filed Sept. 7, 1897. Demurrer overruled Jan. 15, 1898. Answer filed March 1, 1898.		Office No. 93. Amount of bond, $500. J. E. Terry, Principal; Reed M. Saltzer, H. Clineschmidt, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Sept. 6, 1897. Complaint filed Sept. 25, 1897. Answer filed Sept. 25, 1897. Judgment for Plaintiff Sept. 25, 1897.		Office No. 94. Amount of bond, $500. The College Hill Land Association et al., Principal; D. C. Collier, David Seamans, Sureties. Closed.
Complaint filed Sept. 27, 1897. Summons served Sept. 27, 1897. Demurrer filed Oct. 25, 1897. Demurrer dropped Nov. 8, 1897. Answer filed Dec. 23, 1897. Judgment for Defendant April 6, 1898. Notice of appeal filed July 8, 1898.		Office No. 95.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
Superior Court No. 61374—The People, etc., upon the complaint of Albert Miller, Plaintiff, vs. Charles A. Warren et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and E. S. Pillsbury, John B. Mhoon for Plaintiff; Garrett McEnerny for Defendant	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Action to quiet title and remove obstruction from navigable waters.
Superior Court No. 4945—The People, etc., upon the complaint of W. N. Moore, Plaintiff, vs. E. W. King, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and J. H. Seawell, J. I. White, J. A. Cooper for Plaintiff; Beverly L. Hodghead, R. B. Carpenter for Defendant.	Superior Court of Mendocino County.	Civil ..	By complaint — Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment: First, that the Defendant is not entitled to said office and that he be ousted and excluded therefrom; second, that the Complainant, W. N. Moore, is entitled to the said office and that he be admitted into the same and all the rights and emoluments thereof; third, that the Court impose upon the Defendant a fine of $5,000, and that the Plaintiff recover costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 10414—The People, etc., by W. F. Fitzgerald, their Attorney-General, upon the relation of Charles Olvera, Plaintiff, vs. Linda Vista Irrigation District, Defendant. The Consolidated Water Company, Intervenor. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wadham Stearns, and L. A. Wright for Plaintiff; Neal & Metcalf for Intervenor.	Superior Court of San Diego County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Wherefore, the Plaintiff prays judgment: That it be declared that the Defendant, Linda Vista Irrigation District, was not and is not organized or incorporated according to law; that the Defendant, Linda Vista Irrigation District, be excluded from all corporate rights, powers, and franchises; that the Defendant, Linda Vista Irrigation District, be dissolved. For such other and further relief as may seem proper in the premises. For costs of this action.
Supreme Court No. 1193—The People, etc., upon the complaint of John E. Raker, District Attorney of Modoc Co., State of California, Petitioner, vs. Superior Court of Modoc Co., and J. W. Harrington, Judge of Superior Court in and for Modoc Co., Respondents. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and John E. Raker for Petitioner, T. C. Spencer of Counsel.	Supreme Court of the State of California.	Civil ..	By petition—For a writ of mandate to compel said Judge to take up and proceed with and to arraign Defendants William Frank Meredith and Clarence Edwin Cornell and said indictment No. 1124.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Sept. 25, 1897. Complaint filed Sept. 27, 1897. Summons issued Sept. 27, 1897. Order for injunction filed Sept. 27, 1897. Injunction issued Sept. 27, 1897. Answer filed Nov. 8, 1897. Argued and submitted Jan. 10, 1898. Injunction dissolved Feb. 11, 1898. Decision filed Feb. 11, 1898.		Office No. 96. Amount of bond $500. Albert Miller, Principal; John B. Mhoon, Surety.
Leave to sue granted Nov. 3, 1897. Complaint filed Nov. 5, 1897. Demurrer filed Nov. 15, 1897. Demurrer overruled Jan. 10, 1898. Answer filed Nov. 15, 1897. Judgment for Defendant June 6, 1898.		Office No. 97.
Leave to sue granted Nov. 3, 1897. Complaint filed Nov. 6, 1897. Summons issued Nov. 12, 1897. Demurrer sustained Dec. 21, 1897. Judgment for Defendant Dec 22, 1897. Notice of appeal filed May 4, 1898.	Transcript filed June 13, 1898. Brief of Appellant filed Aug. 1, 1898.	Office No. 98.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Oct. 19, 1897.	Petition filed Nov. 3, 1897.	Office No. 99.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
The People, etc., upon the relation of Robert W. Roberts, Plaintiff, vs. W. N. Russ and Z. Russ and Sons Co. (a corporation), Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and L. F. Puter for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Civil ..	By complaint—To enjoin Defendants from obstructing Jacks and other sloughs in Humboldt County, and from interfering with the navigability of Salt River and for costs of suit.
Superior Court No. 743 — The People, etc., upon the complaint of John F. Silva, Plaintiff, vs. Michael McNamarra, et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Hart & Aram for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Sutter Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays judgment that the Defendants show cause why they claimed to act as officers of Levee District No. 6 of Sutter County, and why they claim the right to exercise corporate powers, functions and privileges. That it be adjudged that said Levee District never was a public corporation or Levee District, or entitled to exercise the functions of either. That Defendants be excluded from all corporate rights, powers, privileges and franchises in the premises and for costs in this action.
The People, etc., upon the relation of C. F. Allen and A. C. Allen, Plaintiffs, vs. The Eel River and Eureka Railroad Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Buck & Cutler for Plaintiffs.	Superior Court of Humboldt County.	Civil ..	
Superior Court No. 62285—The People, etc., upon the complaint of A. M. Lawrence, Plaintiff, vs. The Market Street Railway Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Clunie & Bridgford, E. F. Bert for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff prays the decree of this Court that Defendant be restrained from further operating its cars at a greater speed than eight miles per hour; that said Defendant shall cause to be attached to each and every car, within ten days' time, a suitable device in such manner as to reduce the minimum of risks to persons passing along and across public highways and streets. And upon a failure to comply with the decree of said Court, said Defendant be deprived of its franchise, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem meet and proper, and for costs of suit.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Nov. 30, 1897.		Office No. 100. Amount of bond, $500. Robert W. Roberts, Principal; G. A. Dungan, W. E. Cook, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Dec. 1, 1897. Complaint filed Dec. 7, 1897. Demurrers filed Dec. 18, 1897; Jan. 10, 1898. Demurrers overruled Jan. 10, 1898. Answer filed Feb. 9, 1898.		Office No. 101. Amount of bond, $500. John F. Silva, Principal; Chas. F. Dillman and W. A. Curtis, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Jan. 5, 1898.		Office No. 102. Amount of bond, $500. C. F. Allen and A. C. Allen, Principals; A. Palmtag, Joseph Simpson, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved Feb. 4, 1898. Complaint filed Feb. —, 1898. Demurrer filed March 8, 1898. Demurrer overruled May 24, 1898. Answer filed June 4, 1898.		Office No. 103. Amount of bond, $500. A. M. Lawrence, Principal; W. R. Fairfield, F. E. Peterson, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
The People, etc., upon the complaint of W. A. Armstrong, Plaintiff, vs. Protection District No. 1 (an alleged corporation), and L. F. Moulton et al. Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Clunie & Bridgford for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Colusa County.	Civil ..	By complaint—Plaintiff demands judgment that Protection District No. 1 be declared an illegal corporation; that said Defendant be excluded from usurpation of the functions of a corporate body, and for costs of suit.
The People, etc., ex rel. M. C. Butterfield, Plaintiff, vs. The Rialto Irrigation District (a corporation), W. A. Norton et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Otis, Gregg & Hall for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Civil..	By complaint—For judgment against Defendants that said District be declared to have no title, right, or interest, etc., or franchise, and that said corporation be dissolved, and for costs.
The People, etc., ex rel. John Hall, Plaintiff, vs. The Arrowhead Reservoir Company (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Bledsoe & Bledsoe for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Bernardino Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—That the Defendant is unlawfully holding a franchise, and that it be excluded therefrom, for costs and for general relief.
The People, etc., ex rel. Charles Francee, Plaintiff, vs. W. J. Hill, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Renison & Jones for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Monterey County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For usurpation of office, fine and costs.
The People, etc., ex rel. C. G. Lamberson, Plaintiff, vs. R. F. Roth, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and McClure & McClure for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Tulare Co.	Civil ..	By complaint—For usurpation of office. Fine of $5,000, costs and general relief.
The People, etc., ex rel. F. H. Page, Plaintiff, vs. Arthur L. Whitney and Edward B. Pond, etc., et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Wm. F. Gibson for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—For injunction and removal of obstructions from Seal Creek; for costs and for general relief.
The People, etc., ex rel. E. M. Starr, Plaintiff, vs. The City of Los Angeles (a municipal corporation) et al., Defendants. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and R. J. Adcock, W. A. Ryan, and F. D. Reymert for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For removal of obstruction and abatement of a nuisance.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 4, 1898. Complaint filed March —, 1898. Defendant's default entered. Judgment for Plaintiff May 2, 1898.		Office No. 104. Amount of bond, $500. W. A. Armstrong, Principal; John Kerr, Joseph McVey, Sureties. Closed.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved March 8, 1898. Complaint filed March 11, 1898. Summons served March 11, 1898.		Office No. 105. Amount of bond, $500. M. C. Butterfield, Principal; W. D. Richlauson, J. W. Tibbot, W. M. Tibbot, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted April 21, 1898.		Office No. 106. Amount of bond, $500. John Hall, Principal; H. A. Vale, George Miller, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted May 3, 1898. Complaint filed May —, 1898. Demurrer filed May 23, 1898. Demurrer overruled May 28, 1898. Answer filed June 7, 1898. Judgment for Plaintiff July 18, 1898. Notice of appeal filed July 20, 1898.		Office No. 107. Amount of bond, $500. Charles Francee, Principal; S. Hansen, D. Breschini, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved June 20, 1898.		Office No. 108. Amount of bond, $500. C. G. Lamberson, Principal; G. A. Botsford and R. E. Hyde, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 12, 1898.		Office No. 109. Amount of bond, $500. F. H. Page, Principal; Fidelity and Deposit Co. of Maryland, Surety.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 20, 1898.		Office No. 110. Amount of bond, $500. E. M. Starr, Principal; William Mead and J. C. Willmon, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DOCKET OF CIVIL CASES WHEREIN LEAVE

Title of Cause.	In What Court and County Instituted, Tried, and Adjudged.	Character of Action.	Mode of Prosecution and Nature of Suit.
The People, etc., ex rel F. H. Lang, Plaintiff, vs. J. A. G. Adcock, Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and Renison & Jones for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of Monterey County.	Civil ..	By complaint—For usurpation of office, etc.
Superior Court No. 65277—The People, etc., ex rel Guiseppe Figoni, Plaintiff, vs. Sanitary Reduction Works of San Francisco (a corporation), Defendant. W. F. Fitzgerald, Attorney-General, and G. W. McEnerny, Page, McCutcheon & Eels for Plaintiff.	Superior Court of San Francisco.	Civil ..	By complaint—For exercising privileges, franchises, etc., and for general relief.

Digitized by Google

HAS BEEN GRANTED TO SUE IN THE NAME OF THE PEOPLE—Continued.

Stage of Proceedings in Court Below.	Memorandum of Judgment in Supreme Court.	Remarks—Bond.
Leave to sue granted and bond approved July 20, 1898.		Office No. 111. Amount of bond, $500. F. H. Lang, Principal; John Buges, M. R. Merritt, Sureties.
Leave to sue granted Aug. 20, 1898. Complaint filed Aug. 22, 1898. Summons issued and served Aug. 22, 1898.		Office No. 112. Amount of bond, $500. Guiseppe Figoni, Principal; Chas. E. Page and G. W. McEnerny, Sureties.

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google